

The Enemy Who Surpasses The Law

- Introduction -
- The so-called "elites" crimes -
- Banalities you can get punished for -
- The point of the law -
- The folly of elections -
- How to bury a politician: Călin Georgescu's example -
- How to bury a politician(s), revisited -
- The legislative mirage -
- There is no democracy -
- Stop Killing Games -
- European Slavery Union wants to take over all media -
- COVID slavery exposes the legal beast -
- Protests don't work -
- Fines don't work -
- You can't run away -
- The courts are compromised, too -
- Brian Thompson's assassination shows what works -
- Nations - the so-called "elites" dirtiest trick -
- The false hope of the United Nations -
- Zelensky lied, people died -

- The states' interests != your interests -
- Polish government - the peak of psychopathy -
- The draft MUST be the #1 issue -
- Why the legal system can't get rid of the draft -
- Is war fundamental and inevitable? -
- Modern notions of masculinity contribute to the problem -
- The men's rights movement has failed -
- Left-wing MRAs are slightly better, but... -
- Why the draft is so important -
- How to fix? -
- Factors leading to war -
- Summary -
- How to have a revolution -
- Legalism is antihuman -

Introduction

“These "crimes" you all speak so seriously of... To me, this has all been nothing more than a game.”

The so-called "elites" crimes

- Military warmongers are sending thousands of young people (archive) (MozArchive) to die in wars (archive) (MozArchive) for useless causes such as the War on Terror (archive) (MozArchive).

- Government policies such as the Philippine drug war ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) are killing thousands including innocents.
- The medical industry is the third leading cause of death ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), killing millions worldwide. For a specific example, the billionaires behind Purdue Pharma knowingly created an addictive medicine and lied that it is not addictive ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)). It killed hundreds of thousands of people, left many more grieving, yet its creators face no consequences ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)).
- Cigarette producers and marketers have killed 100 million people in the 20th century ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)).
- The junk food industry has spent billions to make millions of people addicted to their shit food which has created most chronic diseases, claiming much of the lives attributed to them.
- CEOs are purposefully ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) creating the terrible workplace conditions that are causing depression and driving people to suicide, or even killing them directly ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)).
- People such as the president of Brazil ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) are ordering the cutting of rainforests which is robbing indigenous populations of their homes, and the victims are being killed if they resist the eviction.
- Peaceful protesters are being killed ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) and injured ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) worldwide ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)).
- SWAT teams are kidnapping unvaccinated children ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) from their homes.
- Doctors in the United States partially cut off the genitals of defenseless infant boys for profit ([archive](#)) and face no consequences for it. Infants often die as a result of circumcision ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) or suffer from life-long complications ([archive](#))

([MozArchive](#)), yet American doctors and the elite-owned [CDC](#) ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) and [WHO](#) ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) keep pretending that cutting off the foreskin is healthy.

- The US government has blown up the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001, killing almost three thousand people ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) (edit: I now know the actual amount of victims was much less, but still) directly, in addition to other more indirect, long-range effects ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)).
- On March 11, 2020, the WHO declared a worldwide COVID "pandemic". In a package deal with it we've received unprecedented slavery, mind control and psychological torture consisting of constantly repeated media scaremongering, baseless and dehumanizing lockdowns, mask mandates, and distancing, censorship of alternative narratives including actual treatments for infections, vaccine extermination and in the end gaslighting to prevent any consequences for the perpetrators of this worldwide crime against humanity. The vast majority of world's governments bought the scam, and the few who attempted significant enough resistance, had their presidents assassinated or coerced in some other way ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)).
- In the vast majority of countries, it is accepted to send your kids to the torture chamber known as school for the entirety of their youth. Though some places lack compulsory schooling ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), if you look at what those are, it's obvious it's not because of the benevolence of their governments (and even there, more people finish it than not). As far as I can see, school has exclusively negative effects on students, parents and teachers. It turns kids into slaves in various ways and perpetuates the jungle mindset. There is no good justification for keeping it around, yet no politician will touch it because they love what it turns humans into.

The obvious question is: are the vermin committing these crimes going to suffer any consequences? And the answer is a **resounding NO** - in fact, in our current society, the so-called "elites" and their minions have **free reign to kill, hurt and destroy**. And they don't even try to hide it - it's out in the open; I've found all the above information on public search engines. So the high-up mass murderers get off scot-free; what about regular people?

Banalities you can get punished for

- Two Germans to be caned, jailed for Singapore train graffiti (archive) (MozArchive)
- Homeless man left with £100 court bill for begging in the streets of Carlisle (archive) (MozArchive)
- Persistent beggar Wesley Gray who flouted an order banning him from Gloucester city centre 14 times has been jailed for four months (archive) (MozArchive) - *"He said: 'it is a persistent disobedience of court orders but I will be sending a man to prison for asking for food when he was hungry. It is a difficult situation and there is no satisfactory answer.'"* (Oh, there is - just **leave him alone!**)
- Kazakh Activist Jailed For People-Power Banner Citing Constitution (archive) (MozArchive)
- A Man in Kazakhstan Held Up a Blank Sign to See if He'd Be Detained. He Was (archive) (MozArchive)
- Kindergartner suspended for bringing bubble gun to class (archive) (MozArchive)
- Student Points Finger Like Gun, Gets Suspended Under Zero Tolerance Rules (archive) (MozArchive)
- Birdman of Bristol fined £300 for feeding pigeons ignoring community orders (archive) (MozArchive)

- [Woman, 80, warned she faces prosecution for feeding birds in her garden \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#)
- [CYCLISTS in Bathurst have been fined more than \\$10,000 in the past six months for offences including not wearing helmets and riding on footpaths \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#)
- [Stockton mother faces jail time for selling homemade ceviche through Facebook \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#)
- [90-year-old arrested for selling handmade bootees without license at open market \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#)

Okay, enough of these. Painting graffiti, feeding pigeons, begging or selling handmade stuff will net you a bigger punishment than even mass murder at the hands of the so-called "elites" (who will not suffer any consequences at all). They are an **enemy who surpasses the law** - since they make it, they have of course included a clause for themselves and their minions to be immune to it. All the stuff for which you would be punished, they do with impunity. Take the Kazakh guy who went to prison for hanging a banner, while the government can freely [spread \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) political [propaganda \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) everywhere they want to - on buses, flats, [the radio \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#), TV...Of course, they also let [big corpos](#) do the same. The world is their territory, their playground - they are the judge, jury and executioner. It is up to them to decide what "crime" means - if they decide [cutting down trees](#) is justified, then that's that ([but only if they do so \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#))!

The point of the law

The above examples should have made clear that the law exists for the purpose of keeping the current power structure where the really high ups can do everything, while the plebs at the very

bottom exist only to be enslaved and abused. It even includes something called disorderly conduct (archive) (MozArchive), which can fit absolutely everything and is obviously designed to provide a way to punish anyone the so-called "elites" want to get rid of. The structure is like a pyramid with only three levels: the bottom one that's extremely wide and fits almost everyone, the middle one that's very narrow and contains only the protected groups that keep the plebs on leashes, and the top one that's one block-wide and is dedicated to the very few so-called "elites" that decide everything (this is simplified, but works for easy understanding). A good analogy would be the Nazi concentration camps that had the Nazis as ultimate so-called "elites", then the Kapos (who - remember - were still prisoners themselves, just with some privileges like avoiding abuse and receiving better food, etc) that were assigned the task of keeping the other prisoners under control, and finally the vast majority of regular prisoners that were on the level of cockroaches. The prisoners particularly abusive and power hungry were chosen for the Kapo positions. Does that remind you of something in the current world?

Police are the modern Kapos, ordered to bully the plebs and remind them of their position in hierarchy every so often, so that the rebel's spirit is contained. They suffer no punishment (archive) (MozArchive) even if they hurt or kill innocent people (archive) (MozArchive). From the first article: *“Police fatally shoot an average of around 1000 people each year, and the criminal justice system holds that almost every single shooting is legal”*. Why are the so-called "elites" allowing them to avoid consequences? Because they are performing a **useful and essential function** of keeping the public under control. It all starts already in school (archive) (MozArchive) - the process of creating a scared and obedient population has to begin when they're young and defenseless:

In December of 2015, Tucker, a 13-year-old Black student in Washington state, was arrested as a result of an incident that started when he mumbled a curse word to himself.

Tucker's teacher ordered him to go sit outside on a bench; when Tucker refused to go outside unprotected in the cold, his teacher called the school police officer. The officer grabbed Tucker, slammed him to the ground, and as Tucker flailed, put his knee of the back of Tucker's head. Tucker was then arrested and booked into juvenile detention. He was charged with the crimes of 'disturbing school' and 'disrupting a law enforcement officer.'

And the abusers are unaccountable as usual:

Even fewer schools require any kind of data collection of officer activities. And overwhelmingly, students, parents and teachers have little oversight of, and no recourse for conflicts with, school police officers.

This job is so important that a police officer cannot ever feel inhibitions while doing it - thus their immunity to punishment. Disruption, disorder, resistance is something the system **absolutely hates** and it must be swiftly and fully dealt with. Another way this happens is when social workers (another set of Kapos) take away the children of people raising them "wrong" (a kidnapping if done by anyone else), which can include the child not being heavy enough, despite being healthy otherwise (archive) (MozArchive), using a mineral supplement (archive) (MozArchive), or feeding them an organic diet (archive) (MozArchive). They are also unaccountable (archive) (MozArchive), as you might have guessed:

However, there is one thing that remains rare in CPS cases - that is, for anyone within the system to be held accountable. Repercussions for social workers, the CPS agency, or doctors involved in taking children from innocent parents are very rare.

Ukrainian war grabbers are yet another set of Kapos, hunting down people who don't want to be sacrificed inside some ditch for the delusions of psycho Zelensky. Some videos of them in action. **UPDATE November 2025:** I just found this site (CF) that collects them all. So I guess we don't really need the mainstream admissions anymore, but I'm going to post one anyway (archive) (MozArchive):

In one video three officers in military fatigues push a man in a tracksuit into a van. A policeman walks away and hides in his unmarked car, as if wanting nothing to do with the forcible recruitment. Then women are seen attacking the officers and opening the van's door, allowing another man to escape.

In another episode, recruiters have grabbed a man in the street, as an angry crowd stands by. A female voice shouts: "fuck off! You are worse than the Russians." Another example - filmed from a bus - shows a man in black, intended for recruitment - push the officer to the ground, then run away.

At least people resisted, but it's not enough. The Kapos have not incurred any permanent damage and will surely come back for another victim where the bad ending might finally happen (dying in the aforementioned ditch). The problem is the current societal structure of "lawmakers" deciding what will be done to "citizens", and then sending their pawns to execute. The long drawn out process of writing down the laws and voting on them (you are not invited to the vote) only gives it a pretense of legitimacy in the minds of people, but doesn't actually make a difference - you're still being grabbed. And it's the pretense of legitimacy, the pretense that the blame lies on the "citizens", that makes the law a particularly sneaky - and important to deal with - enemy.

The folly of elections

Yet people still trust the law-based system. So much so that - if you mention a societal problem to them - they will often reply with a variant of "it's because of the politicians we've voted in!" as if they were hypnotized. Some examples (archive) (MozArchive):

It's both. The reason the policy makers do this is because of the size of the female voting block, and because, unlike men, women vote their interests.

Another one (archive) (MozArchive) from the men's rights movement:

Exactly. They're trying to win female voters because there are more of them... and because men don't vote against misandrists. So politicians get rewarded for a message without any downside. That's the political message that must be appeased... until we change the dynamic and punish man-hating politicians.

Gamers fell for it (archive) (MozArchive), too:

Until the corrupt left government will be finally taken over. You will always have tax payer wasted games like Dustbin.

You need to vote for better politicians, who actually care about the people and not about ideology

As well as the anti-work (archive) (MozArchive) community:

It's because far too many of us believe, dream and/or think that 1 day we will be the boss,

*the owner, the big man in control so they keep voting for politicians who don't care about **workers** in the hopes that 1 day when they are in charge it will be easier for them to stay in control.*

This one is even more direct [\(archive\)](#) [\(MozArchive\)](#):

Hey, this is what everyone voted for, remember?! [...]

Next up, one from our conspiracy-minded friends [\(archive\)](#) [\(MozArchive\)](#):

I'm definitely voting for the "far-right" euroskeptic party in next year's elections

Yeah, he's a super Eurocrat globalist. I was APPALLED that Poles brought him back after his previous anti-Polish (and pro-EU) nonsense. I really wouldn't bet on Poles not electing another EU Trojan horse next year

Not to mention the uncountable amount of YouTube videos analyzing voting results, Twitter / "X" screeching, etc. Everyone acts as if elections were the most important events in history - on the level of a meteor strike or an alien invasion. And it's not just random internet travelers that believe this, but big organizations like the EFF [\(archive\)](#) [\(MozArchive\)](#) where they say “*Courts and legislatures must keep pace to ensure that privacy rights do not fall victim to the advancement of technology*”. The point here is, that every community (whether it's men's rights, gaming, conspiracy, privacy...) out there believes that the legal system will somehow turn out to be their savior. To materialize this hope, they try to vote in a certain way, hoping that their favored politician or ruling party gets in. The people's trust is based on the idea that how they vote matters; that the politicians - in the end - have to appease their voters through

their policies. What prevents the legal circus show from being recognized as simply a pack of psychopaths codifying ways of abusing and enslaving us, is the belief that we are able to significantly affect the process.

This is the great trap that the so-called "elites" have set up: first, create the political system that enslaves people, and then convince them that the only way to change the situation is **through that system itself** (directing attention away from the real solutions). Let's set the record straight: what can you even affect by voting? Only which president or political party rules over you. Of course there are usually only two or three realistic choices, because if you vote for some obscure person, she has no chance of getting in anyway. The big parties have much more funds to spend on their campaigns, etc. There are also indications that election results are manipulated; so even if your favorite actually received the most votes, it's not a guarantee of getting in.

More importantly, **as the voting period ends, so does your decision-making power**. Now, the so-called "elites" can approve or deny whatever laws they imagine, and you can only **sit back and watch**. All promises that candidates have made go out the window, simply because there is **zero consequence for violating them**. You might say that they won't receive the votes during the next elections if they fuck up really badly, but that still means 4 years of dealing with their bullshit, which also accumulates (as old laws don't just go away when the so-called "elites" change). And again, there are few choices, so often (always?) you can only pick whichever you think is the least shitty. It's like injecting the inactivated virus vaccines over the mRNAs - much better, but still hurting yourself. Because of all this, politicians just don't have to care about the plebeians that much, if at all.

More than that - if you live, for example, in an European Union country, your own nation has to

answer to them anyway, lest it get fined (archive) (MozArchive). So the existence of even the national government becomes illusory; not that that would make us more free - you'd still have no way to affect specific government decisions (except to wait for the next elections and **blindly hope** your new ruler will finally do something about the things you care about - which barely ever happens, and literally never for things that could actually threaten the power structure). And, even assuming an independent national government, the area over which it rules is just way too big. For example, one town might like mask mandates, and the other might not; but both will have to deal with them if the so-called "elites" so desire. Clearly we can see, that even with the best assumptions, the voting system is completely hopeless for providing real change.

What about the so-called good politicians? Simply put - it's another illusion. Either they are not actually good or they don't get into positions of power. Every so often an alleged political hero appears whom people then begin to worship. NaturalNews, one of my favorite news sites, still believes that Trump will *“make America great again”*, and runs stories defending him all the time. That's despite the fact that he lied about many important issues (archive) (MozArchive). **UPDATE 2022:** hey, maybe we should remind ourselves who was responsible (archive) (MozArchive) for the creation and popularization of the poison known as the COVID vaccine. Do you still believe in your political heroes? Has anyone out there shown even a drop of humanity during the "pandemic"? No - maybe except a few African presidents, that in 3 out of 4 cases paid for their insubordination dearly. All the other politicians buried their people with restrictions and shoved the poison up their asses (well, arms). And I'm not just talking about the presidents of Europe, Asia, etc. But also the health ministers and the lesser politicians who just went along with the narrative (and some even tried to push for forced vaccines).

Sure, there were also a few politicians who were against the narrative; however, they never got into power and the restrictions still got pushed through. All the people who were theoretically

supposed to make the best decisions for us (the presidents, health ministers, etc) - totally failed. I mean, not a single relevant person even mentioned vitamin D in the treatment of COVID. Where were the vitamin D dispenser sites? How is it possible that everyone in politics is so retarded as to not have realized the utility of vitamin D (and other treatments)? And that no one has realized the danger of the vaccines, even when people were dropping like flies? Of course, it's not retardation that did it - but the fact that they were **all following orders**.

I originally wrote this report before COVID. But - if there is anything that proves the theory about the NWO / shadow government - it is the fake pandemic. I mean, every major politician has swallowed the narrative; this is clearly impossible organically. We even have proof from the highest positions at the WHO, where the ivermectin treatment was buried. Not that I think ivermectin is so great, but that isn't the point here. **Everything that went against the lockdowns, masks, distance and vaccines had to die**. And so it did. Oh, and of course - as is the theme of this report - **none of the people responsible suffered the slightest consequences**.

UPDATE September 2024: yesterday was the 23rd anniversary for the demolition jobs on the twin towers executed by the US government. And it just dawned on me that this event provides a really good proof that politics are fake. Heroes of various camps such as Donald Trump, Robert Kennedy, Bernie Sanders (or whoever the left likes these days) will never expose the very obvious truth that the towers have been blown up by the explosives placed therein. Kennedy has recently admitted ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) he “*won't take sides on 9/11*”, but promised “*transparency*” (which would consist of **revealing the fucking bombs**, something he is never ever going to do). Sanders' reaction to 9 / 11 ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) is even more disgusting:

I support legislation by Sen. Chuck Schumer that would allow Americans, including the

families of victims of the 9/11 attacks, to use U.S. courts to determine if foreign entities are culpable for terrorist attacks in the United States and seek restitution for the damages and lives lost.

He won't reveal the bombs, but wants to waste yet more time on pointless legal circus that's designed to seek the culprit anywhere but where he actually is, with a sprinkle of virtue signaling by appealing to the *“damages and lives lost”*. If you have any doubts about what happened on 9 / 11, just type "building demolition" into YouTube and see what any other demolition job looks like, then compare to how the twin towers fell and you will get an exact match. Here is an example of one such demolition, and another, and two others, and finally the twin towers. How do you like the irrefutable proof that the towers were indeed blown? Don't you think that this would be something important to reveal to the American people, if these politicians were really heroes? But they will never do so as they are all under control of the same so-called "shadow government".

Of course, you could say that maybe some of them really **are** heroes, they just can't cover certain topics in order to avoid losing popularity, funding, or their lives. But if that is so, then it proves my point even better. You can't get into power unless you hold - or pretend to hold - certain views. And it just so happens that the required views help the so-called "elites" while hurting the plebs. I think this is a good way to reveal whether a politician is actually worth anything, BTW. If he is, then he will publicly expose conspiracies such as 9 / 11, the Polish Smoleńsk / Warsaw massacre, or whatever local conspiracies might be important in your place of residence. There are a few deputies who have spoken out against the mainstream Smoleńsk narrative here - but they are often fined, mocked in the media, etc. And they don't end up accomplishing anything of note through the official channels. Again proving my point that success won't be found on this path. The game is rigged!

Therefore, it is obvious that politics cannot be a route for any major, positive change. And yet, the myth is very important to the so-called "elites". As said before, it serves as a dead end for people to get trapped in. How this works is explained perfectly in one of my favorite quotes by Noam Chomsky: *“The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum”*. That's why the media often shows vigorous debates between various politicians and spreads the left wing / right wing mythology so that people begin to form camps that fight between each other - all while being unaware that **their opinion is irrelevant**, since the so-called "elites" control all the provided options (hey, it's Google vs Mozilla once again!). They do everything to direct your focus towards the recent "smart" Trump tweet, the latest political scandal or yet another fake conflict (Russia or China versus USA...) so they can continue applying their plans under the veil of distraction. **Edit:** here's (archive) (MozArchive) an example:

The American Jobs Plan is an investment in America that will create millions of good jobs, rebuild our country's infrastructure, and position the United States to out-compete China

Like great projects of the past, the President's plan will unify and mobilize the country to meet the great challenges of our time: the climate crisis and the ambitions of an autocratic China.

Another one from the UK (archive) (MozArchive):

UK's energy security plan aims to boost job creation and reduce reliance on hostile states like Russia

The government said its approach would seek to reduce dependency on imported fossil fuels by bolstering the domestic oil and gas industry, investing in clean technologies, and isolating Russia's regime from global energy markets.

There's always some “*hostile state*” somewhere far away, but never right here. And so you focus your attention on that “*hostile state*” while ignoring how you're getting fucked by your own government. Because it's not really state vs state, but so-called "elites" vs plebs. Sticking it to "that other country out there" doesn't make your life better (and probably decreases the quality if anything), but might make some politician **feel** better.

Zelensky's extended stay provides further proof that elections are worthless. Usually, the presidential term is supposed to last five years (local) - “*The President is elected by Ukrainian citizens by equal vote and direct countrywide elections for the period of five years*”. But there are ways to bypass this...like during war (archive) (MozArchive), where it can be extended indefinitely (archive) (MozArchive) - “*Since then, the Ukrainian parliament has extended the duration of martial law and mobilization 13 times*”. Insanely, this means that if a warmonger (such as Zelensky) somehow ends up as president, he can theoretically just continue the war until his entire country's population is sacrificed. Even though a non-war mongering president might be what's actually wanted by the citizens, they will not be able to put him in power since the current one can just keep extending his term. Seems like quite the "security vuln" of democratic elections, if there cannot even **be** elections unless approved by whoever is ruling at the moment. And of course, this is not only in Ukraine; I just used it as an example because it's the "current thing".

How to bury a politician: Călin Georgescu's example



Let's start with who Călin Georgescu even is ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)):

Born in Bucharest in 1962, Georgescu holds a doctorate in pedology, a branch of soil science, and held different positions in Romania's environment ministry in the 1990s, according to his website. Between 1999 and 2012, he was a representative for Romania on the national committee of the United Nations Environment Program.

Once a member of Romania's far-right Alliance for the Unity of Romanians, or AUR, Georgescu left the party in 2022 after a period of infighting and being accused by colleagues of being pro-Russian and critical of NATO, the U.S.-led military alliance to which Romania belongs.

Some of his spicy takes should provide the context for what's going to come:

- <https://www.ndtv.com/world-news/far-right-pro-russian-populist-takes-surprise-lead-in-romania-elections-7100332> (archive) (MozArchive) - *“Mr Georgescu surged in recent days with a viral TikTok campaign calling for an end to aid for Ukraine. He has also sounded a sceptical note on Romania's NATO membership”*
- <https://www.newdelhitimes.com/who-is-calin-georgescu-the-far-right-populist-who-won-the-1st-round-of-romantias-presidential-race/> (archive) (MozArchive) - *“According to local media, he has praised Russian President Vladimir Putin as “a man who loves his country” and called Ukraine “an invented state,” but he claims not to be pro-Russian. He is married with three sons”*
- *“He supports the Romanian Orthodox Church and has sparked controversy in the past for describing Romanian fascist and nationalist leaders from the 1930s and 1940s as national heroes”*
- <https://www.courthousenews.com/romania-cracks-the-door-open-to-surprise-ultranationalist-presidential-candidate/> (archive) (MozArchive) - *“He doubts the 1969 Apollo moon landing took place and questions the official narrative about the Sept. 11, 2001 terrorist attack. He's an apologist for Romania's World War II fascist leaders, calling them national heroes. During the Covid-19 pandemic, he scorned vaccines and said he preferred to rely on his own immune system”*

Anyway, he won (archive) (MozArchive) the first round of the recent elections against all odds; he wasn't even considered a contender (archive) (MozArchive) (ctrl+f "Georgescu" = no results). At first, a Romanian court accepted the results (archive) (MozArchive) - *“Judges unanimously decided to... confirm and validate the result of the first presidential round on November 24 and holding the second round on December 8”*. A few days later (two days before the second round was supposed to begin) they've completely switched course (archive) (MozArchive) and voided the election:

Romania's top court has annulled the results of the first round of the country's presidential election after claims of Russian interference.

The unprecedented decision came after declassified intelligence documents alleged Russia was behind a campaign to promote far-right candidate Calin Georgescu on platforms such as TikTok and Telegram.

HAHAHA, it's *“claims”* and *“alleged”*. Meaning they have no evidence. And of course, those *“declassified intelligence documents”* magically appeared two days after the initial decision, and right before Georgescu was about to sweep the second round, too. His would-be opponent even criticized the decision:

Ms Lasconi criticised the court's decision, arguing the vote should have continued and respected the will of the Romanian people.

Even though the psychopaths provided no evidence, let's bury their justification while we're at it. TikTok denied artificial promotion (archive) (MozArchive) of Georgescu:

So far, no evidence has been found of a covert influence operation on the platform in recent weeks related to the presidential elections in Romania, nor of any external influence, the company representatives concluded.

Russia, too, denied interference ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) in the elections:

“More and more absurd accusations are being made by local politicians, officials and media representatives...,” she added.

“We firmly reject all hostile attacks, which we consider absolutely groundless.”

Someone who actually bothered to read the documents ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) says there isn't any evidence of wrongdoing in there, at all - *“Conclusion: The CSAT documents declassified by the President provide absolutely no real, concrete basis for the public narratives about a “Russian attack” on Romania or of links between Georgescu’s campaign and Russia. These documents, by themselves, cannot rationally be used as arguments against this candidate because they prove nothing”*. I can't confirm it but see nothing wrong in his analysis at first glance.

But even if there was truly *“Russian interference”*, who cares? People still went to the polls and voted for Georgescu. Why not run a counter-propaganda for your own candidate, if you're so scared of a few Russian bots? When you decide that elections are invalid just because they were (allegedly; it has not been proven) influenced by "Russian propaganda", you are basically saying that your citizens are too stupid to resist the manipulation. "Russian propaganda" appears, takes over brain, and boom the NPC goes and votes for Georgescu. Nice way to think of your citizens - wonder what'd they say if you told them that directly? But of course, it would

demolish the entire basis of democratic elections. If you can't go on TikTok to run your campaign, it basically ensures that only the well funded candidates (those who can afford billboard ads, TV time, etc...) will get themselves heard. Which means the power stays in the same hands forever. Amazing. Yet that is what they actually want and Russia makes a good scapegoat to bring this situation about.

Why was it so important to deny Georgescu the win? <https://arynews.tv/calin-georgescu-the-man-at-the-centre-of-romania-election/> (archive) (MozArchive):

“Anything, any policy and any political actor that changes that risks having not just a major impact on Romania itself, on the course of Romania’s security and democracy, but also for the security of Europe and of the Euro-Atlantic area,” she said.

A senior EU diplomat told Reuters after the election’s first round it would be a “disaster” for the EU if Georgescu won the presidency, noting Romania’s shared border with Ukraine and the fact it was one of the European bloc’s larger countries.

<https://www.lowyinstitute.org/the-interpreter/romania-s-presidential-election-triggers-uncertainty-europe-ukraine-its-allies> (archive) (MozArchive):

He pledges to boost defence, build agricultural self-sufficiency, reduce foreign investment and end national support of the Ukraine war.

<https://www.politico.eu/article/romania-presidential-election-calin-georgescu-military-nato-russia/> (archive) (MozArchive):

But those international ties are deplored by Georgescu, who has condemned the Deveselu missiles as a national “shame,” campaigned for ending Romanian aid to Ukraine, and called for “Russian wisdom” in shaping foreign policy.

<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/topics/en/article/20240201STO17291/president-iohannis-we-must-stand-by-ukraine-and-its-people> (archive) (MozArchive):

Continuing support for Ukraine must be among the EU’s key priorities, according to the Romanian President. “We must stand by Ukraine and its people,” he stressed, adding that defending democracy, territorial integrity and sovereignty, as well as the rules-based international order, cannot be subject to any “solidarity fatigue”.

And so on. Basically every media outlet focuses on only one main reason - the war in Ukraine. By not sending any weapons there, Călin would have compromised psychopath Zelensky's "operation mass male sacrifice", and the army of Zelensky dick-suckers in the EU just can't have it. I mean, you can mention Călin's based conspiracy theories and the other stuff, but I don't think they matter that much. They hate him because he threatened the supremacy of the EU, of which the male sacrifice in Ukraine is the cornerstone that we've been propagandized into accepting for the last 3 years. If that's exposed then the illusion of "EU values" collapses, and maybe takes the entire EU down a peg or two in general. Maybe we could get more decentralized governments instead of this behemoth ruling everything. Maybe people would start questioning the official narratives more often, once a big and influential politician was actually able to lead a country according to other ideas, and maybe even show they worked better. Georgescu was in a particularly good position to threaten the EU because of this (archive) (MozArchive):

Making matters worse for pro-EU forces, Romanian presidents wield a lot of power — unlike many other European political systems — including the ability to veto legislation, in a fashion similar to France's semi-presidential form of democracy. Romanian presidents also have clout over foreign affairs, intelligence agencies and the military while also representing the country at international institutions and events.

This situation shows that - even if an independent candidate by a thunderstrike of Zeus gets past all the hurdles inherent in the election system - he won't get into power if he threatens the status quo. I really hope that - when they redo the elections ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) in May - Georgescu will sweep again, but I somehow doubt it. I fear they will somehow modify the process in a way that makes it impossible for him to win, maybe ban TikTok campaigns at all, etc. Or just fake the votes directly, since that's clearly not beyond the psychopaths in charge. **UPDATE June 2025:** late, but they did exactly what I thought they would ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) - *“Romania's electoral commission on Sunday banned the candidacy of far-right politician Calin Georgescu for the upcoming presidential elections”*. Some Zelensky dick-sucker ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) (*“Dan, who is currently the mayor of the capital Bucharest, is a strong supporter of Romania’s NATO membership and has pledged to continue providing aid to Ukraine” [...]*) ended up winning, of course.

How to bury a politician(s), revisited

The Zelensky worshippers did it again ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), this time in Moldova:

The Central Electoral Commission (CEC) has cancelled the registration of members of the Republican Party Heart of Moldova as candidates at the parliamentary elections

within the Patriotic Electoral Bloc. The decision was taken today, based on the decision by the Centru Court of Appeal, which ordered the suspension of the activities of the Republican Party Heart of Moldova, led by Irina Vlah, for a period of 12 months.

Heh. This time, they didn't wait for them to possibly win, but simply kicked them out of the competition before it began. They not only can't participate in the elections, but do pretty much nothing else that's relevant:

"During the period of limiting the activity of the political party, the latter is prohibited from participating individually or jointly in elections or referendums, producing, supplying, distributing and disseminating political advertising, founding mass media, organizing meetings at rallies, demonstrations, pickets, and other public actions. It is also banned from using all types of bank deposits, except in cases when discounts with contractors, payments related to fulfilling individual work contracts, compensating damages caused by the actions of the political party, and payments for taxes, duties and fines are necessary," said Pavel Postica.

But why did they incur the wrath of this "Central Electoral Commission"? They didn't suck Zelensky's dick hard enough. It is very obvious. On September 23, the current president met up (archive) (MozArchive) with some psychos from the EU to finish "integrating" Moldova into it:

President Maia Sandu, on September 23 at the Presidency, welcomed the public officials who had contributed to concluding the evaluation process of aligning national legislation with European legislation, known as screening, on the occasion of the successful completion of this decisive step on Moldova's path to European integration.

And a big part, maybe even fundamental, of "European legislation" is the mass male sacrifice in Ukraine.

During the meeting, the head of state expressed gratitude for the intense work and dedication of the teams from all involved institutions, which, over the past 15 months, had proved professionalism, responsibility and perseverance.

Do you think they would bother spending those 15 months of "integration" attempts just so a pro-Russian party would come and ruin it all by winning and denying pro-Zelensky bills from passing? Of course not. So they got rid of them before they could cause any damage. But how did they do so? A few weeks earlier, they raided (archive) (MozArchive) members of the Heart of Moldova to try to find evidence to condemn them:

According to our sources, security raids are taking place at more than 60 addresses, mainly in Gagauzia and Chisinau. At least seven people have already been detained, including Vlah's deputy Olesya Tanasoglo.

I have searched the entire Internet for evidence that these people committed any wrongdoings, but have failed to find any. It's all "suspected" and "alleged". Therefore, a similar situation to Georgescu's. But even if there was such evidence - as I said in the previous case - people would still have to go and vote for their preferred party; no matter how much "financing" you have, it won't bypass the need to earn the approval of your voters. One way or another, what happened is totally unjustified. And you can't expect me to believe this was a coincidence, when it happened twice in a row and somehow never seems to happen to pro-EU candidates.

Of course, elections - along with the entire legal system - have never been very successful in

shifting the balance of power towards the plebeian, in executing justice, or in anything else worthy. Yet, it seems that it's only since the start of the Ukraine war that the psychopaths in charge have dropped all pretenses. Now, they will simply kick out anyone that even slightly threatens their dreamed up dystopia. Of course, the pro-Zelensky party ended up winning ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)):

President Maia Sandu's Party of Action and Solidarity (PAS) had secured 50.2 per cent of the vote with all ballots counted by Monday.

And the expected psychos liked it:

Ukraine's president Volodymyr Zelensky hailed Moldova's election result, saying Moscow had failed to "destabilise" the country.

Ursula von der Leyen, the European Commission president, hailed the outcome in a post on X: "Moldova, you've done it again. No attempt to sow fear or division could break your resolve."

How shameless. "Moldova's" views were not represented here at all, only those of the psychos who banned undesirable candidates. Though I'm not going to take this claim at face value, Russia even says ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) that *"hundreds of thousands of Moldovans were unable to vote in the Russian Federation, as only two polling stations were opened for them"*. I wouldn't be surprised at all, knowing what the psychos are capable of.

The legislative mirage

What about when something beneficial to us happens in the law world? Well, this works the same way as Mozilla implementing a change that actually helps the user once a year or so. They simply have to throw us a bone once in a while so that people celebrate and assume the system is working in their favor. Or, these supposedly good laws could simply be accidental results bound to happen in a chaotic world. Anyway, when actually analyzed, these benefits **often turn out to be illusory**. Let's take GDPR, a recent law allegedly allowing people to request the data companies have on them, as well as require consent before - say - storing cookies on your device:

- Most websites are still not compliant with it (archive) (MozArchive).
- Only certain cookies need consent according to the GDPR (MozArchive). If the company has a *“legitimate interest”* in setting the cookie, it can stay. But when does *“interest”* cease to be *“legitimate”*? According to this document (local) which defines all the GDPR terms, there are **no clear criteria** for legitimacy; if you pass a *“balancing test”* (as in, if your *“interests”* are judged to be more important than those of the person's whose data you're processing), you can set the cookie. Meaning it's something someone else arbitrarily decides on a case-by-case basis; **there is nothing that is banned for sure** with this scheme. Some of the reasons a cookie might be allowed to be set without consent are *“conventional direct marketing and other forms of marketing or advertisement”*, *“enforcement of legal claims including debt collection via out-of-court procedures”*, and *“processing for historical, scientific or statistical purposes”*. Amazing. This is just another fake initiative that I suspect will barely ever be applied in favor of the victim and almost always in favor of the spy...oops I mean *“data controller”*. There is even a section called *“Legitimate interests of third parties”* - lol! So GDPR doesn't even bother to prevent third-party spying. Even if a cookie somehow managed to

violate the “*legitimate interest*” rule, would anyone even care? And even if they did care, would the company actually get punished in a way that mattered? As said before - even if you assume honesty of the legal system - **it cannot keep up with all the violations.**

- GDPR makes websites full of obnoxious cookie notices you have to click through. And it turns out that over 99% of people do just that ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) or completely ignore them, anyway. This isn't really surprising - after all, who wants to read about all the cookie categories and disable certain ones just to read a single article that they want to? And then do that for almost every site they're going to visit? It's just adding more mouse clicks and mental effort that's already very limited. Clearly, the people coming up with these insane laws live in dream worlds. In fact, the cookie notice could be considered a dark pattern in itself. If they were my only option to not be tracked, I'd just give up using the Web or only read the few sites that I know don't include them. Fortunately, uMatrix exists.
- Many sites prefer to simply ban European users than follow the law.
- There's a possible fine of up to 25 million \$ (or 4% of annual revenue if that's bigger) for violating GDPR. Peanuts for big corpos, a problem for small fries. Besides, **finances don't prevent them from continuing to violate the law anyway.**
- Big corpos have the resources to engage in court fights, small fries don't.
- There are reports from users saying that ebay, for example, required uploading your passport before they send you your data. And there's no guarantee you've got all of it. Nor that they've deleted it if requested.

As you can see, GDPR is yet another **colossal governmental failure**, so common whenever they try to regulate the Internet. Of course, the solution isn't to let businesses do anything they want

to, as that would be a way bigger disaster. You could try to make examples of a few of the biggest violators by throwing them in jail (but this assumes that the legal system isn't compromised in the first place), or just take away the incentives to be evil, which consist of mostly profit, but not only. Of course, uMatrix and other client-side solutions also sidestep the entire legal conundrum, and are quite acceptable **for now**. Long term, though, we need deeper changes.

Anyway, let's look at some other examples of supposedly good laws that are not so good when examined more closely. Maine lawmakers pass bill to prevent ISPs from selling browsing data without consent ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)). First of all, this law could have only happened because of another evil law ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) that came before it. Also, Maine is just one place out of millions in the world. How many people will really benefit? ISPs can still do lots of malicious things like show you ads, block VPNs or torrents, slow down connections on purpose, falsely advertise internet speeds, etc. Where are the laws against those? And it has to be asked what will be the consequences against ISPs violating this new law (I suspect nothing or a tiny fine as usual).

Regardless of how good you think the GDPR or the above law is, for every one like it, a hundred bad ones get implemented in the meantime - such as Austria's real name requirement ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), Germany banning encryption ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), GCHQ Ghost Proposal ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) (which would allow *“silently adding a law enforcement participant to a group chat or call”*), UK porn block ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), EU copyright directive ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), and many, many others. Then consider the thousands upon thousands of ancient laws that harm us to this day, such as the ones shoving kids into the torture chamber known as school. It always makes me cringe when people send letters or petitions trying to get a law stopped. I mean do you really think that's going to work? They know what

they're doing and have no obligation to listen to the plebeians (that's me and you and anyone else who writes them). Even if such a letter succeeded, you'd have to send millions of those to tackle all the shit laws. You think you can do it? Good luck.

Stop Killing Games

Ross Scott's campaign for game preservation provides a pretty much perfect example of the folly of this strategy. By the way, I have nothing whatsoever against him or his endeavors; in fact I think he's absolutely based. But regardless, the whole thing was undoubtedly a failure, despite the extreme effort he's put into it. So extreme, he's even called it *“The largest campaign ever to stop publishers destroying games”* consisting of *“Legal action in many countries”*. Spoiler: it only ended up showing just how little effect we have on things by "official" ways, and how little basic respect the "officials" have for us. But let's start with the beginning: what is the campaign even about?

With the current laws (in seemingly all countries), a video game company can release a game that requires an online server to play, then pull the plug at any moment, leaving the buyers with a nonfunctional product. In this video, Ross states that he *“certainly doesn't know of a way to legally preserve an online multiplayer game if the publisher doesn't want you to”*. Fixing which is the heart of his campaign. What are the effects so far?

In a December update video he says that the Canadian government *“passed the buck”* for the consideration of his proposal onto the provinces - of which two have already rejected it (and none accepted). Australian government has basically dismissed the idea. The UK petition was *“resubmitted”* after the previous failure (archive) (MozArchive), in which the government

mocked us with a giant word-salad, pretty much admitting that the companies' commercial interests trump the users' expectations. Funnily, Ross says he was actually invited to some European consumer organization ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) meeting where they covered gaming issues including this one, and asked for statistics of the prevalence of "dead games". To him, it seemed that this venue is the least likely to be effective; I kind of disagree, since well, he has actually managed to worm himself into a seat at the discussion table. Yet - even though the organization's website says that they are *“acknowledged as a trustworthy representative by decision-makers”* - they are **not a legislative body themselves**; and so far, they have been ignored. Besides, if the sentiment is that "more proof that this is even a problem is needed" (which it was at this meeting), then we've already lost. Video game (a type of art) preservation needs to be accepted as something with inherent value, but that's not the way it looks like to the legislators. Big business is given the benefit of the doubt, as it always is. If things worked properly, governments would have already made it a crime to bury a game; the developer would be required to release it in a way that allows offline play after they kill the servers. To make it really hardcore - once commercial gains stop being made from an installment - require releasing the source for it, too, as well as giving up all rights (to that specific installment, not necessarily the entire IP). Now that would be quite based! A year of the citizens' campaign should be enough for something like this (if we truly had the power), but there has been absolutely zero success so far (because we don't) - all while increasing number of games (again, art pieces) are dying forever.

There is no democracy

Some people have complained about how stupid democracy is because stupid people get to vote. Or because it's tyranny of the majority. Or because it's two wolves deciding to eat the

sheep. But that's surface level thinking. Guess what “*democracy*” actually means (archive) (MozArchive):

The word “democracy” comes from two Greek words that mean people (demos) and rule (kratos).

And how it's supposed to play out in practice:

Each year 500 names were chosen from all the citizens of ancient Athens. Those 500 citizens had to actively serve in the government for one year. During that year, they were responsible for making new laws and controlled all parts of the political process. When a new law was proposed, all the citizens of Athens had the opportunity to vote on it.

- Have you ever been asked whether you want COVID restrictions or vaccine mandates during the "pandemic"?
- Have you ever been asked whether you want your country to send tanks to Ukraine, and how many?
- Have you ever been asked whether you want advertisements to be displayed outside, and what kind of, and how many?
- Have you ever been asked whether you want your children to be thrown into school or how the "curriculum" should look like?
- Have you ever been asked whether you want GM foods to be labeled in your country? Or if they could even be sold at all?
- Have you ever been asked about...anything that matters?

If not, then **how the FUCK** can the current system be called a “*democracy*”? It is the exact

opposite of the original Athenian democracy. Using that word to describe whatever we have right now is literal Orwellian doublethink. Because the amount of influence your average citizen has right now is exactly **zero**. I have literally never seen anyone speak about *“democracy”* positively, because they all associate it with the modern rule by the 0.001%. But actually, if we had Athenian democracy in every city (or even per smaller area), then nothing would be able to pass without at least most people living there agreeing with it. It would still not be a panacea (people could still choose dumb laws, or be bribed by big corporations etc; and maybe running society according to something rigid like "laws" isn't the best option anyway) - but a massive improvement over the current system which has a small group of psychopaths stepping on the plebs who can only receive the boot. And yet everyone these days has a knee jerk reaction against the one thing that could actually fix this world.

Athenian democracy - by the way - would be really easy to do through the Internet. I mean, just have a HTML form with all the "current things" votable every month or so. Many ways this could go wrong, of course; someone would still have to come up with the votable issues, and ensure to frame them neutrally. There'd need to be good security on the backend so that no one could double-vote or vote for someone else. Of course, you'd probably have to dox yourself to the website and prove your location - but you do that with "regular" voting anyway; and this one would actually accomplish something. There'd need to be full transparency with publicly available anonymized voting results in real time, so that the current so-called "elites" cannot cheat and bypass the people's wishes to push their preferred policies. But again, even a badly done Athenian democracy would be much better than what we have currently. No excuse to not at least try it.

COVID slavery exposes the legal beast

This is old news at this point, but it's only recently that I dug into the relevant documents and found some really juicy stuff in there. To be specific - on March 2020, the Polish government approved a set of modifications to the existing laws. Much of these consist of boring administrative stuff about the delivery of medicines, etc. I will skip all those and move straight to the meat of it:

„Art. 34. 1. W celu zapobiegania szerzeniu się zakażeń i chorób zakaźnych, osoby chore na chorobę zakaźną albo osoby podejrzane o zachorowanie na chorobę zakaźną mogą podlegać obowiązkowej hospitalizacji.

Translation (will be skipping law names and other fluff for this and all subsequent translations):

In order to prevent the spread of infections and infectious diseases, people infected or assumed to be infected can be subjected to forced hospitalization

Amazing. Just the "assumption" that you were sick was enough to shove you into the hospital, where you were likely to be harmed or killed without any possible way to resist, as visits were banned and medical workers spared any legal consequences related to applying official COVID treatments.

2. Osoby zdrowe, które pozostawały w styczności z osobami chorymi na choroby zakaźne podlegają obowiązkowej kwarantannie lub nadzorowi epidemiologicznemu, jeżeli tak postanowią organy inspekcji sanitarnej przez okres nie dłuższy niż 21 dni, licząc od ostatniego dnia styczności.

Translation:

Healthy people, who were in contact with infected people are subject to mandatory quarantine or epidemiological supervision, if decided by the institutions of sanitary inspection. The duration will be no more than 21 days, counting from the last day of contact.

If you were found to be “*in contact*” with a "COVID case", you would be imprisoned for up to 21 days. We knew that already. But that's not the worst of it:

3. Obowiązkowa kwarantanna lub nadzór epidemiologiczny, o których mowa w ust. 2, mogą być stosowane wobec tej samej osoby więcej niż raz, do czasu stwierdzenia braku zagrożenia dla zdrowia lub życia ludzkiego

Translation:

*Mandatory quarantine or epidemiological supervision **can be used against the same person more than once**, until the lack of danger to human health or life is confirmed*

Ha! In theory, the "COVID act" enabled locking someone up indefinitely. Let's not forget that COVID tests do not prove that someone is sick or can spread the sickness, so this stipulation never had an evidential basis. The parts following this one are pretty boring and expected, but what's coming after will absolutely shock you. Equip the Shield of the Damned and get ready for the thunderstrike:

„Art. 44a. 1. Jeżeli użycie innych sił i środków jest niemożliwe lub może okazać się niewystarczające, Minister Obrony Narodowej może przekazać do dyspozycji Głównego Inspektora Sanitarnego lub wojewody, na którego obszarze podejmowane są działania

związane ze stanem zagrożenia epidemicznego, stanem epidemii albo w razie niebezpieczeństwa szerzenia się zakażenia lub choroby zakaźnej, które może stanowić zagrożenie dla zdrowia publicznego, w szczególności wystąpienia choroby szczególnie niebezpiecznej lub wysoce zakaźnej, pododdziały lub oddziały Sił Zbrojnych Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej, wraz ze skierowaniem ich do wykonywania zadań z tym związanych.

Translation:

*In case the other means prove inadequate, the Minister of National Defense can **place the Polish Armed Forces** at the disposal of the Chief Sanitary Inspector or governor of the province where there is (a danger of) an epidemic of a particularly infectious or serious disease, and **assign them to execute relevant actions**.*

Mask off moment for the "COVID act". **The government was ready to send the army on your ass if you broke restrictions** too much. Though they don't say it directly, *"the relevant actions"* is all-encompassing, and must by definition include killing. After all, why was the army specifically brought as a final solution? What are they able to do that the other institutions are not? Mass killing, of course. And it doesn't matter that it didn't end up happening, only that the psychopaths were prepared to execute it if the conditions demanded it (eg. mass violations of restrictions which of course had no chance in this country of cowards).

There is more after this about "zero COVID zones" and similar China-tier stuff that I don't care to cover, as I think involving the army proves my point well enough. So this is the act that got applied in Poland very soon after corona became a sensation. This shows the so-called "elites" can act fast if they need to (eg. to worsen our slavery). I suspect many countries had similar

ones, but can't be bothered to confirm ATM (if you have any idea, E-mail me). Anyway, can you guess how many deputies accepted (archive) (MozArchive) the modifications?

Za ustawą uchwaloną przez Sejm 2 marca opowiedzieli się wszyscy biorący udział w głosowaniu posłowie PiS - 226 osób, 113 na 114 biorących udział w głosowaniu posłów KO (jeden poseł, Tomasz Lenz, głosował przeciw), 42 na 43 uczestniczących w głosowaniu posłów Lewicy (od głosu wstrzymała się posłanka Wanda Nowicka), z klubu PSL-Kukiz'15 18 posłów poparło ustawę, a 6 wstrzymało się od głosu, ustawę poparł też poseł niezrzeszony Ryszard Galla, a wszyscy biorący udział w głosowaniu posłowie Konfederacji głosowali przeciw ustawie.

CBF translating directly but all 226 deputies of PiS and 113 out of 114 deputies of KO (two main parties) voted for it, then 42 out of 43 and 15 out of 18 from some alternative parties. Only the far right party Konfederacja was fully against it, but of course, they have comparably few members and the slavery act ended up passing by an almost unanimous decision regardless of their opposition to it.

This example perfectly showcases how the legal system is designed to fuck us over. The slavery act appeared on the deputies' desks, and boom 6 days later it got approved and we became slaves without any "official" way of resisting. If you did it "unofficially" and were persistent enough, the army would be waiting to wipe you and your revolutionary buddies out. And during the "pandemic", you were enslaved whether you were a "marginalized" autistic black transwoman or the supposedly most "privileged" straight white Christian man. I'm mentioning this because lately, the sentiment that some groups of plebeians somehow avoid the oppression, or even are themselves the oppressors, is becoming more and more popular. It's a joke totally disproved by the COVID situation, as well as by the schooling system, CCTV

surveillance, and everything else that we are all subjected to. The oppression goes from the so-called "elites" to the plebs, and not from whites to blacks or any of the myriad other inter-pleb combinations. The so-called "elites" are non-discriminatory in their abuse, yet people keep falling for the division tactics. Keep this in mind the next time you hear about "X pleb group rights", and remember that during the "pandemic", we all had none.

Protests don't work

On January 31, 2023, 1.27 million French people protested ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) against the upcoming rise in retirement age from 62 to 64 years old:

For comparison, on January 31, the biggest day of demonstrations so far, some 1.27 million took part, according to official figures.

On March 7, another one happened - this time with two million people:

trade unions announced that “more than two million people” would take part in rallies on Tuesday.

Regardless of that, the policy got implemented ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)):

Late on Saturday, 195 senators voted in favor of the plans, with 112 opposing them

See? The so-called "elites" do not care about your opinion. But the protests are continuing still. Remember what Einstein said about insanity? Do you really think they'd let you do something that actually threatened the power structure? That's why it's so funny when people tell you to

"check the laws in your area" - or something similar - before going to a protest. Isn't that missing the point? Think about it: your so-called "elites" have bothered you enough for you to go to a protest, but you will let them tell you **how** to protest? Hell, look at this guide to "legal protesting" (archive) (MozArchive):

Groups are allowed to use banners or signs, but restrictions may be placed on the size of the banners and signs as well as the material used in the construction. Signs may not be fastened to wooden sticks, for example, so demonstrators often affix signs to cardboard tubing or simply hold them.

Heh, they are even limiting fucking sign sizes and materials - almost as if the signs were some kind of explosive weapons.

Neither signs nor banners are permitted to be attached to public property such as light posts or telephone poles.

Of course, the "public" property belongs to your so-called "elites", don't you dare touch it, peasant!

Try to obey law enforcement's instructions [...] Never interfere with an officer who is approaching or arresting someone during the event

As seen above, a "legal protest" always requires you to bow down in the end. When it gets too spicy, the so-called "elites" will just send their Kapo pawns (the police) to break it up. As if protests weren't ineffective enough already, following "legalities" ensures they will be completely toothless. It should be obvious - almost tautological - that bowing down to an

abuser doesn't do anything long term. If he enjoys abusing his favorite human pets, and knows he's not going to suffer any consequence for it, why would he stop? In fact, he will increase the brutality more and more; that is exactly what happens in abusive relationships. At least, until the victim dares to resist - in a way that actually worries the perpetrator, instead of one he would laugh at (AKA "legal protests" or the chihuahua's barking). And this is why the chest-puffing right wingers end up hurting everyone when they scream "fed! fed!" (archive) (MozArchive) at anyone who dares to suggest resistance; they are the ones helping the so-called "elites" by insisting on dead ends.

European Slavery Union wants to take over all media

I just learned (from an obscure Polish blog no less) that the EU has unleashed (MozArchive) the "Media Freedom Act" (local) a few days ago, which (in the marketing materials) is supposed to "support journalistic independence" and similar noble-sounding nonsense. But this kind of portrayal hides the deeper, more horrifying reality of it - one that no media outlet has covered so far. So let me do it, and remember to hold your gaming chair tightly:

Let's start with determining **who does this law even apply to**. The EU defines "media service" like this:

‘media service’ means a service as defined by Articles 56 and 57 TFEU, where the principal purpose of the service or a dissociable section thereof consists in providing programmes or press publications, under the editorial responsibility of a media service provider, to the general public, by any means, in order to inform, entertain or educate;

Okay, I think this makes it clear enough. If you write a "*press publication*", "*in order to inform*,

entertain or educate”, “by any means” you are subject to the new laws. But just to be sure, let's try to find out (archive) (MozArchive) what “*press publications*” are:

‘press publication’ means a collection composed mainly of literary works of a journalistic nature, but which can also include other works or other subject matter, and which:

constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine;

has the purpose of providing the general public with information related to news or other topics; and

is published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider.

Periodicals that are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, are not press publications for the purposes of this Directive;

So, does the Dig Deeper digital newspaper fit? I don't see anything in it violating the definition. Unless I am somehow not a “*service provider*”? But again, this seems like a weak tree branch to grab in hopes that it will save me from falling into the lake full of EU crocodiles - especially since the main definition seems to cover writing “*by any means*”. What we know 100% from here (archive) (local) is that **anyone running a commercial media service** absolutely **will** be subject to these, no question:

Services shall be considered to be ‘services’ within the meaning of the Treaties where

they are normally provided for remuneration, in so far as they are not governed by the provisions relating to freedom of movement for goods, capital and persons. 'Services' shall in particular include: (a) activities of an industrial character; (b) activities of a commercial character; (c) activities of craftsmen; (d) activities of the professions.

But that's still really vague; are people who offer (but don't require) donation options included? No one knows, and it's something that would doom me and many bloggers. Nor does this explicitly keep the non-commercial writers off the hook. So again, we don't have total confirmation of who's exactly going to be subject to the new laws, but it does look like they tried to cast the net as widely as they could, without stating it directly. But what's so horrible about these policies, anyway? If you have a shield or helmet nearby, now is the time to equip it:

1. Media service providers shall make easily and directly accessible to the recipients of their services up-to-date information on: (a) their legal name or names and contact details

My legal name is Sagma Digg, nice to meet you.

To further contribute to a high level of media ownership transparency, Member States should also entrust national regulatory authorities or bodies, or other competent authorities and bodies, with developing media ownership databases. Such databases should work as a one-stop shop allowing recipients of media services to easily check the relevant information related to a given media service provider.

So there will be a global, EU-wide database of who owns which media outlet. Or in other words, **the end of anonymous publishing** (assuming you will cuck, which you - as the reader of this site - surely won't, right?). Of course, you could say that maybe they are meaning to apply

this only to **owners** of media outlets, for whom other journalists write. Though it's a stretch, and not really supported by the earlier quotes (or anything I can find in the entire doc), even that wouldn't really save the new policies, for this reason:

Intrusive surveillance software should only be deployed where it is justified by an overriding reason of public interest [...]

Ha! So spyware **can** be deployed against journalists if EU psychos decide there is “*public interest*” riding on it (until now, every “*member state*” decided whether and in which cases to deploy the spyware; from now on it will be enforced from above). After this quote, comes a bunch of requirements that supposedly have to be satisfied to be able to use this method, including a judge's approval, but I don't think this will be really hard to receive for the psychos - nor that they won't break it if they want to, anyway. The point is, once the door for spying has been opened, the journalist can't really feel free to report what he wants to (chilling effect). So much for “journalistic independence”. They realize it, BTW:

Intrusive surveillance software, including, in particular, what is commonly referred to as ‘spyware’, represents a particularly invasive form of surveillance over media professionals and their sources. It can be deployed to secretly record calls or otherwise use the microphone of an end-user device, film or photograph natural persons, machines or their surroundings, copy messages, access encrypted content data, track browsing activity, track geolocation or collect other sensor data, or track activities across multiple end-user devices. It has dissuasive effects on the free exercise of economic activities in the media sector. It jeopardises, in particular, the trusted relationship of journalists with their sources, which is the core of the journalistic profession. Given the digital and intrusive nature of such software and the use of devices across borders, it has a

particularly detrimental impact on the exercise of economic activities by media service providers in the internal market. It is therefore necessary to ensure that media service providers, including journalists, operating in the internal market for media services can rely on robust harmonised protection in relation to the deployment of intrusive surveillance software in the Union, including where Member State authorities resort to private parties to deploy it.

No, what's required is to just let them do their jobs, and stop prying. But why pry in the first place? Let's turn off our conspiracy theory modules for a while, and try to figure out why even implement all these measures, from the point of view of the government?

Thirdly, the good functioning of the internal market for media services is challenged by providers, including those controlled by certain third countries, that systematically engage in disinformation or information manipulation and interference, and use the internal market freedoms for abusive purposes, thus thwarting the proper functioning of market dynamics.

In a well-functioning internal market, recipients of media services should be able to access quality media services which have been produced by journalists in an independent manner and in line with ethical and journalistic standards and which, therefore, provide trustworthy information.

disseminated in diverse ways, including by uploading it to online platforms. Quality media services are also an antidote against disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference. Access to such services should also be ensured by preventing attempts to silence journalists, ranging from threats and harassment to

ensorship and cancelling of dissenting opinions, which could limit the free flow of information into the public sphere by reducing the quality and plurality of information.

It's to prevent “*disinformation*” especially from “*certain third countries*” that perform “*foreign information manipulation and interference*”. Hmm, I wonder what those countries could be? Nepal maybe? Thailand? Equatorial Guinea? Sorry I'm running blank, but it's surely not the big bad Russia. When the EU says they want journalism to be produced “independently” and ensure “quality information”, it's a cover for keeping Russia out of it. So yeah, just like you need to be sucking Zelensky's dick to get into politics - as someone has already learned - and another someone ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) is about to follow him - soon you'll need to be doing the same to get into the media. Which I strongly suspect will also include personal sites. At least that's the EU psycho dream; they might still not have the resources to follow up on everyone, but picking and choosing particularly important targets will still be a possibility. And as usual, this is yet another law that's been prepared without input from the plebeians, in order to prevent them from realizing how vile and nonsensical Zelensky's propaganda is, while pretending to have noble aims.

If you're wondering why blocking and / or punishing the spread of the so-called “Russian propaganda” is so evil, realize that you can't really know anything about any topic if you only stick to “one side” (which in Polish media consists exclusively of Zelensky dick-sucking). Especially if it relates to war - “*the first casualty of war is the truth*”. That is why you need to be able to examine what both sides of the conflict say, or even independent commentators - but the EU psychos don't want to let you do that. They want your “research” to consist of slogans about hero Zelensky bravely fighting the “*full-scale Russian invasion*”, while ignoring the trucks dragging ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) innocent Ukrainian men to the front line to die (even attempting to do that to 14 year olds ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#))), his complete resistance to

peaceful resolution ([MozArchive](#)), his robbery of hundreds of billions of dollars from Europe / USA, his illegitimate extension of presidency, etc. So - no matter how hard the EU psychos pretend these new laws are just about protecting "journalistic freedom" - they can't fool me. What they actually want is to be able to hunt down reporters (including what up until now were anonymous internet ones) who question their delusional Zelensky-worshipping narrative by either putting them into some dystopian global database of anyone who has ever written anything, and / or putting spyware on their devices to grab evidence to punish them later. Of course, long term, this will end up affecting not only Zelensky's critics, but everyone - and forever. One official narrative to rule them all is the final goal of this scheme.

Fines don't work

Have you ever heard of a corporate big fish being jailed? Me neither. That is regardless of corporations being responsible for causing someone's cancer ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) through their products, and burying the evidence. Or bribing doctors to promote drugs proven to cause harm ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) for unapproved (illegal) uses. What would happen if you sold a poisoned doughnut on the streets? Probably an immediate jail time if caught. But for the corporate higher-ups, it's always either a slap on the wrist or a fine. That is if they are even required to pay them...

Corporate Crackdown

Big penalties handed down in recent years by regulators against tech and financial firms



*Under appeal

‡Dropped following Apple repayment of back taxes

†Anticipated

Source: The companies; news reports; The Information reporting

Apple fine was canceled ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)). Facebook is not even confirmed yet (**UPDATE July 2023**: they were fined in 2019, still can't find a confirmation they've actually paid). Three of four Google's fines (as well as the Intel one) are being appealed (**UPDATE July 2023**: hey, Google actually lost an appeal ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)). Finally, someone has at least paid; even though this barely put a dent in their earnings), and the remaining one was tiny in comparison. Oh, and I tried to find the resolution to the Intel fine, too, and guess what - 13

years later it got canceled (archive) (MozArchive). Do you now see the pointlessness of this whole fining circus? 13 years of legal screwing around, and nothing came out of it. How about the banking fines? With how much money they have, they pretty much end up being just **slaps on the wrist** - *“JPMorgan Chase is the largest bank in the United States, and is ranked by S&P Global as the sixth largest bank in the world by total assets as of 2018, to the amount of \$2.535 trillion”*. So the fine is not even 1% of what they have. Besides, they can just print more (archive) (MozArchive). As we can see, fining corporos is nothing more than a theater, and the people responsible for their crimes never suffer any direct consequences regardless of the harm they do.

UPDATE: it is 2022, and I want to give a hard, mathematical proof that fines are useless. Look here (archive) (MozArchive):

On December 31, 2021, the CNIL fined GOOGLE a total of 150 million euros (90 million euros for GOOGLE LLC and 60 million euros for GOOGLE IRELAND LIMITED) because users of google.fr and youtube.com can't refuse or accept cookies as easily.

A 150 million euro fine! Surely, Google will never recover from this. RIP. **UPDATE 2023:** the report for the full year is in, and the situation is even worse than it seemed:

Ruth Porat, CFO of Alphabet and Google, said: “Our Q4 consolidated revenues were \$76 billion, up 1% year over year, or up 7% in constant currency, and \$283 billion for the full year 2022

That's 775 million USD per day, which is 704.5 million EUR (by the April 2022 conversion

rate of 1.10). They have regained the losses in less than a day (actually about 5 hours)! Have the officials really not learned basic math in school? Or maybe they've just been bribed; it doesn't really matter. The fact is that the fines are mathematically proven to be useless.

There is another aspect to be considered here. What HAS Google actually been fined for? Turns out it's because you can't deny their tracking cookies easily enough - *“Several clicks are required to refuse all cookies, against a single one to accept them.”* This is fine, the problem here is that it's only one of hundreds of abuses that Google is doing. They are still shoving reCAPTCHA up your face (and training their AI with it). Still sending a truck of data with every Google Chrome startup. Still collecting your internet habits from all the sites that use Google Analytics, Google Tag Manager or other Google trash. And correlating it all with the YouTube videos that you watch and the Gmails that you send. And since they are apart of PRISM, all of that is being sent to the feds. Then consider all the disgusting censorship they are employing on their search engine. Is it not obvious that punishing them for some cookies doesn't really matter?

Imagine Google as a country that is going to war. So they are deploying thousands of tanks, hundreds of fighter jets, and millions of ground troops with their high tech weapons. Obviously, they're crushing any poor country they've invaded in days. Finally, people manage to kill one of Google's soldiers, and this is proclaimed as some great victory. All while the wave of tanks and jets is still taking a dump on the country. Add to that alliances with other malicious "countries" like Facebook, Microsoft, Twitter, Mozilla, Amazon and Cloudflare - and you realize there is no way to win this war by removing singular "soldiers" - when the violating "countries" have trained a hundred more in that time. Hell, forget the "killing" of the soldier, since it's doubtful Google will stop the tracking cookie violations anyway - so make that "maiming". Against the whole of Google's army (plus their allies), all we've managed to do is MAIM (not even kill) one

of their soldiers. Does anyone still think the legal system works? **Even if you assume honesty, it cannot keep up with all the violations.**

You can't run away

During the early lockdowns, someone on a forum I was on back then, asked for a safe place to settle to avoid NWO bullshit. He got a few answers like Japan or Belarus. But, the plandemic actually made it clear that the **entire world is compromised already**. Sure, there are better and worse places, but evil has placed its hands everywhere. Now I do not know the situation in every place out there, but it is obvious that the entirety of Europe, USA, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, China (just for a few major examples) went extremely dystopian. Sure, there were outliers like Sweden and Belarus and some USA states that did a few things differently, but Pfizer still ruled the roost. You couldn't find a vitamin D dispenser site anywhere, debate wasn't encouraged, tests were shitty everywhere, death stats probably faked everywhere, etc. If you were putting your hopes into Africa, South America, or smaller Asian countries - forget it. Remember the mysteriously dead presidents? I surely am not familiar with every one of those places, but check this, as an example:

Thank you for your message
Steve, I have many friends &
people who did not Vaccinate
their children & all of those

children are perfectly healthy,
BUT the problem is, IF those
parents & children come forward,
I am afraid the Government will
Punish those Parents, because in
Pakistan, Bill Gates has deep
connections & he literally forces
all Vaccines from Top of
Government & even Military.
During C19, even Bank Accounts
of people were blocked to force
them to present Vaccination IDs,
it is fully Fascist Vaccine
enforcement in Pakistan & I
believe many other countries with

Connection to Bill Gates, including Countries in Middle East & Africa!

6:26 PM

Countries like Tajikistan were still being shoved ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) the vaccines by the WHO and other NWO spawns. Later, Tajikistan actually became ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) the first country that mandated the snake venom. So think long and hard whether those lesser known places are good tourist destinations... And remember, we have only covered COVID so far, and didn't touch all the other bullshit every country out there is doing. Even if running away to some place in Africa was viable, why should we do it? Why give up a higher quality (presumably) life in Europe or America? Why be forced to learn a new language? Why waste the money, give up the friends and everything? And how long would that place remain untouched by the hands of evil, anyway? It is clear that running away is at best a temporary solution that's also giving up way too much, and at worst, a total surrender to the forces of darkness. Stop running! There's nowhere to run. We have to fight for our current places of residence.

The courts are compromised, too

So don't expect them to protect you or give you mercy. If you do anything that even slightly bothers the so-called "elites" - anything that might shake up the power structure - they will find

some law out there (thousands to pick from) that you allegedly violated and use that to punish you with the highest possible brutality level. As an example, let's use that time a Polish farmer was punished for hanging this banner on his tractor:



The direct translation is *“Putin, please clean up Ukraine, Brussels and our own government”*. It doesn't translate well, and I suspect you don't know Polish language, so let me tell you that

“*zrób porządek*” (what a dictionary would translate as “*clean up*” even though I don't think it's the most meaningful translation) is the same term you'd use when doing a cleaning in your house, rearranging cables in your computer or tools in your cupboard, or even handling emotions that are running wild. Basically it's used whenever there is a situation to fix that's currently broken, so that's the English term I'd use, that or "install order". With that said, can you guess what they got him for (archive) (MozArchive)?

Po przedstawieniu zarzutów rolnikowi nawoływania publicznego do wszczęcia wojny napastniczej przeciwko Polsce, pochwalenia wojny napastniczej wobec Ukrainy, a także propagowania ustroju komunistycznego, na obecnym etapie oczekujemy na opinię sądowo-psychiatryczną dotyczącą tego podejrzanego.

Translation:

After accusing the farmer of public calling for the invasion of Poland, supporting the invasion of Ukraine, and spreading communist regime, at the moment we're waiting for the psychiatric judgment about the accused.

The fucking “*calling for invasion*” was what got him. But can the insane psycho prosecutor and her media minions show me just where exactly does he do that in the banner? Am I waging war against my shelves when cleaning my room? Am I waging war against my diet when I add healthy food to it? That's how the mainstream is expecting you to think. Besides - even if Putin somehow read the banner (which is already extremely unlikely) and interpreted it this way (he wouldn't) - it's not like he'd then think "oh, this Polish farmer supports me, I now must triple my war efforts". You can't be "calling for war" with any relevant effect if the warlords are ignoring you (assuming you even accept the questionable mainstream theory of the war being caused

exclusively by Putin's insane imperialist dreams). Who is actually supporting the war in Ukraine? The USA / EU politicians, of course, when they send weapons ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) there. I mean is there any more direct way of supporting a war even possible? War can't happen without weapons. And yet the so-called "elites" are not going to punish themselves for sustaining it, of course. On the other hand, they will punish a random farmer who can't affect anything anyway.

The message in that banner actually sounds positive to me; what with removing the bad people in the stated governments and replacing them with better ones, or giving them better organization? That's what a natural reading of the sentence would suggest. Let me reiterate, **there is absolutely nothing here about calling for or supporting any war**. Again, this situation shows that the so-called "elites" will get you whenever they want to, and you don't need to have done what they accuse you of. By the way, it was moderately hard to find a photo of the banner; most of the media sites appear to have scrubbed it. I guess they don't want you to know just why people are being dragged through the court system in the first place; you're just supposed to accept your place as the plebeian that receives whippings. And of course, the psychos can't simply wait for the trial, they're going to bully this poor farmer into total mental destruction before finishing him off when he receives his actual punishments:

Wobec Piotra G. zastosowano dozór policyjny. Trzy razy w tygodniu musi zgłaszać się na policję, oraz poręczenie majątkowe w wysokości 5 tysięcy złotych. Rolnikowi i jego córce grozi 5 lat więzienia.


Translation:

Police supervision has been applied against Piotr G. Three times per week he has to visit

the police station, as well as pay bail of 5 thousand zlotys (about 1K USD / EUR). Him and his daughter could be punished with 5 years of prison.

Since he's going to undergo psychiatric evaluation they are probably going to get him to admit he's insane (maybe with a promise of reduced sentence), and then happily run a media smear campaign on him, with the implication that questioning mainstream narratives is the result of defective psychology.

Brian Thompson's assassination shows what works



On December 4, 2024, the CEO of UnitedHealthcare - a medical insurance company - was killed (archive) (MozArchive) “outside the Hilton hotel at 1335 Avenue of the Americas just after 6.45am after arriving early for the company’s annual investor conference” in New York City, USA. I have uploaded the footage so that you can receive the context for this section.

Now look at the aftermath of the incident (archive) (MozArchive):

Health insurer Medica temporarily closed its Minnetonka, Minnesota, headquarters as a safety precaution [...]

CVS and other health care companies have removed photographs of CEOs and other executive leaders from their websites [...]

Another example (archive) (MozArchive):

Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield said Thursday that the health insurance provider is reversing a policy that was set to go into effect in February that would have limited anesthesia coverage during surgeries and other procedure [...]

Can you recall a protest ever having this kind of effect? How about fines? Lawsuits? Voting? "Voting with your wallet"? Posting internet memes? It's so funny - even magical - to see the other health insurance companies panic so fast. But why would the killer even be pushed to end the CEO's life? Maybe because the health insurance companies often pull crap like this (archive) (MozArchive):

Democrats on the U.S. Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations (PSI) released a report claiming UnitedHealthcare's prior authorization denial rate for post-acute care jumped from 10.9% in 2020 to 22.7% in 2022.

Imagine if you had a relative dying from cancer, heart failure, or something else that's debilitating and requires quick treatment - and he got denied or delayed the care, and died. Of

course, logically, the more a company rejects insurance claims, the more money it will earn (or rather, will be able to spend less) - which again reveals the problems with for-profit medicine. And, UnitedHealthcare just happened to have the highest industry rate of claim rejection. These days, they are even outsourcing this job to AI:

The suit claimed the company illegally deployed "artificial intelligence (AI) in place of real medical professionals to wrongfully deny elderly patients care owed to them under Medicare Advantage Plans by overriding their treating physicians' determinations as to medically necessary care based on an AI model."

Seems obvious to me that the killer either had his own - or more likely one of his family member's - treatment denied, and sought the only way of resistance he could think of. Though I guess it's not the only possible explanation; a competitor corporation getting angry at the "success" of this one could also have spurred this incident. However, that explanation becomes unlikely when you consider the messages the killer seemingly left on the bullets (archive) (MozArchive) - "*Deny, Defend, Depose*" referring to the tactics used by the insurance companies. In the end it doesn't matter that much because...

...The social media sentiment is surprisingly pro-assassin and has united various political creeds in a way that I haven't seen happen before. A friend told me that "*Out of the 9200 emoji reactions to the official announcement of his death on the company's Facebook page, 9000 were laughing or "haha" emojis*". Edit: current numbers appears to be several times higher, with a ratio of about 12 "happy" reactions to 1 sad one. A significant reason for this is that the killer didn't write any manifestos or anything else that would expose his political leanings, so no camp has anything to hate him for. The other is surely that most people in the USA have been screwed over by the insurance companies at some point. The only entity that has a problem

with the killer is the mainstream media (archive) (MozArchive) - *“‘The framing of this incident as some opening blow in a class war and not a brutal murder is especially alarming’”*. But even they can't pretend that they have the "only one truth" - like they did during the covid scare; there appears to be little gaslighting and they readily admit (archive) (MozArchive) that the public is not with them - *“But mostly, McWhorter said, ‘there’s this weird thing, this vibe of like, I don’t see a bunch of people just feeling an urgency.’”* (in terms of finding the culprit).

Some people - like Miles Mathis - consider this event to be staged. I don't agree and his arguments appear quite weak. For example, it's common for the police to release video footage to the media (MozArchive) in hopes it will help catch the perpetrator. And, the rich actually commonly walk around with few or no bodyguards. Either way, it doesn't even matter. If the so-called "elites" faked this, they are really stupid because - whether real or fake - waves of copycats will still be thinking "oh, I could do this too". The target was not protected by anything or anyone, and apparently the meeting information was even public. And even though - according to a gun enthusiast I talked to - the assassin had to be familiar with guns due to the way he performed the "tap rack bang", the hit still looks simple enough to serve as an inspiration for potential copycats. All that's needed is a little (or somewhat more than a little) familiarity with guns, and a few minutes long Internet research to find out the dates and places of corporate meetings, and you too could do something like this. And since the gun was supposedly 3D-printed, it would even possible to leave no paper trail in terms of receiving the murder weapon; a knife or something else is also an option (again, the target was unprotected). Predictably, the police is throwing the full weight (MozArchive) of the technological slavery system onto this case - yet is failing to catch the culprit regardless, adding to the appeal. We might have a true revolution brewing thanks to this incident. As even your average normie now believes that any elite who has done too much evil is an acceptable target for public execution,

and they realize it's also not rocket science to actually pull it off.

UPDATE: I don't trust the new "official" stuff that came out. I mean, I am expected to believe that the killer - after performing an assassination straight out of a Hitman game - simply decided to hang around McDonald's with the exact gun he used at the crime scene and a bunch of fake IDs. He was smart enough to throw away the backpack, but yet kept the murder weapon - the most incriminating piece of evidence possible? And he had five days to get rid of it, or even flee the country - but no, he just wanted a burger so much. Even if so, why not at least cut your eyebrows or put on some makeup to avoid detection? Some people think he actually wanted to be caught, but then why flee the crime scene at all? More than that, the media want us to believe that he just happened to have [\(archive\)](#) [\(MozArchive\)](#) a hand-written manifesto on him, containing phrases such as *"These parasites had it coming"*. And of course all this information is coming from *"law enforcement sources"*. Isn't that just too convenient?

I am not convinced Mangione is actually the killer. Besides the careless behavior of the suspect after the Hitman-like assassination, there is also other evidence he's unlikely to have done it - namely, these [\(MozArchive\)](#) tweets [\(MozArchive\)](#) from his friend:

I had a two hour video-call with Mangione, the suspected UnitedHealthcare CEO shooter, in May. He genuinely seemed like one of the nicest people I've ever met. After the chat he spontaneously bought me subscriptions to services he thought would help me with writing.

Luigi Mangione, the suspected CEO shooter, is a founding member of my Substack, and someone I've been friendly with for a while. I hope there's been a mix-up, because committing murder doesn't seem like him at all.

Even though his social media profiles (local) kind of fit someone that "might have" done the deed, it shouldn't be that hard to find a young guy with some health problems and publicly stated grievances with the medical system, plant some evidence on him, and make him the scapegoat. The so-called "elites" just couldn't let this "crime" stay unsolved for too long, because again, they need the plebs to believe that "resistance is futile". In this case, catching someone - whoever it was - would have the same effect on perception. Still, they are failing at narrative control this time, despite all the efforts. Luigi Mangione - whether the real killer or not - is not only overwhelmingly supported in his act, but also liked as a person now that his social media profiles got revealed. He is clearly well read (MozArchive) and educated, and there is no indication of mental disease or extremism. Picking Luigi as a "suspect" just buries the so-called "elites" further. If even popular right-wing influencers are failing to convince their own audience (that usually likes "law and order") that there was anything wrong with the assassination, you know the so-called "elites" are losing the game.

UPDATE 2: Matt Walsh has vomited this piece about the assassination:



Matt Wals... @MattWalshBlog

Dec 9

If you are a conservative expressing any sympathy with leftists who are celebrating the murder of a businessman by some mentally deranged loser then you are not a conservative at all. We stand for law, justice, and a stable, properly ordered society. Leftists want the opposite of all of that, which is why murder and mayhem in the street fills these sickos with orgasmic glee. If you're on the right and you find yourself even slightly siding with a crazy wench like Taylor Lorenz on this issue (or any issue), it should tell you something very troubling about yourself. It tells the rest of us something too. We won't forget.

Dec 9, 2024 · 11:35 PM UTC

💬 2,450 ↪️ 1,408 🗨️ 256 ❤️ 18,532

Let's cover it in depth, because I think it perfectly showcases the mindset leading to our slavery:

If you are a conservative expressing any sympathy with leftists who are celebrating the murder of a businessman by some mentally deranged loser then you are not a conservative at all.

According to this reputable dictionary (archive) (MozArchive), “*conservatism*” is “*the desire to preserve or restore what is established and to limit change*”; another one (archive)

([MozArchive](#)) confirms this meaning. It's important to realize that this is what drives all the Walsh types, in the end. To him and his ilk, the current world is so amazing, that the revolutionary must inevitably be a “*mentally deranged loser*”. If it means we have millions of these losers, then so be it; it's not enough to make him question if a part of the status quo might simply suck. Also, nice try at portraying the praise for the killer as just another "delusion of the left"; it's not working because even right-wingers are joining in. Appealing to the "victim" being a “*businessman*” isn't working either; people are more and more catching up to the fact that a businessman is an exploiter - and the more evil he does, the richer he gets. As Thompson has basically proven by running a company with the highest rate of health insurance claim denials, and predictably the biggest profits ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)).

We stand for law, justice, and a stable, properly ordered society.

Law is the main vehicle of oppression, basically what made us slaves during the "pandemic". Justice does not currently exist as none of the decision makers that have destroyed the lives of millions were ever inconvenienced for it in any way - or they even got rewarded. In fact, Thompson's death is a small step towards restoring justice that's been missing since at least a few decades. A “*stable, properly ordered society*” is one in which the “*mentally deranged*” revolutionaries are not allowed to resist their oppression, lest they be stepped on and smeared against the floor. The "proper ordering" is the one in which the pleb simply accepts his position as the receiver of abuse, and shuts up about it and keeps fulfilling his role. This is what the Matt Walsh, Ben Shapiro types want and think is ideal.

Leftists want the opposite of all of that, which is why murder and mayhem in the street fills these sickos with orgasmic glee.

Maybe it fills some with *“orgasmic glee”*, but I'm confident that the vast majority has simply realized that the nonviolent ways of bringing change have all been exhausted and proven inadequate. This assassination was something that *“had to be done”*, and not something that they'd like to be doing. The oppression had simply tipped the scale so much that it broke; oppression that Walsh thinks is fine and dandy. The *“orgasmic glee”* seems like projection on Walsh's part to me; it's probably something he feels when he imagines all those poor, sick and homeless suffering and dying from their totally preventable diseases that the horrible healthcare system (and other parts of his conservative utopia) at least partially contributed to. Edit: ha! Forget the *“probably”* - Walsh has a podcast episode (MozArchive) named *“Ep. 1410 - Cleaning Out The Homeless Encampments Just In Time For The Election”*. Hahaha. The description contains this gem: *“It's about that time when guys like Gavin Newsom temporarily pretend to care about the well-being of American families”*. So, Walsh thinks that the homeless should be "cleaned out" like some pieces of trash, and to do so is to *“care about the well-being of American families”*. Amazing. But quite revealing about the true nature of his type. A nature he ended up confirming (MozArchive) elsewhere - *“Many are aggressive, violent, dangerous vagrants and the innocent people plagued by them should earn much more of our sympathy than the vagrants themselves”*. Anyway, coming back to the original tweet:

If you're on the right and you find yourself even slightly siding with a crazy wench like Taylor Lorenz on this issue (or any issue), it should tell you something very troubling about yourself. It tells the rest of us something too. We won't forget.

I don't know who Taylor Lorenz is, so I guess I'm safe from Matt Walsh's wrath. But it's funny, how *“even slightly siding”* with someone from another camp gets your conservative card revoked. Is this ideology so fragile that it cannot resist even a little questioning? I guess that is why he's getting so angry. Maybe some cognitive dissonance had struck. After all, think about

some of the things you'd be “*conserving*” as a “*conservative*”:

- Widely available junk foods and the resulting disease rate increases
- The cruel profit-based medical system which gets clients because of - among others - the above
- Job culture which forces you to have a job or die, which is going to get tougher and tougher because of the ongoing robot revolution
- Advertisements constantly filling our brains with bullshit
- Planned obsolescence AKA making products that break on purpose
- Environmental destruction due to people buying too much because of - among others - the two points above
- The money system that rewards scamming, exploitation, and allows all the points listed above to happen
- Wars based on arbitrary labels assigned to us by our so-called "elites" at birth
- High-tech surveillance at every corner of the street
- The legal system standing above it all, allowing you to get punished for anything your so-called "elites" desire, with only a theater of a trial standing behind you and a prison
- To finish it off, the official ways of affecting things that we've been given, do not work

Of course, it's not a given that Walsh even supports all those things. But it is likely he does for most, because I haven't seen him complain about those from a cursory look at his Twitter and a short web search. He obviously likes unrestricted business and so hits at least the first few points. He has spoken in favor of surveillance in schools ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), which

probably fit his notion of ensuring *“safety”*. And of course, he sees nothing wrong with a couple of psychopathic so-called "elites" having the power to design "laws" that enslave and abuse everyone else, and which the regular person has zero effect on. That's his idea of a *“properly ordered society”*.

There is another side of conservatism that's concerned with issues like abortion, immigration, gender issues, etc. And I'm not saying that they don't have valid concerns there, but they are minor problems compared to surveillance, profit motive, and the legal system - my "big three". Regardless, **every issue has to be analyzed separately**; it's important to not fall into the trap of calling yourself a "conservative" (or a "leftist", or anything else) and blindly absorb all the positions of those groups. But that's exactly what the Walsh types are doing and want their audiences to be doing. I believe one reason that the assassin gained so much sympathy is because he wasn't easily shoved into some kind of extremist box and dismissed.

People like Walsh disgust me, really. At least Gates, Schwab, Trudeau, Ardern and other characters that reared their heads during Operation Corona were unashamedly evil. On the other hand, this guy pretends to be the people's champion while wanting to *“clean out”* the homeless. With the Thompson assassination, we had the perfect revolutionary event that could have begun toppling (some / most of) the current bullshit, and what was his reaction? How dare you, pleb; you were supposed to stay under the boot - but look! You can set up a Christmas tree and pretend everything is going well. This is a political commentator that does everything in his power to pretend that the status quo is great, and the only problem is those evil leftists trying to bring it down! In the end, he just ends up being a gatekeeper for the current regime. What a waste of a big audience and an influential position.

Nations - the so-called "elites" dirtiest trick



I'm sure you have heard this slogan many many times. But have you actually considered its meaning? Its implications? Let's first cover some fundamental issues:

What even is a "country"? Lines arbitrarily drawn on a map, signifying land under the control of one psycho or another, then given a shiny flag and a name so that people believe it's something unique and meaningful. And yet, whether you put the lines here or there, the mountains or lakes between those lines have not moved. The same people who were living there still are - and they still have the same traits as before the map redrawing. Of course, you could say that countries automatically form out of languages, culture, customs, ethnicities, religions, traditions, infrastructure, etc. Yet, how much in common do you have with someone on the other side of your country? Probably not much and your country almost certainly has several areas where those traits all widely differ (Poland has ones with totally different cultures, dialects, etc...yet all fly under the same flag). Reversing this, people in different countries - such as parts of Russia and Ukraine - can be almost identical in terms of all those issues. Hey, a [mainstream article \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) - written by someone living right on the fake border between those "nations" - quite beautifully admits this for me:

Where do you call home? I've travelled and lived in so many places that the question sometimes confused me.

Living on the border, the two identities often mixed, on both sides, without much notice

But that border was nothing more than a line on a map. There was no physical border with neighbouring Russian villages and towns, and neither was there a linguistic or cultural one.

No “*linguistic or cultural*” difference between the Russian and Ukrainian people, only a fake line on the map. That did not change even when psycho governments put up a barrier right where the fake border was supposed to be:

Except they still weren't that different. We still watched the same films, same TV channels, we had the same celebrities, listened to the same music. I remember how as a child I asked my dad to turn the antenna towards Russia on Sundays in the mid-1990s to watch morning Disney cartoons in Russian.

If "countries" were actual organic things, situations like this could never happen. What is **really** the point of "countries", then? Since we've established that the "country" has no real meaning aside from the fake lines our so-called "elites" put on the map, we have to consider other reasons why the concept might be used. Namely, that it serves as an heavily effective propaganda tool, taking advantage of the tribalism module (archive) (MozArchive) fundamental to human brains:

In concert with these cognitive adaptations, however, human tribalism may be rooted more deeply in a human evolutionary history in which groups provided immense survival and reproductive benefits. Group living has afforded benefits such as resource pooling, division of labour, cooperative parenting, protection from predators and territorial defence.

That tribalism is indeed fundamental should not be controversial for anyone that wasn't born yesterday. Consider how football fans will pay big money ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) to follow their team around the country (and sometimes world) and make artistic banners for it. They will scream at the opposing team's players all match long, throw things at them ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), and sometimes even charge the stadium ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)). This is the modern expression of tribalism, as "real" tribes basically don't exist in civilization anymore. Yet, the brain still has that module and will find its tribe somewhere - be it a football team or something else; if it fails to do that, it will respond with depression ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)):

Our results showed that lower feelings of belonging at the university, during any of the assessment periods, were predictive of more depressive symptoms at the end of the term.

And so, a person is very strongly compelled to "belong", AKA have a tribe. Recall the football fans again and try to **imagine what you could accomplish if you were able to control who is considered a part of someone's tribe, and who is not**. It is obvious that if someone wanted a lot of power over people, the tribal module would be one of the best openings to pick. And the so-called "elites" are doing just that, through the modern concept of the country. How exactly do they take advantage of it, though?

Perhaps the main usage of nation propaganda is to justify enslaving everyone inside the arbitrary country lines by this monster called "the law". You get born, you get assigned a "citizenship", and right then you get wrapped in the invisible chains around your neck called the laws of "your" nation - which you can't see, but can feel their grip whenever they get pulled by your so-called "elites". This has many implications, the chief one being that you can get sent to war against someone who was assigned a different flag at birth. **The idea of "nations" is the only one that is able to convince a regular person that her friend is her enemy just because he**

was born on the other side of Dnepr river. I have to admit I have never seen so much collective insanity as during the Ukraine war situation - not even during Covid. When I saw all those people proudly waving the Ukraine flags and screaming their idiotic pro-war slogans, I knew this propaganda is attacking something very deeply ingrained in the human psychology. Let's see some examples (archive) (MozArchive) of this in the media:

Ukraine urgently needs soldiers, but some men are desperate not to fight

It does? Psycho Zelensky might "need soldiers" to redraw lines on a map or accomplish whatever other insane aims he has (like getting rid of most of the men living there (archive) (MozArchive) - "*In particular, it is forbidden for men aged 18-60, Ukraine citizens, to leave the borders of Ukraine*") - but "Ukraine" can't "need soldiers" as countries are fake.

To say that "*some men are desperate not to fight*" assumes that fighting just because of the arbitrary classification you've been given at birth is the default - yet it is absolutely not. Wild tribes sometimes fight (and it is not even a given that they would, because cooperation - or at least peaceful coexistence - between tribes is possible), but, they fight for real things like territory or resources. Today, we do not have to fight at all - we have food and space and possible good life for everyone. War only helps the 0.0001% of psychopaths who like redrawing lines and murdering whoever is unlucky enough to find himself inside them, as well as the weapon companies who benefit monetarily. Again, this headline is trying to redirect our natural tribalism towards the so-called "elites" arbitrary classifications. Do you still want to argue for the "realness" of countries? Then check this:

Anton, 39, was working in Germany as a truck driver before the war started, and came back to eastern Ukraine in December 2021 to see his family. After the invasion, he found

himself in Russian-occupied territory, but managed to escape back into Europe. He is now in Ireland and says he doesn't plan to come back to Ukraine, either now or when the war is over.

Do you think this would happen in a real tribe? A tribe in which you'd know 100% of the people as you'd see them every day, work with them, rely on them, trust them with your lives? No, zero way. You'd want to save something you're attached to and felt like fighting for it was noble, or simply necessary. And yet people run en masse ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) (“Zelensky’s former adviser Alexey Arestovich recently claimed that 4.5 million Ukrainian men, nearly half of the Ukrainian male population, had fled abroad to avoid military service”) in this case, because they know that the country is simply not a tribe, and there is nothing to fight for here anyway aside from the wishes of a psycho. Hey, let's look at what even defines a real tribe ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) and see if we can prove it:

- *1. Common Ancestry*

Tribes are often composed of individuals who share a common lineage or descent. This shared ancestry creates a strong bond among tribe members, as they believe they are part of a larger extended family. Kinship ties are at the core of tribal identity, shaping social relationships and defining roles and responsibilities within the community.

Blood relations are one of the criteria. Do you have blood relations with 99.999% of the people in your country? No. Therefore, the country fails to meet this criteria of a tribe. Next:

- 2. *Shared Culture and Traditions:*

Tribes have their own unique culture, including language, customs, and traditions that are passed down from generation to generation. These cultural elements serve as a foundation for tribal identity and unity, helping to preserve the tribe's heritage and values.

Again, country doesn't fit, as there is just too much difference between various parts of it. So another criteria failed. Next:

- 3. *Territory:*

Tribes often have a defined territory or homeland where they reside. This territory provides a sense of belonging and serves as a physical space for the tribe to maintain its way of life. The land holds spiritual and cultural significance for tribe members, as it is tied to their history, myths, and traditions.

Kind of fits but again, we don't really control our territory the way tribes do, unless we're in a village or something like that. And again, the country's definition of a territory is arbitrary, instead of something you and your tribe see and use every day. I doubt anyone really feels a “*sense of belonging*” to an apartment block and the street full of CCTV and ads (that's the exact same as any other block or street), or feels they hold “*spiritual and cultural significance*”, especially when they have no say about anything there.

- 4. *Collective Decision-Making:*

Tribes typically have a communal decision-making process, where important matters are discussed and decided upon collectively. Elders and tribal leaders play a crucial role in guiding and representing the interests of the tribe, ensuring that decisions are made in the best interest of the community as a whole.

Heh. Obviously we don't have that in a country. Next:

- *5. Mutual Support and Cooperation:*

Tribal societies heavily rely on mutual support and cooperation among their members. The interdependence within the tribe fosters a strong sense of solidarity, with individuals working together for the common good. Sharing resources, knowledge, and skills are essential components of tribal life.

Another fail, as you don't even know 99.999% of the people in your country and never will. So you can't form these kinds of relations with them. To be generous I'll give a half point for criteria 3, and no point for the others. Therefore, the country only fulfills 0.5 of the five criteria needed for being a tribe. It is obviously not a tribe, yet the so-called "elites" want you to accept it as one - not because they want to create a beautiful extended (very very extended) family, but so that they can enslave and sacrifice you together.

Let's come back to the first article in this section. The author admits that life became worse when the so-called "elites" started enforcing the division between Russia and Ukraine, right on the border where he was living:

As the years passed, the border became more tangible. Suddenly, they would check your bags and passport, and you couldn't bring freshly a slaughtered pig to a market in Urazovo, or your late grandma's icon back to Dvorichna, because there was an obstacle now, called customs

And yet, the "country" brainwashing compelled him to pick his "country identity":

Local people naturally started to face an identity problem. So am I Russian, or am I Ukrainian? Back then, we were like that antenna: in Ukraine, but sometimes turning toward Russia. We didn't see what was wrong with it.

That's because there wasn't anything “*wrong with it*”. And now you can't even move pigs around. Why even identify with "your" country? I don't do that. The only thing that reminds me of my "country identity" is the flag on my ID card AKA slave card.

For us it was an actual state border, no different from the one with Poland on the other side of the country. For the Russians it was that line on a map drawn by Lenin. It meant nothing to them, it was an error, just like Ukraine's independence.

Borders are indeed an error. I mean, this guy was leading a comfortable existence right on the fake border of Russia and Ukraine, and now he can't even gift a pig to his friends a few kilometers away. Yet he shills the very border that enslaves him. Absolutely insane. But it proves that the "country" propaganda attacks something very deeply ingrained into the human brain. Finally - since this article was written in 2023 - he had to eventually move on to the current war (of course, **all Ukraine-related articles in the mainstream media exist only as vehicles for spreading war propaganda**; this one just happens to also provide useful

information):

But the war has inevitably come to their doorstep. The middle school, where my dad used to work, is now a shooting range and a torture facility. They shoot people in our gymnasiums and torture them in our classrooms, they live in our homes and eat food from our cellars, they sleep in our beds and loot our properties.

“*They*”? You mean the Russians? AKA "people born on the other side of Dnepr who were fed the same kind of war propaganda as you, but in reality aren't any different"? Did you already forget the people you used to sell your pigs to? Now you might be sent to war against those exact people, that just a while back were a part of your real tribe, as they were **right there**. And suddenly, they became a “*they*” and enemies just because the so-called "elites" (that you have zero real relations with, and vice versa) decided to move some lines. Absolutely insane. **Just like someone is not necessarily a friend because they happened to be born inside the same arbitrary lines as you, there is also no reason to consider someone an enemy just because he was born inside a different set of lines** (or - like here - his classification was changed). But yet, the entire nation and war propaganda is based on this absolutely retarded idea.

Why? Because Ukrainians dared to remember who we are, dared to find our identity. And those who were in identity limbo had to snap out of it and finally pick a side.

Wow, this is a goldmine of country propaganda. You couldn't stick more of it in there if you tried. You remembered who you are? Or maybe you were just told. You suddenly found out your "identity" after however many decades? And you surely never identified with anything other than the flag you were assigned at birth (if so, that is very sad). You had to pick a side? If it's a side in war, maybe pick none, as there are no winning ones - “*The only winning move is*

not to play". It's hard for me to see how this kind of opinion can be organic.

Why can't this person (or anyone else) realize that the scam of "country identity" is the exact thing that ignites - and then fuels - the wars? Well, actually, he almost admits it here - but makes a wrong turn right before the finish line and crashes his car. Because he still considers the "country identity" as something that should be protected at all costs - even the cost of the lives of thousands of his neighbors on the other side of Dnepr that are not any different than him. As long as people can't give up their "country identity", they will always be perfectly pulled by the strings set up by their puppet masters. Because tribalism is so deeply ingrained in the human psychology, and misdirected by the "country" propaganda.

Don't get me wrong, I don't think tribalism is evil or anything - it's just how humans roll. The country is simply nothing like a tribe, yet the so-called "elites" pretend that it is because the tribalism module is so fundamental. And thanks to that, they can make the human do anything as long as he accepts his assigned "tribe" - so don't accept it. It's not only about the Ukraine / Russia war - I just focused on this topic as it's recent and very relevant to me; the amount of insanity I've seen in regards to it is hard for my brain to even process. [For example \(archive\)](#) ([MozArchive](#)):

Russia wants to kill your children, your family and yourself. Do you fight?

Russia destroys the place you fled from. Russia is now attacking the place you fled to. Do you fight?

Russia destroys most of the world. Russia is now attacking the one place that's left, where you are hiding. Do you fight?

It's not “*Russia*”, as lines can't fight - but just some people that are very similar to the Ukrainians but were fed the same pro-war propaganda as them. Even (some) left wingers - that were traditionally against war - love war this time (archive) (MozArchive):

Fuck if your going on about saving our money, why not realized that the amount of supplies we've given to UA dwarfs what we spend on our military to be ready to defeat Russia.

You want to “*defeat Russia*”? Meaning what? Destroy infrastructure, kill millions of soldiers as well as civilians? Spread panic and instability in the ones who manage to live? For what reason? To absorb the lines into the USA (which presumably this person is from)? To exchange a psycho in control of the land for another one?

Lastly, it's morally right to support the nation that is running a DEFENSIVE war of its nation and its peoples. I don't wanna be on the side that enables things like Bucha. Or Mariupol.

A “*defensive war*” does not exist. Infrastructure gets destroyed, people die, and panic gets spread on both sides. And especially here, as psycho Zelensky is grabbing randoms from the streets and sending them to be slaughtered like pigs.

UPDATE September 2024: wow! I was supposed to leave this topic alone already, but can't resist sharing with you what I've just found (archive) (MozArchive). Hold on to your seats, I'm pretty sure we've reached the peak of warmongering here!

Russian players have always choice to renounce the citizenship of the genocidal

barbarian Russian state - not the same thing as Russia as a country, and still be Russians of different citizenship. As long as they are willingly citizens of a villain state, they should pay the consequences. At this point, if Ukraine would have the capability to do it, bombing civilian targets in Russia would be rough but very fair game. Compare the discomfort of a regular Russian citizen being bombed at with that of these spoiled brats not being able to compete in international competitions.

Never seen so many buzzwords in one paragraph. “*Genocidal*”, “*barbarian*”, “*villain*” - you're supposedly these things just because you were assigned a certain flag at birth and refused to give it up. And if you refuse to give it up, you need to “*pay the consequences*”. It's insane to require regular people to waste time giving up or changing the flags they had never actually picked, and also risk retaliation of their governments; even worse to punish them for not doing so. And of course, psycho Zelensky is not “*genocidal*”, “*barbarian*”, or a “*villain*” even though he has decided the entirety of the under 60 male population exists only to be sacrificed. Media tells me Russia bad, therefore Russia bad and everyone with the relevant flag deserves to die. And wow! This guy is even fine with “*bombing civilian targets*”. The war love is leaking through my screen! I hope it doesn't infect me. But wow! I had to reread this a few times to be sure it really says what it seems to. A post like this is actually what the final goal of war propaganda is - but it's rarely reachable. Most people have enough ethical or reasoning blocks to stop just a step or two earlier - but this is where the so-called "elites" really want you. You've got the "wrong" flag? Here enjoy this bomb, now burn in hell.

And so - coming back to the proudly shouted “*Slava Ukraine!!!*” slogan - it just ends up being a call for death, instead of a call for glory (as there is no glory to be found here). Anyway, since this war started I've seen loads of similar posts, and obviously can't cover them all here. Many of the people supposedly supporting men's rights love the "right" of men to be sent to die

([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) in a ditch somewhere for nothing except the delusions of a psycho. Holy shit! This shows how - again - if you convince someone to believe in a "country identity", he's yours! And all this idiocy is based on the idea that lines do things, while ignoring the details of it. Nation propaganda is the most vile type of propaganda.



NFX    @FellaNafo · 10h

As a European citizen I want to say **that** arming Ukraine **is the** single best use of tax payer money for decades.

My only criticism is that the west aren't sending enough, fast enough.

Ukraine **is** paying for political posturing with **the** lives of their sons and daughters.



Christian Wiesner  @ChristianHJW · 19h

As a German citizen I want to say **that** arming Ukraine **is the** single best use of tax payer money for decades.

My only criticism is that the west aren't sending enough, fast enough.

Ukraine **is** paying for political posturing with **the** lives of their sons and daughters.

#SlavaUkraini





NLwartracker  @NLwartracker · 11h

As a Dutch  citizen I want to say **that** arming Ukraine **is the** single best use of tax payer money for decades.

My only criticism is that the west aren't sending enough, fast enough.


Ukraine **is** paying for political posturing with **the** lives of their sons and daughters.... Show more



   Bel Scarlet Inu Fellina #A... · 22h ...

As an Australian citizen I want to say **that** arming Ukraine **is the** single best use of taxpayer money for decades. **My only criticism is that the west aren't sending enough, fast enough.** Ukraine **is** paying for political posturing with **the** lives of their sons and daughters. DO MORE!





Without people having absorbed their 'country identities', the above would fail completely

And yet it is used everywhere all the time since decades (and surely longer than that) and for all kinds of reasons - because as we've established, it works extremely well. For example, anytime there is a "crisis" of "illegal immigrants" brings yet another opportunity for the so-called "elites" to increase their power by pretending to be the heroes with their border walls. Yet it is nothing but a trick to justify the ability to decide who can go when and where. I still remember the insane situation where some immigrants were trying to come from Belarus to Poland and the border guards just blocked them and let them freeze to death ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)). And the justification for it was that...wait for it...they imagined Lukashenko was waging some "hybrid attack" ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) through those immigrants. And thus, people died; yet, the media ran with the "border guards are heroes" narrative and many people at Wykop ("polish reddit") swallowed it hook, line, and sinker. Please, we need to end the cruelty of borders and the political games played by the psychos in power to the detriment of billions of regular people.

Since the main thesis is now finished, let's have some more fun exposing the war propaganda in various media pieces. Hey, if they can run a propaganda campaign, I can run a counter-propaganda one. I hope I can show you just how manipulative the media can get, and how the manipulation can hide in some seemingly innocent words. I'll just pick random relevant articles I have already bookmarked, then cite some particularly revealing quotes. So let's go (archive) (MozArchive):

Ukraine's military said it had reclaimed about three square miles outside the eastern city of Bakhmut, which would be its first significant gains there in weeks.

“*Reclaimed*” meaning what? Lines redrawn again? And “*gains*”? Infrastructure is lost, and people have died. The only “*gain*” is fake lines and the (probably fake too) smile of psycho Zelensky.

The transfer came under a provision of a law enacted by Congress late last year that authorized the Justice Department to send certain forfeited assets to the State Department to be spent on remediating damage from Moscow's full-scale invasion of Ukraine last year.

The only part that matters to us is the “*from Moscow's full-scale invasion of Ukraine*”. It conditions you to believe the "Russia bad, Ukraine good" deeper narrative, which is all that the so-called "elites" care about. The word “*invasion*” puts the blame squarely on one side, and the “*full-scale*” makes it seem really really big so that you really really hate "Russia" and are ready to blow the heads of the Russians off. Even though they are people just like the Ukrainians, for whom the only difference might be to have been born a few kilometers to the left and have a different flag assigned because of it. The word “*Russia*” appears a staggering 148 times in this

article, while the word “*Ukraine*” - 78 times. Can you guess the scores for the words “*human*” and “*people*”? It's 0 and 8. They really want to you forget that it's people dying, in the end. Though this article has lots of quotable quotes, let's just - to not seem like I'm cherry picking - move on to the next one (archive) (MozArchive):

‘First results’ of counterattack praised as Ukraine’s troops claim to take back villages in the eastern Donetsk region while Russia denies gains.

The “*counterattack*” was “*praised*” by whom? “*Citation needed*”, as Wikipedia would say :D. “*Take back*” and “*gains*”? There is only the taking back of lines, which is no real gain at all as long as people die and infrastructure gets destroyed.

“The enemy keeps shelling us but this won’t stop us,” Semeniuk said. “The next village we plan to reclaim is Urozhayne. After that, [we’ll proceed] further south.”

“*The enemy*” AKA someone who dared to be born on the other side of a fake line, that we assigned a different flag too...and the “*reclaim*” again...this is getting tiresome.

With so little information and scant independent reporting from the front lines, it has been almost impossible to assess the battlefield situation.

I'll give you the “*independent reporting*” that you so crave. Infrastructure gets destroyed, people die, and traumas accumulate in the survivors for nothing whatsoever except the insane delusions of a few psychos. Hope that helped!

“We’re kicking the enemy out from our native lands. It’s the warmest feeling there is.

Ukraine is going to win, Ukraine above everything,” an unidentified soldier said in the video from Blahodatne.

It's the “*warmest feeling*” to kick “*the enemy out*”. I swear, if someone was reading this kind of trash all day every day, I can see how it would make him eventually absorb the country propaganda and love the war that inevitably results from it. It's such a disgusting attempt at emotional manipulation, using the tribalism module I've mentioned before. Anyway, let's play the "country vs human" game here, too. Ctrl+F for “*Russia*” - 25 times; “*Ukraine*” - 15; “*people*” - 2; and finally 1 for “*human*” (and only at the bottom for a link called “*Al Jazeera Centre for Public Liberties & Human Rights*”, which seems like mockery). Let's finish with the last article (archive) (MozArchive) since I'm still fired up:

Russia’s war in Ukraine has sparked a surge in demand for weapons and vehicles, with many allies sending supplies to Ukraine to help the country defend itself.

Again, “*Russia's war*” puts the blame squarely on one side - implying that there even are sides in war (other than psychos vs plebs, which is more like a pyramid structure instead of sides). And of course the standard “*defend itself*” when there is nothing to defend but lines, and nothing gained from doing so but the death, despair and destruction that the so-called "elites" certainly love.

Inflatech CEO Vojtech Fresser won't say if his decoys are used by Ukrainian forces battling Russian invaders, but he said on Monday that his business was up by more than 30 per cent last year.


“*Russian invaders*” aka "Russia bad" and everyone with that flag assigned at birth is fine to get

sacrificed.

“If I force the enemy to destroy a thing of mine by using something which is four times, but in reality it could be 20 times more expensive, then I’m the winner economically,” Fresser said.

No; you (or rather we - the plebs) are all losers economically as this money could be more usefully spent on the homeless, or even researching the reproductive cycles of ladybugs (and there is a lot of it wasted here - *“The decoys that originally were developed for training purposes can cost up to \$100,000 (€93,795) each”*).

Please take note of how all mainstream Ukraine articles (and there are hundreds - maybe thousands by now - that follow the same script) accept certain deeper narratives as given. War is needed, war is acceptable; there is a good side and bad side - ally and enemy - and the enemy must die; lines are real things with physical effects; death, despair and destruction don't matter as long as the "right" side wins; to fight for your line is noble and the most important thing ever. Details might change, but the fundamentals are always the same. I hope I have helped you to recognize the so-called "elites" war propaganda and manage to resist it now.



UPDATE June 2025: two guys on scooters just raided a UK military base, destroyed two planes, and left without being caught (archive) (MozArchive). Quite an artistic way to inhibit the war machine:

The bodycam footage then shows them spraying red paint into the turbine engines of the air-to-air refuelling tankers which the RAF say are 'vital for enhancing the operational reach and flexibility of Britain's military air power'.

Palestine Action claim to have used repurposed fire extinguishers to spray the paint, while they say they caused further damage with crowbars.

I haven't heard of this group before this incident, but it turns out they've performed other similar ones (archive) (MozArchive) before. I think this is a good first step towards bringing down the war machine. But it needs to not just be "Palestine Action" but "Anti-war Action" and happen all over the world. There is of course a tiny little problem - namely that the war machine doesn't mind labeling the activists as "terrorists" (archive) (MozArchive), sending Kapos to arrest them and continuing the massacres. So eventually, the activists will need to resist the Kapos too - which at the moment, they don't, as far as I can see. They hope to rely on the court system to provide justice which it of course won't. Still, this is the most promising instance of activism I know of that's going on right now. I hope it will teach people they don't need to sit meekly by, while their so-called "elites" execute decisions they don't agree with, and create copycats everywhere. But since currently, the war machine is free to just imprison us all in the shiny new jails (archive) (MozArchive) it's building, the activism can't end there. At some point, we'll need to bring down the entire system of surveillance, prisons, media brainwashing, and finally the giant totalitarian states that enable it all. Then replace them with small communities with direct democracy.

The false hope of the United Nations

I looked briefly at their [Universal declaration of human rights \(archive\)](#) ([MozArchive](#)), which presents various beautiful statements that they then end up breaking. I will now attempt to show how they are doing just that:

*Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, **without distinction of any kind**, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty.*

Keep in mind the bolded part, because it will be relevant to almost every UN article that I'm going to bring up.

Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.

Except the hundreds of thousands of men [dragged to trucks \(archive\)](#) ([MozArchive](#)) by psychopath Zelensky and thrown into a ditch to die?

No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

Conscription is slavery and exists in many countries, about which the UN does nothing whatsoever.

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

So why did you do nothing about Gonzalo Lira (archive) (MozArchive) (“The letter reads: “I have had double pneumonia (both lungs) as well as pneumothorax and a very severe case of edema (swelling of the body). All this started in mid-October, but was ignored by the prison. They only admitted I had pneumonia at a Dec. 22 hearing. I am about to have a procedure to reduce the edema pressure in my lungs, which is causing me extreme shortness of breath, to the point of passing out after minimal activity, or even just talking for 2 minutes.””)?

Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law.

So in theory Zelensky could be brought before the courts for his mass sacrifice of men. But he isn't being, because the UN are Zelensky worshippers.

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.

Zelensky is arbitrarily grabbing men from everywhere he can find them, throwing them into trucks, and sending to a ditch to die.

Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.

What did the UN do to give “*hearing*” to the people whose rights to life, liberty and security

Zelensky had violated?

Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each state.

Zelensky broke that by dragging men to trucks.

Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.

Hahaha. This almost sounds like mockery when you notice how Zelensky breaks every UN article like it was nothing. Maybe he actually wanted to become the world's biggest villain, and went through all of the statements in order to do the opposite.

Everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution.

You should know what I'm going to say here. By not letting men leave, Zelensky is violating their right to seek asylum.

Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

I apologize for having to stop the Zelensky beatdown, but pretty much the entire world now breaks this with censorship against “*Russian propaganda*”, alternative Covid narratives, etc. The UN - of course - does nothing about it.

Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.

Broken by Covid.

Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives.

Broken by Romania and Moldova.

Everyone, as a member of society, has the right to social security and is entitled to realization, through national effort and international co-operation and in accordance with the organization and resources of each State, of the economic, social and cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality.

School prevents the free developing of personality.

Everyone who works has the right to just and favourable remuneration ensuring for himself and his family an existence worthy of human dignity, and supplemented, if necessary, by other means of social protection.

Obviously this does not exist. Businesses will pay workers the lowest possible amount (archive) (MozArchive) that still keeps them there. Human dignity doesn't matter as long as there are homeless people, people in abusive relationships from which they cannot escape, sick people still forced to work, etc. Also, this is discriminatory against anyone who can't or shouldn't work (eg. the chronically ill, full-time parents, war trauma victims, etc) and perpetuates the mindset of worshipping the false god of productivity.

Everyone has the right to rest and leisure, including reasonable limitation of working hours and periodic holidays with pay.

The job culture is already not reasonable, since we do so much useless (archive) (MozArchive) and / or harmful stuff. Automation is now wrecking even that (already compromised pre-AI) "job market", but the UN isn't going to react, because they are obsessed with the jungle mindset and having everyone "earn a living".

Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control.

This seems like mockery. The sick are famously treated like junk, having to provide their entire medical and life histories, submit to interrogations, fill out forms, and wait in hopes the government graciously vomits out some crumbs (which will only happen if you're halfway dead already) so that they can (barely) stay alive. And then the sick person still has to be constantly worried she's going to get left on ice at some point, as the checkups are ongoing (gotta make sure the sick junk isn't "unjustly" receiving the scraps because Zelensky's delusions absolutely cannot spare any of his billions). This doesn't even consider all the other ways in which the sick are discriminated. The entire world is set up only for the healthy, starting from school which teaches the sick they are total junk - and with nothing else in society to reverse that, they often remain with that conviction for the rest of their lives. In this extremely individual and competitive "civilization" (that's not actually civilized at all), no matter how sick you are, you are expected to "take care of yourself" (AKA fulfill the requirements of the healthy) - and if

you can't, that's more begging that isn't even sure to accomplish anything. Letting a sick person leave her abusive family isn't a priority for example, and probably won't happen at all, all while there are enough vacant apartments (archive) (MozArchive) - they are simply meant for either the Ukrainian refugees (whom psychopath Zelensky had displaced), or "young families" or simply rich businessmen for renting. If the sick were actually important, they would be able to leave their unacceptable situations, enter the new one, and that would be that - without any of the bullying executed by way of bureaucracy that's not actually accomplishing anything useful - but does provide a mildly effective cover for the discrimination. But as it currently is, as a sick person, you are reminded of how worthless you are every single second of your life and the UN (as well as everyone else) is doing absolutely nothing to change it.

Everyone has the right to education. Education shall be free, at least in the elementary and fundamental stages. Elementary education shall be compulsory. Technical and professional education shall be made generally available and higher education shall be equally accessible to all on the basis of merit.

Heh. Of course the UN loves school.

Education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and to the strengthening of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nations, racial or religious groups, and shall further the activities of the United Nations for the maintenance of peace.

Obviously school does nothing of the sort.

Parents have a prior right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their

children.

What about the students?

Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits.

Too bad we live in a world in which inventions that could help the entire humanity are appropriated by businesses and gatekept by giant paywalls or other criteria (such as taking the Covid vaccine).

Everyone has the right to the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author.

Oh, so inventions aren't to be "shared" anymore - only to exist for personal profit. Noted.

Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized.

Obviously we lack this "order", and as you'll see later, it's absolutely by design. Hey, let's now see what the UN thinks specifically about the Ukraine war ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) (though I'm sure you can imagine it already):

On the night of 23 to 24 February 2022, Russia launched a military offensive in Ukraine. The United Nations considers this attack to be a violation of the territorial integrity and sovereignty of Ukraine. It is contrary to the principles of the Charter of the United

Nations.

Of course, it's the standard Western narrative of insane Putin just "deciding to invade" without any preceding factors. But okay, let's run with it because it doesn't really make anything better. I mean, are “*territorial integrity*” and “*sovereignty of Ukraine*” (which doesn't exist anyway, as the Covid response - for example - showed) more important than the millions of lives lost? Anyway, let's read further for the proof of how the UN is also a malicious organization:

On Thursday 24 March, the UN General Assembly overwhelmingly demanded civilian protection and humanitarian access in Ukraine, while also criticizing Russia for creating a “dire” humanitarian situation (140 votes in favour, 5 against and 38 abstentions).

Civilian protection? Does that include the civilians dragged to the frontline by Zelensky?

The United Nations Coordinator for Ukraine estimated on the 100th day of the Russian invasion of Ukraine that the war will have no winner.

Heh. I think this is the only sane thing said in this entire report. But if there can be no winner, why isn't the UN tackling the actual factors leading to wars - such as borders, media propaganda, government warmongering, military companies being able to freely create weapons and derive profit from the destruction, etc?

On 29 September, UN Secretary-General António Guterres said that Russia's plan to annex four occupied regions in Ukraine would be an illegal move, a violation of international law, and should be condemned.

Does this even matter? Have the plebeians been asked which state they'd rather live under? It's another instance of country propaganda that helps no one. The UN seems to worry more about lines on the map than the actual state of things.

On 14 November, the UN General Assembly adopted a resolution that calls for Russia to pay war reparations to Ukraine, as ambassadors met to resume their emergency special session devoted to the conflict. Ninety-four countries voted in favour of the resolution, and 14 against, while 73 abstained

Again, one "side" is bad while the other is "good" but the lives lost don't matter. And the "reparations" would only reach the stateheads, who'd then decide what to do with them, and not the plebeians.

The UN Disarmament Affairs (UNODA) chief, Izumi Nakamitsu, briefed the Security Council on the issue of “supplies of lethal weapons to Ukraine and their consequences”.

Oh! Finally something that seems interesting. Yet I've looked at the document (local) and saw nothing whatsoever condemning the European suppliers of weapons to Ukraine, despite that directly contributing to continuing the war. All they could muster was - *“I also urge States to apply effective arms control measures to address the risks related to arms transfers. I want to reiterate: preventing diversion of supplied weapons and ammunition is essential to avoid fueling conflict and insecurity in the region and beyond”* - but that's just complaining about the weapons being possibly diverted, instead of being used wrong by the "officially approved people". It seems the UN doesn't care about the destruction being done after all.

Speaking in Kyiv on 15 December 2022, at the end of a four-day official visit, Martin

Griffiths described the deadly threat from daily artillery attacks on the southern port city of Kherson. More than 18 million people in Ukraine need humanitarian aid, some 7.83 million have fled the country and 6.5 million are internally displaced.

Why not mention that psychopath Zelensky is blocking the fleeing and actually makes Ukraine's male citizens fight for their lives without the ability escape the game? Isn't this something that should matter to the UN, if they care about the "right to life"?

On 16 January 2023, the Secretary-General strongly condemned a deadly missile strike on a residential building in the city of Dnipro, Ukraine, in which at least 40 people were killed, with many more wounded and dozens missing.

I'm not denying this, nor its importance, but it's nothing compared to the amount of people Zelensky had sacrificed so far (by - again - not letting them leave and treating every male as a potential conscript). Yet not a peep can be heard from the UN about that. Do they actually care about ending the suffering, instead of propping up the Western narrative?

On 10 March, UN-appointed independent human rights experts said they were “deeply disturbed” by reports of the systematic recruitment of prisoners across Russia by the private military contractor known as the Wagner Group, which is playing a major role in the fighting in Ukraine.

Yet they are not “deeply disturbed” by the dragging of innocent men from bars to the trucks which then go to the frontline? I'm already tired of this, it's obvious the UN isn't what they pretend to be.

On 17 March, the Pre-Trial Chamber of the UN-backed International Criminal Court (ICC) issued an arrest warrant for President Vladimir Putin of Russia, in connection with alleged war crimes concerning the deportation and “illegal transfer” of children from occupied Ukraine.

Once you're there, why not drag Zelensky before the court as well, for...everything I've already mentioned, that you've been completely ignoring?

Nearly \$7 billion will be required over the next decade to rebuild the cultural sector in war-ravaged Ukraine, the head of the UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), Audrey Azoulay, said during a visit to the country.

This is nothing compared to what's been spent on weapons ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) for Ukraine. It shouldn't be a problem to have the military companies fund the bill for the destruction they've contributed to, right?

The Russian invasion of Ukraine reached the 500-day mark on Friday, 7 July 2023. The UN's Human Rights Monitoring Mission in Ukraine (HRMMU) has confirmed that more than 9,000 civilians, including over 500 children, have been killed since then, though the real number could be much higher.

Actually it's a lot more than that, because those innocent men dragged to trucks were civilians before getting sent to the frontline. But because it's Zelensky who's the perpetrator and breaks their entire narrative, this is being ignored.

On 5 October, the small village of Hroza in the Kupiansk district of Ukraine's Kharkiv

region suffered one of the deadliest attacks on civilians since the beginning of Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine in February of last year. At least 52 people were reportedly killed when a missile hit a shop and café.

RIP to those 52 people. But what about the hundreds of thousands sacrificed by Zelensky? Isn't the UN being just a little biased here?

The Independent International Commission of Inquiry on Ukraine documented further evidence that Russian authorities have committed indiscriminate attacks and the war crimes of torture, rape and other sexual violence, and deportation of children to the Russian Federation, according to its report submitted to the UN General Assembly.

What about the authority of Zelensky who had ordered the mass sacrifice of Ukrainian men without giving them the ability to avoid it (AKA leave the country)? Not even Putin blocked emigrations entirely, as far as I know. And remember, according to the UN, the human rights are “*without distinction*”. Meaning the fact that Ukraine is at war doesn't matter at all. So why isn't Zelensky getting dragged to courts for his human rights violations, just like Putin was (he didn't come, of course, but at least there was an attempt)?

On 29 December 2023, the UN Secretary-General condemned in the strongest terms a massive aerial attack by Russia on Ukrainian towns and cities, which left at least 30 civilians dead and over 160 injured. Major aerial attacks causing widespread death and destruction of homes and civilian infrastructure have rained down on Ukraine in the first days of 2024 due to an intense new Russian offensive.

Why is the UN not reacting to the Ukrainian military doing the same ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#))?

Maybe they don't care about the civilian deaths actually, but only spreading the Western narrative?

Reconstruction and recovery in war-torn Ukraine is projected to cost \$486 billion over the next decade, up from \$411 billion estimated a year ago. The updated Rapid Damage and Needs Assessment (RDNA3) – issued by Ukraine's Government, alongside the World Bank Group, the European Commission and the UN – covers damage incurred since the start of the full-scale Russian invasion on 24 February 2022 to the end of last December.

Why is "Russia" the only guilty party, instead of the Ukrainian government (who did not attempt a peace deal since that one Boris Johnson prevented), the other European governments as well as USA who kept sending weapons (archive) (MozArchive), etc? It doesn't really seem that the UN is concerned with the damage done by the war, only about supporting Western power interests.

On 15 March, the Independent International Commission of Inquiry on Ukraine published a report on the situation in the country two years after the full-scale invasion of Ukraine by the Russian Federation. The Commission has found new evidence that Russian authorities have committed violations of international human rights and international humanitarian law and corresponding war crimes in areas that came under their control in Ukraine.

It's too bad that they still cannot find the several massive violations of human rights by their hero Zelensky.

The UN sexual and reproductive health agency, UNFPA highlighted that a deepening demographic crisis is roiling Ukraine, exacerbated by Russia's full-scale invasion. The number of Ukrainian refugees has now reached 6.7 million and, since Russia's invasion in 2014, Ukraine's population has declined by over 10 million.

Isn't it also exacerbated by Zelensky's mass male sacrifice? Let's be clear about what all those men are actually fleeing from. Also, there is a "demographic crisis" everywhere today, because of the horrible conditions which we've found ourselves in during the last few decades.

19 November 2024 marked 1,000 days since the start of the full-scale invasion of the armed forces of the Russian Federation. UN Resident and Humanitarian Coordinator in Ukraine Matthias Schmale urged the international community to stand with the people of Ukraine.

That would require standing against Zelensky who is sacrificing them. But of course, that is not what they mean.

On 8 January 2025, in an update mandated by the Council in Geneva, Nada Al-Nashif, UN Deputy High Commissioner for Human Rights said that Russian forces continue to subject Ukraine's people to "relentless attacks" by aerial glide bombs, long-range missiles and drones. These attacks had led to the deaths of 574 civilians – an increase of 30 per cent over the previous year.

That's horrible. But you did nothing to actually stop the war.

In the fourth year of Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine, housing remains one of the

country's most urgent humanitarian and recovery challenges. As relentless attacks continue to damage homes and communities across Ukraine, the UN refugee agency (UNHCR) has now helped repair over 40,000 war-damaged homes.

Cool, but it doesn't really fix the core problems. Only ending war as an institution, actually would. But that is not what the UN wants. They still support borders and flags. They don't mind conscription and throwing innocent men into the butchery. They are also fine with mass weapon production and the giant sums of money spent on it by governments. I wonder if the UN has any power at all? If so, why are they not using it for anything actually meaningful? They could tell governments to stop funding wars, to stop conscription. They could force Zelensky to let men leave the country if they don't want to defend it. Maybe even disband the weapon producers. But none of that is happening. Either they are a paper tiger or simply another controlled opposition to make you believe that there is truly a just order governing the world. Even their name is a fraud, since they don't care about truly "uniting" the nations (which would require trying to find a path to peace, instead of screaming how bad one of the "sides" is).

I don't think the UN's existence is negative **in principle**. I mean, to prevent the abuse of power by states, you either need the people themselves to rise up against them (which is extremely unlikely considering how cucked the populace has gotten, and how many systems to prevent the uprising the states have set up), or something above the states that they have to answer to (this is the UN). The problem is that the UN isn't fulfilling any of its obligations. At first, I wanted to call them a "paper tiger" in the title, but then I realized the actual situation is worse than that. They are actively violating their own stated principles just so they can advance Western state interests.

The UN - and the Western media and politics in general - present a cartoonish view of reality. In it, Vladimir Putin is the ultimate villain and everything he does is automatically evil because he is pure evil personified. And, anyone that stands up to him is automatically a hero regardless of the actual effects of what he is doing. If you convince people of this, you can get them to accept and do anything. The strategy of scapegoat states is currently being used to take away welfare (archive) (MozArchive) in certain Euro countries, kill internet anonymity - and implement censorship - in the entire Europe, was used in the USA for the Patriot Act (archive) (MozArchive), and surely many other times. But in this reality, there is truly no fight between heroic or villainous states; only groups of people vying for more power - and trying to get you to jump onto their train by telling you they are the good guys while the enemy state is "bad". Even though in the end, you gain nothing out of it, and neither do the citizens of the "enemy" state. Once we evolve past the power games of the ruling psychopaths, we can imagine (and start working towards) a world without wars, borders (what actually enables wars), slavery, torture, abuse, fearmongering, mass surveillance, starvation, disease. And as the UN would rather have us believing in cartoons, they are just another obstacle to this world.

Zelensky lied, people died

Three days ago this has dropped (archive) (MozArchive) for the first time on English-language sites, I think. I posted it on the MUC then, but it was denied - yet I could sense a big breakthrough brewing. Anyway, the article said that *“Russian hacker group KillNet confirmed that they managed to breach the database of the Ukrainian General Staff”* and they *“claimed that Ukraine has lost over 1.7 million servicemen since the start of the special military operation”*. Almost instantly, the entirety of Zelensky-controlled western media (there are so many articles with the exact same words in different outlets) jumped in excitement (archive)

([MozArchive](#)) to dismiss the revelations as “fake news” and “Russian propaganda”. Their "reasoning" was this:

“Kremlin-controlled propaganda outlets are spreading claims that Russian hackers allegedly breached the General Staff’s database and obtained information about ‘1.7 million dead and missing Ukrainian soldiers’ since Russia’s full-scale invasion of Ukraine. In reality, this is an utterly absurd fake, as Ukraine has never had a standing army of 1.7 million personnel throughout its independence,” the statement reads.

Did you forget that psycho Zelensky has been sending trucks all over Ukraine and picking up random men to unload them into a ditch to die? With that in mind, **every man under 60 is a possible military serviceman**, and would fit inside the stats. Suddenly, there is no problem since 1.7 million is less than 10% of Ukrainian men - very realistic for a 3 year long war. And remember, this isn't even talking about deaths, but “missing” soldiers which might have become prisoners of war, fled the country, etc. And now that [sources \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) independent from the Kremlin [are picking this up \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#), it seems that it is indeed valid.

If you still don't trust this data, consider how [this site \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) has just a little under 160k deaths catalogued - all with faces and names (it is truly horrifying to imagine, that every one of those people had his own story; hobbies and occupations; hopes and dreams; friends and families - and now all that remains is a tombstone with "I died for psycho Zelensky's delusions" engraved on it; assuming they even got that). And that will be an extreme underestimate because they [rely on \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) “*announcements by local authorities, Ukrainian media, posts by relatives on social media, monuments to the dead*”. Therefore, deaths could easily be buried by the government simply not talking about them, as

long as they had no family that cared about them. The website admits this: *“As only data that is available online is considered, the real level of losses is estimated to be considerably higher. In particular, available data is scarce for large cities, Transcarpathia, and most localities in the east and south; as a result, the casualties listed here are likely only a fraction of the real toll”*. But what does it all mean?

Zelensky lied, people died. In February he had attempted to fool everyone with the 45000 dead soldiers figure (archive) (MozArchive), while we now know that - even under the assumption that only a million of the "missing in action" soldiers are actually dead - he's missed the mark by a factor of 22. And - in the worst possible case that the hack was entirely faked - the independent war tracking site confirms at least an almost 4x underestimation (but certainly more due to their own underestimation). Of course, it's impossible that his data collection was so bad that all the corpses just slipped through, therefore this was done very deliberately (similar to the fakery of COVID vaccine deaths).

He knew very well that he's not "winning", he knew very well of the mass sacrifice going on. Yet he kept puffing his chest in the media, kept begging for weapons from Europe and USA, kept avoiding peaceful resolutions, kept picking up innocent Ukrainian males by the trucks. And his swarm of dick-suckers in the EU kept enabling him for all this time, kept spreading his lies throughout the media of various countries, kept robbing their own citizens just to fuel Zelensky's narcissistic delusions. **All these psychopaths need to be immediately removed from power and punished for their war crimes**, but they will never be because of...the entire theme of this article. At least, not by the "usually accepted" means.

And what's going to be the ending of all this? One way or another the psycho is going to have to make concessions to Putin, so why did he not do it right at the beginning? Of course, because

he's a psycho, such ideas don't come to him (**edit**: an additional reason has just turned up). His psychology doesn't ever allow him to be "wrong" or a loser. Millions of corpses and leveled cities be damned; let's just sacrifice more Ukrainians and pretend we're not running out of them so that Putin gets scared and disappears. This is the level of delusional narcissism that Zelensky suffers from; I bet some psychoanalyst guy would have real fun with this specimen. And such characters somehow end up in the highest positions of power, while the vast majority of people live like nothing's going on. What a disaster.

The states' interests != your interests

The Ukraine war plot thickened when I came across this document (archive) (MozArchive) (which has somehow eluded me for the entire duration of the insanity) that appears to indict another villain as the mastermind behind the last 3 years. Can you guess who it is? Boris Johnson, who met up with Zelensky and brought him these messages (or maybe orders?):

The first is that Putin is a war criminal, he should be pressured, not negotiated with.

And the second is that even if Ukraine is ready to sign some agreements on guarantees with Putin, they are not.

So basically, Ukraine was close to an agreement with Russia that would have nipped the future destruction in the bud. But that is not what the villain wanted. He saw an opportunity to bury Putin and Russia and decided he'd do everything to bring it to fruition:

Johnson's position was that the collective West, which back in February had suggested Zelenskyy should surrender and flee, now felt that Putin was not really as powerful as

they had previously imagined, and that here was a chance to "press him."

Boom! Peace suddenly stopped being an aim in favor of "pressing Putin". Now let's consider what were the results of this "pressing":

- The giant number of deaths (on both "sides") has already been mentioned.
- Yet, that doesn't even consider the millions who have been displaced (archive) (MozArchive) from their home country. They will have to deal with foreign cultures, possible unsympathetic governments, language barriers, loss of their friends and families, difficulty in finding jobs, etc.
- Because of sanctions on Russia, prices of gas and other commodities surged (archive) (MozArchive) to unprecedented levels - *“Natural gas prices in Europe hit an all-time high of €350 per megawatt-hour in August 2022, compared to an average of €20 in 2020.”*; *“Nickel is crucial for stainless steel and EV battery production. The price surge raised battery costs by 30%, affecting electric vehicle manufacturers”*. If you live in Russia, you cannot buy (archive) (MozArchive) certain products anymore - *“Hundreds of major firms, including McDonald's, Coca-Cola, Starbucks and Heineken, have stopped selling and making goods in Russia”*.
- Conscription (military slavery, preparation for male sacrifice in war) has been brought back (archive) (MozArchive) in certain countries (archive) (MozArchive).
- Innocent sports players, who had nothing to do with Putin's decisions, were getting banned (archive) (MozArchive) from sports tournaments, just because of "bad Russian flag" assigned at birth.
- Journalists criticizing the Ukrainian state got imprisoned (archive) (MozArchive) to die in there from medical neglect with no contact from their lawyers or family for

months (total lawlessness while we supposedly live in countries governed by the "rule of law"...) - *"I cannot accept the way my son has died. He was tortured, extorted, incommunicado for 8 months and 11 days and the US Embassy did nothing to help my son," Lira Sr. stated in an email announcing the news"*.

- Dystopian laws against journalists have recently been unleashed by the EU. One of the justifications for those is combatting *"Russian propaganda"*.
- Countries have had to nuke their welfare systems ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) just so they could continue to *"support Ukraine"* (AKA fund their weapons).

Can anyone honestly say that that early peace agreement would have been worse than whatever followed? So why didn't it happen? Again - Johnson, Zelensky, and all the others simply preferred to challenge Putin in the modern power game, than attempt a peaceful resolution. And of course, once he was "pressed", he had to press back. At that point, the Western side couldn't give up because it would just make them look cowardly and wasteful, so they kept "pressing". Their own propaganda in which Putin was trying to conquer the world and had to be stopped at all costs buried them. Basically they sunkcosted themselves into a hole so deep they couldn't climb out of it anymore. But why did they not simply go for the peace deal originally? I can't say that exactly. There are many ideas out there - for example to increase the West's cultural, legal, or military influence. The core of my thesis, though, works just as well if it's "insane dictator Putin" who just wants to attack places for the lulz, and "invasion victim Ukraine" simply wanting to "defend itself" (this idea has been seriously disputed ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), by the way). In all of those scenarios, it's the common man (again, from both "sides" and even elsewhere in the world) who ends up absorbing the harm, while the puppet masters sit in their golden offices ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) and will never be affected by their own shitty policies. If push comes to shove, they will just run away (like Assad did ([archive](#))).

([MozArchive](#))), and keep enjoying their comfortable life completely separated from the effects their decisions brought onto the plebeians.

The biggest issue here is **equating the state's aims with yours**. And the point of war propaganda is to equalize those in your mind (read the section [Nations - the so-called "elites"](#) dirtiest trick for a refresher on how they do it). But it is obvious they are not only not equal, but very far away and perhaps even exactly opposite. The Ukraine war proves it very well. For example, [David Cameron \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) (yes, the same psycho who wanted to [ban encryption](#) a decade ago) proposed funding the war so that Russia would waste its weapon supplies, increasing USA military and political power - *“Perhaps for about five or 10% of your defence budget, almost half of Russia’s pre-war military equipment has been destroyed without the loss of a single American life (but what about other lives?). This is an investment in United States security”*. And I'm sure I could compile a similar "list of sins" aimed at Putin's regime, but that would be falling into the exact trap I'm trying to warn of - "which state is less bad / justified in its transgressions?". Also, if you're reading this, presumably you're from a Western country and might be believing the ideas popular there, to which I wanted to provide a counter. Regardless, we should leave the squabbling to the states and their propaganda outlets, while directing our focus to the battle [against the entire idea of war](#).

Polish government - the peak of psychopathy

Yes, the unthinkable has happened, and a government more psychopathic than Zelensky's has turned up right under my nose. Somehow this has eluded me so far, and it seems everyone else too as it has not been internationally reported. So let me do it now. From polish radio station's - Radio Zet's - [website \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#):

"W przypadku wojny powołanie może otrzymać każdy polski obywatel pomiędzy 18. a 60. rokiem życia. Bez względu na kraj zamieszkania i rezydencję podatkową. Wyjątkami są osoby, którym na służbę nie pozwala stan zdrowia, kobiety w ciąży lub opiekujące się dziećmi, osoby, których kwalifikacje lub zajmowane stanowiska są niezbędne dla zapewnienia obrony i bezpieczeństwa państwa, a także ci, którzy posiadają drugie obywatelstwo"

Translation:

In case of war, every polish citizen between age 18 and 60 might get mobilized. Regardless of where he lives and pays taxes. The exceptions are people whose health situation doesn't allow them to serve, women who are pregnant or taking care of kids, dual citizens, as well as people whose qualifications or positions are necessary for the defense and security of the state.

Did you notice anything interesting in the above paragraph? It's not only men who will get dragged to war this time, as is usually (always?) the case. In fact, **the only women who will avoid this fate are the pregnant ones, or mothers of young kids.** Therefore all the single women, or ones with adult kids, will get added to the sacrifice pile. Wow! Even Zelensky didn't sink so low as to draft women, despite already losing over a million Ukrainian soldiers and scrambling. I think it's the first time I've ever seen something like this even be attempted.

Supposedly these modifications came in on January 2025. I won't bother reading the actual legal documents as they are extremely long and I can't find the relevant parts, so I'll just trust the media reporting. And I have no reason to disbelieve this anyway because the polish government is full of bloodthirsty psychopaths. During the last few months, the media is clearly

attempting to push for the war with Russia. Some recent headlines from various Polish media sites:

- “Polscy spadochroniarze wylądowali w Szwecji. „Jasny sygnał dla wroga”” (archive) (MozArchive) / *“Polish paratroopers landed in Sweden. Clear signal to the enemy”* (Russia)
- “Rosyjskie myśliwce nad Polską? „Odpowiemy jak trzeba”” (archive) (MozArchive) / *“Russian jets over Polish territory? "We will answer appropriately””*
- “Rosyjskie samoloty nad niemieckim okrętem. Zakłóciły manewry NATO” (archive) (MozArchive) / *“Russian planes above German ship. NATO maneuvers interrupted.”*
- “„Rosja przekroczyła czerwoną linię”. Ekspert nie ma wątpliwości” (archive) (MozArchive) / *““Russia crossed the red line”. This expert has no doubts”*
- “Sikorski wprost do władz Rosji. "Zostaliście ostrzeżeni”” (archive) (MozArchive) / *“Sikorski (polish Minister of Foreign Affairs) straight to Russia's elites. "You have been warned””*
- “Polskie Wojsko poderwało myśliwce. "Stan najwyższej gotowości”” (archive) (MozArchive) / *“Polish Army raised fighter jets. "The state of highest preparedness””*
- “Rosyjskie MiG-31 nad Estonią. Zełenski apeluje o "zdecydowane działania”” (archive) (archive) (MozArchive) / *“Russian MiG-31 over Estonian territory. Zelensky pleads for "a decisive response””*
- “Generał wprost o działaniach Rosji. "Powinniśmy zestrzeliwać rosyjskie samoloty”” (archive) (MozArchive) / *“General talks straight about Russia's actions. "We should be shooting down russian planes””*
- “„Będziemy zmuszeni włączyć się konflikt”. Zaskakujące słowa polskiego ambasadora” (archive) (MozArchive) / *““We will be forced to enter the conflict”.*

Shocking words of polish ambassador” (this is old but telling, so I'm putting it here too)

And that over and over, every single day, since the beginning of the conflict. Recently the push for Poland's war with Russia became particularly blatant, thanks to the supposed airspace violations by the latter. Let's use our brains: why would Russia want to provoke a bunch of countries (Sweden, Germany, Denmark, Estonia, and Poland have been suggested so far), so that those would now have a justification to attack? It would be insane to expect to win against all those together. So why invite that? Maybe...they actually didn't, and the supposed violations either did not happen at all or were false flags? Actually, we do have proof ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) of that for at least one of them - the supposed drone attack on Poland:

A widely circulated photo of the destruction of a house in Poland, allegedly damaged by a Russian drone strike, is refuted by local residents, who note that this house was heavily damaged during a storm two months ago and its condition has not changed since then. – FRWL

If you are into conspiracies, you will recognize this as yet another instance of the very common strategy of using old footage to prop up their new propaganda claims. Naturally I assume all the other incidents are also BS, but I can't be bothered to be hunting down proofs. Since I already know what the government and its hired media goons are trying to accomplish (sacrifice all Poles in the war with Russia), there's no need for me to try to expose every single part of their scheme separately. At this point I think the war with Russia is more likely than not to proceed, though I hope someone or something appears that prevents it - yet I have no idea what that could be. They are already attempting to brainwash ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) “*every adult male*” into accepting their deaths in some ditch.

Jaroslav Kaczyński, the head of Poland's largest opposition party, the conservative Law and Justice, said a mental shift in society would also be needed in addition to the military training of men. "We will have a return to the chivalric ethos and to the fact that men should also be soldiers, that is, be able to expose themselves, even to death," Kaczyński said.

Welcome to conservative brainrot. Anyway - coming back to the female drafting attempts - I would have expected at least some feminist organizations to have covered this, but apparently they didn't. Maybe they will when the sacrifice actually begins. Either way, this shows that the psychos in charge have absolutely zero limits to their cruelty, so don't assume you'll be spared because you're apart of this or that supposedly marginalized group (they didn't care about that when they executed their vaccine extermination, remember). Again, it's time to accept that all these squabbles at the top level are not benefitting you at all and you are just a mook to get sacrificed. If you do so, then revolting reveals itself as the only sane response.

The draft MUST be the #1 issue

...for any activist, or really anyone who cares about something other than his next meal or the next level in a video game he's going to beat.

Despite writing about all kinds of issues for years, it just hit me only now that it's really all about the draft. And then an undescrivable feeling took over the entirety of me. Maybe something like an "Eureka!", but combined with dread and the immediate urge to talk about it.

Because, what could possibly deserve our focus more, while we live in a world in which the lives of 50% of the population literally don't matter? To be honest, it's somewhat less than that

because there are age restrictions, but still; everyone born with a penis was or will be inside the "sacrifice age" (what the media manipulatively calls "fighting age males") at some point (unless he dies early). And if "his" country just so happens to be involved in a war at the time, he becomes worth **as much as a cockroach**. Literally that is what his life turns into ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) - the desperate attempt to run and hide ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), in order to prevent getting brutally exterminated. And you pretty much can't avoid it then; hey, maybe you can blow yourself up ([MozArchive](#)) and take the recruiter with you, which is a "solution" some people are actually taking! Or maybe break your limbs ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) if the above is too hardcore. This seems like an issue that requires immediate attention and that we absolutely cannot allow ourselves to be distracted from.

And yet we **are** being distracted from it, by everything possible. People will discuss all kinds of things such as issues with immigration, education, surveillance, economy, inflation, what Trump ate for breakfast, etc - but very rarely the ethics of the draft. This attitude seems to me to be coming from a privileged position, in which they assume war is only in those poor Arab or Eastern European countries, but not where they live (yet, it can come there too). Alternatively (and this is the worse possibility to me) war is so entrenched that it's simply impossible for the vast majority to imagine it gone. It is so accepted that we're fine with dragging hundreds of thousands of innocent men to the front line, for no appreciable reason, and not even letting them leave their country, against all human rights. Either way, isn't it obvious that this issue is way more important than any other which people get so emotional about? No matter how bad it gets in your country, at least without war, you still live and can move or improve your condition, somehow.

I've gone through all kinds of media, subreddits, commentaries, etc. covering the Ukraine - Russia war, and **everyone seems to take the necessity of war as given**. They will talk all day

long about all kinds of topics such as equipment, lost territory, costs, politicians' media posturing, "peace plans", "which state is more justified" (archive) (MozArchive), etc. Sometimes they will touch the "number of dead" only as an abstract entity, but very rarely the individual lives. And pretty much never the foundations of war and conscription. One big reason is the media propaganda we're shoved in over and over, described in Nations - the so-called "elites" dirtiest trick; the others will be covered later in this section.

Why the legal system can't get rid of the draft

Of course, it all comes back to the legal system in the end. With the way it currently works, the average person doesn't have an effect on the implemented policies, and the only officially approved venue of resistance is voting for someone else. But even if we accept the viability of it - what candidate(s) are there that are squarely against the draft? The only decently big left-wing party in Poland, has all the usual "social democrat" stuff in it like equality, housing availability, good worker protections, better healthcare, blah blah. And then comes this (archive) (MozArchive):

Popieramy działania zmierzające do powołania europejskich sił zbrojnych uzupełniających potencjał obronny NATO i Wojska Polskiego. Opowiadamy się za wsparciem armii zawodowej silną, dobrowolną rezerwą – bez przywracania poboru. ...

Translation:

We support actions leading to the establishment of European armed forces as a complement to the defense potential of NATO and the Polish Army. We will support the

professional army with a strong, voluntary reserve - without reinstating conscription....

So they don't want to have conscription, but still like big army and thus are war loving. They also say this:

Będziemy kontynuować pomoc sprzętową i humanitarną dla Ukrainy w zakresie m.in. uzbrojenia, wyposażenia wojskowego [...]

Translation:

We will be continuing the support of Ukraine through weapons, army equipment [...]

Okay, fuck you. While you might not be supporting conscription **here**, you are completely fine with it elsewhere - therefore you are war loving and fit right in with the mainstream parties. And this is the best that is available in Poland - all the other parties are decisively pro-conscription, or ignore the issue (can't be bothered citing them as I doubt you care, just take my word for it). Also don't forget, that in here, **every male aged 18 still has to submit to military evaluation** (meaning you get "rated" on your fitness towards military service, AKA you're still considered a "potential" soldier), and our leftist buddies say nothing whatsoever about the violations inherent in that (undressing in front of strangers). The entire idea absolutely disgusts me, and no one cares!

I do not trust anyone that is lukewarm about this issue. I expect even the left-wing parties to change their minds in a blink, in case of getting dragged into a war with Russia. And with the way the current political system works, the commoner won't be able to do anything about it. That is why, assuming you even believe in "representative democracy", it's important to support

only those who are decisively against conscription and adjacent abuses. They have to directly call out the dehumanization, slavery, torture, and pointless sacrifice inherent in them. And promise to slash them out of the constitution (or wherever they hide) and prevent their appearance ever again. With lukewarm treatment, again, the party will just fold if a strong enough "enemy" - in fact, likely any "enemy" - comes around. I was hoping some libertarian party might fulfill the requirements, but it seems they don't exist here.

Is war fundamental and inevitable?

You might be screaming now: "But diggy, we live in a world of war! There need to be armies or we will just get invaded and conquered!". Yet think about it. We **already lack** the draft for 50% of the population - the female one. That particular group can live completely without worry at least in terms of this issue. Though some people (archive) (MozArchive) are fighting hard to change that (for the worse, of course), but even then, it's with a bunch of "ifs and buts" (eg. you can just have a kid to avoid getting dragged). So what's preventing us from treating the other 50% of the population similarly, as well? Only our beliefs, convictions, attitudes and assumptions that have been infused into us by decades of propaganda. For all the talk about progressive politics, equality, sustainability, human rights, democracy, and countless other buzzwords - we haven't yet mustered the courage (?) to install a basic **right to life** for the penis half of the population. Once again, the Putins and others can only execute their military operations because they know they have millions of potential conscripts available at any time, and this is accepted and praised.

Sadly it seems barely anyone is talking about it in ways that are necessary (again, lukewarm treatment of this issue is a trap that's going to explode in our faces at the worst possible

moment). At one point I sought antiwar sites and found maybe two that were even passable, but they had significant issues and were not popular anyway and couldn't break through with any actual change. [Antiwar.com](#) is probably the most popular - but what do we see when we actually enter there? A bunch of war-related news, that makes war seem like something inevitable. Nothing about why it's bad or how it might be prevented - and it's also almost entirely centered around USA politics. There's also too much talk about states, which might give someone the impression that certain states are good and certain other - bad. The [Anti-war action network](#) is somewhat better but still, very USA centered and not giving too much information on why war is bad or how to prevent it. I don't like anything that makes it seem like eliminating the USA would somehow remove war instead of moving it to any of the other warmongering places like the EU. The only one I really like is the [World Beyond War](#), with a nice simple design immediately drawing attention to [debunking myths](#) - and it does effectively debunk them. But still, there are some things I disagree with there and I feel like they are not "forceful" enough, if you know what I mean. For example, there is no focus (that I can see) on the brutal dragging of innocent men to trucks that then drive to the frontline, for them to die. No one cares to truly call out the psychopaths in power, and state what to do against them. No one will mention the problems with the way current legal systems work, instead praising "international law"; and so on. Another website [Abolish War](#) does this too, and additionally praises the [Zelensky-worshipping United Nations](#). There is lots of work to do here, to disable all the traps, clearly.

Modern notions of masculinity contribute to the problem

I posit that this is specifically because of the [ideas people still hold about masculinity \(archive\)](#) ([MozArchive](#)):

Historically, masculinity has been tied to concepts of power and authority. In many societies, men were seen as protectors, warriors, and providers.

The traits associated with masculinity—strength, decisiveness, independence, and emotional control—continue to dominate contemporary understandings of what it means to be a man. In Western societies, media portrayals of men often reinforce these ideals, showcasing muscular bodies, assertive leadership, and emotional detachment.

Connell argues that hegemonic masculinity is constructed through the socialization of boys, who are taught from an early age to embody traits such as toughness and stoicism. This process begins in childhood, with boys being taught that crying is a sign of weakness and that emotions like fear or sadness should be hidden. In schools, boys may be subjected to peer pressure to engage in competitive behaviors, assert dominance over others, and avoid displaying any form of vulnerability.

Let's go through these one by one. *“Strength”* - since you're strong and the woman isn't, you go to war (where you need to carry stuff, etc) and she doesn't. *“Decisiveness”* - can't hold back when you're ordered to kill. *“Independence”* - can't rely too much on others when stuck in a killing zone. *“Emotional control”* - can't get anxious, frightened, grieving etc. when there is killing to be done. *“Toughness and stoicism”* is the worst of all, because it makes the man shut up and take it. And it's because of exactly that that they will never complain about even the worst abuses. *“Crying is a sign of weakness”* - again, there is no place for *“weakness”* at war, so just watch your friends die and keep fighting. Though all those traits can obviously have positives in certain situations, they happen to also be exactly the ones that are required to create the perfect sacrificial pawn for war. Of course, if the men didn't fit this archetype, they wouldn't be able to perform as well as those that do, and the military operations would fail. Yet, that is

how we raise our men, and thus, we've ended up with millions of potential soldiers in every country, that are unlikely to escape their prescription. And if you think this is just some stupid "woke" website making it up, check out some comments from a Pearl Davis video:

A job is where someone TELLS YOU what to do...YOU do it...and then they PAY you. It's not a choose your own adventure book.

First comment is justifying cruel jobism.

Women in my life from 15 to 50... All working, all complaining they are tired. My own daughter even. Breaking down in tears at the bills and workload. I've been working since I was 14.. None of these women have ever heard me complain.

You're so cool, macho man! You've been enslaved and tortured and are proud of it! The women are doing it right! They are having a reaction to an abusive situation while you sit there and take it because of brainwashing and false pride!

Yeah, my job as a man was outdoors in blazing heat, freezing cold, wind, pouring rain, and it was typically 10.5-12 hours per day. Us guys would joke about how taking an 8hr day/40hr week type of job would feel like a part time job to us so I'm not very sympathetic to people doing indoor 40 hour jobs who feel like they are overworked.

Do you want a medal?

Yep. My last job in the oilfield I got to set my own schedule. I chose 56 days on, 14 off, 110 hours a week. 70 hours of overtime a week, outside in 100° in the summer to - 30 in

the winter. 40 hours insidelol

You too?

It's true... Most jobs I worked when I was younger, was in the field, driving in L.A. traffic for 10 hours a day, highly stressful. I periodically did days in the office here and there, and it was like a day off. These people who whine about sitting in an office all day are giant babies.

Having to be “*giant babes*” seems like an acceptable "payment" to avoid abuse by the capitalists! But that label is just too much to absorb for the male ego, so they keep enduring, shutting up, and dying...

Only 9-5 .. seriously? That would be a vacation for me.

Another medal contender? Sorry I'm running out of them already.

I've found ins my ~12 years of working that your ability to enjoy your work almost exclusively comes from your own attitude. Unless you are quite literally being abused or something, no job is really that bad so long as you can keep a positive mindset about it.

Hahaha! Can you be any more deluded? Also, most jobs are abusive; some are just a level above others. People aren't meant to be doing the same thing 8+ hours per day. They are not meant to be watching their phone just in case their boss calls. They are not meant to be constantly enduring the previously stated “*blazing heat, freezing cold, wind, pouring rain*”. Do you really think Mick Gordon's treatment would be acceptable as long as he kept “*a positive*

mindset about it”? Why can't most people (especially "conservatives") realize they are being played? Businesses will take abuse as far as they can! And the threshold keeps increasing because of cucks like you!

Most of the jobs I've done were hard labor in all kinds of weather outside. If I had an office job and I was asked to make 15 coffees I'm on it pen and paper taking orders. What you want? A large latte? I got you.

You're so great, man! I'm going to have to go to the basement for my ultimate macho man medal stash.

Why in the world would anyone get on social media and complain about their jobs. If I was their boss and saw these videos, I would look for a way to fire them because I don't want lazy winners working for me or the company.

Wow wow wow! The cuckery meter totally broke while rating this post! Yeah, how dare anyone complain? We exist only to work, obey, endure, die and not squeak even once during that time. Everything human must be eradicated so that we reach the peak of "task performance". Hey, maybe your boss will have added 1 cent to your monthly payment for this asslicking.

The problem is they just aren't working hard enough. If they have time to complain then they aren't working enough.

...And if you have the time or energy to squeak, well you haven't sacrificed yourself enough, you defective work animal!

I spent COVID hand-calibrating the -80C ultrafreezers hospitals keep biological samples in. It takes weeks of digging around inside dozens of such units at a hospital to complete a system validation. Anyone who was in an office during the pandemic had the easy road lol

Another ultimate medal needed here.

Women need to realize that going out and working at a job isn't a social communal circle where everyone is equal and everyone gets to voice their opinion. You're out in the real world where results matter and there are hierarchies in place to ensure the most efficient path to achieve those results. We've allowed women to join in on the hunt with men and they're bringing the village mentality with them, and it just doesn't work.

Women are a lot more aware than you, a slave proud of his commitment to being abused and losing his humanity. There's a lot more to unpack in this comment though, so let's begin. What's wrong with a *“communal circle where everyone is equal and everyone gets to voice their opinion”*? Seems way superior to someone with a "high position" pulling others by leashes, even if he doesn't deserve that position at all. The *“real world”* is a buzzphrase to shut up the questioning of the current abusive system; basically a way to dismiss any skeptic by claiming he's believing in a fake world, or in other words is a schizophrenic. *“Results matter”*? What results? More money in the pocket of someone who already has way too much? *“There are hierarchies in place to ensure the most efficient path to achieve those results”*? Orly? Prove that the current hierarchies exist for that reason, instead of nepotism, luck, etc. Capitalism isn't *“efficient”* at all! Because of it we throw away giant amounts of food (archive) (MozArchive). We ship products made in China all over the world, which is the literal antithesis of efficiency (it needs environmentally and time costly flights, etc). We make shiny packagings to make the

products contained in them more attractive, even though they will be thrown away in seconds after opening, and poison the environment. We create stuff that's designed to be deprecated in a few months, which again poisons the environment. Unless the “*efficiency*” is supposed to be about efficiently abusing, destroying, and finally terminating the human? Well then it's very good at that, I agree. And finally, what's wrong with the “*village mentality*”? Why it “*just doesn't work*”? Sure it's probably not great for filling your boss' pockets “*efficiently*”, but it excels at everything else - like those things that make a human actually human.

Okay, this cuck has exhausted me, so I'm going to stop now. But I hope you see the point of this exercise. **Simply, the male is very easily manipulated into machismo** that in the end is against his interests. Especially when you consider all the propaganda (archive) (MozArchive) flying around, trying to develop and sustain this mindset:

L VIV, Ukraine — The Superhumans Center is full of war amputees learning to walk on artificial limbs or smoking cigarettes clutched in prosthetic fingers.

Ha! The name already activates the male instincts of wanting to feel strong. And it attaches them to sacking yourself in the elites' insane wars.

She eagerly shared the story of how Spodin lost his leg: He volunteered to go out and rescue a wounded comrade. “He’s very sexy without a leg,” she added, beaming.

Now they also make the cripples seem **more** attractive to women than the fully abled men, surely convincing even more to sacrifice themselves. Of course, I'm not saying that no woman can like someone that is disabled, but they will surely be outliers, instead of something to be expected. But the way the media presents it is that you're set to become some woman's hero in

such a condition. And remember, that you are not certain to come back from the war at all. Supposedly, the average survival time for the Ukrainian soldier is 4 hours ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), at least in this specific city where the fighting seems particularly intense. So if you're looking for love, Zelensky's ditches don't seem like a good place.

Of course, these attitudes have been around since long before this war. *"Boys don't cry"* is a phrase commonly repeated to kids before they even learn to speak themselves. **The man became chained by the promise of being strong and useful.** Through all the "requirements", we took away their humanity, which made for effective workers and soldiers - but at what cost? The men end themselves 3-4 times more often ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) than the women - and it's just one example of the "hidden" costs of the conservative male gender role. All we need to do to fix it, then, is to accept the man's humanity - like we already do with the woman's (in most cases, not all). Then the war problem will resolve itself, because people will be ready to fight (even to the death) any psycho that dares to throw innocent men into some pointless war. Which is what I'd expect and hope to happen if women were in similar danger. Yet again, because of the conservative ideas of what the man is "supposed" to be, it's not happening - at least not at the scale necessary. Feminists would probably call this a "patriarchy" but I don't like the framing. **The man isn't having power here** (unless he's one of the few with a very high position), he is being burdened with excessive expectations and restrictions. And to accomplish anything we need to drop or at least lessen those, the same way that we lessened the ones placed on the women long ago.

The men's rights movement has failed

Yet even the movements that are supposed to help men, actually don't ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)):

Since the 1970s Western nations have generally favoured maintaining professional armies. Due to changing geo-political realities some Western nations have reintroduced conscription in the 2020s and others are considering doing so.

This is, by the way, a problem with the common argument (used in USA) that "the draft hasn't been around since so long! We have nothing to worry about!". How long has there been no draft in Ukraine? And suddenly, there was. Germany, Latvia and others are bringing it back after how long? **Anytime a strong enough enemy appears, all the pretenses will go out the window and the man will as usual be dragged to war.** USA residents can only feel smug because they haven't faced such an enemy in a long time, but if the relations with China or Russia go truly sour, I can guarantee you the dragging will commence similarly to the Ukraine.

It is a matter for a sovereign state whether it institutes conscription or not.

Well, it shouldn't be. Conscription is slavery and mass sacrifice and keeps the entire war engine going. **It's not war necessitating conscription; it's the acceptance of conscription sustaining war!**

If conscription is required the country should conscript able-bodied adults on the same terms regardless of gender.

And why should it be “required”? You should be fighting to un-require it, if it is so currently.

It is notable that most military roles are not combat roles. Conscripting women on the same terms as men does not itself mean that women will be put in to combat. Arguments against women in combat are not arguments against the conscription of women.

But why are you insisting so hard on dragging the women there, combat or not?

At the present time few nations conscript women and fewer still conscript them on the same terms as men. In nations that do conscript women they generally serve a shorter period of mandatory service, have more exemptions, and cannot be forced in to combat against their will. Many nations also require former-conscript women to spend a shorter period in mandatory reserve duty, often again with many more exemptions.

The author seems to be bothered by women having it better than men, and **not** by men having it worse than women. This will become obvious when examining his proposed "solution":

A Voice for Men calls on all nations that have conscription to conscript women on the same terms as men.

This isn't a fucking voice for men. This is trying to bring the women down to the current misery of men. **False advertising alert!**

A Voice for Men calls on all nations with mandatory reserves to require women to fulfil reserve duty on the same terms as men.

On the other hand, diggy calls for the deletion of “*mandatory reserves*” - an actually progressive solution to the problem of gender inequality!

In general, the men's rights movement has completely failed at providing any improvement to the male condition, for many reasons. But that's an entire section or article in itself. Here, what we care about is the draft, and the MRAs see no need to attack that at all. Another example

([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) from the (in)famous *MensRights* subreddit that doesn't actually give a single shit about the issue stated in its name:

they want the "percieved" priviliges they think men get but not any of the actual disadvantages men get because in their mind from their biased perspective that would be sexist for them to experience any form of negativity ever

Good. I don't want women to experience negativity - I want for the men to be spared it.

The fact is there's no such thing as getting rid of a draft. Once the government needs soldiers, it'll come right back.

If “*getting rid of a draft*” exists for the female half of the population **already**, then it can simply be added to the male one, too. The UN already guarantees that, so all we have to do is simply use what is already supposed to apply. And just to be clear, I know the UN isn't doing anything to actually bring the needed changes about. All I want to show that it's not insane to expect the men to have the right to life, if even some of the higher-ups figured it out. It's not some kind of heresy, nor is it inevitable to backfire or result in some disaster, like this poster (and others here) seem to think.

Well the ladies better be joining us then, cause it's either get in the raffle or lost your vote, tis how it should be

No, they shouldn't. That's just going backwards instead of forwards which is no draft. Also, losing the voting rights wouldn't be that much of an inconvenience since you can't affect anything through them anyway. So, this isn't the gotcha that this person thinks it is; I'm certain

feminists would gladly take it to avoid getting dragged.

Draft Our Daughters.

No, fuck you, draft yourself if you're so eager to die.

Because they'd see their rights taken away and get mad and the men are used to it and don't complain.

Well, maybe they should complain then?

It's always been like this, even before it took the form of a draft.

Because men have been oppressed for thousands of years. We've been forced to fight against our will for the profit and protection of wealthy families. The men women and children of the wealthy classes have exploited us, leading men to lifelong trauma, physical disability, and death. They claim it's our "duty" and lots of the language around "be a real men" comes from this exploitation.*

Wealthy women and men will always seek to exploit somebody for their wars. We need to liberate ourselves from this man-hating idea that it's a man's obligation to serve in war and then we need to change society so that the draft becomes gender-neutral.

This post was going well until the very last part. WHY are these supposed men's rights activists so insistent on dragging women down instead of lifting men up?!

Men have to agree to fight and die in any war at the whim of our leaders for any reason they decide in order to EARN the right to vote. While women screamed about it and were GIVEN the right to vote for literally nothing.

Again, the fucking “right to vote” is a complete red herring. It's worthless and doesn't justify the dragging. But some here seem to think that it's a proper "reward" for becoming a cockroach. Also, this entire excuse is USA-centered; other countries don't let you avoid conscription by giving up the “right to vote” in the first place.

I don't understand why only men are still being drafted. It makes so much more sense to draft both genders. For one the whole equality thing. Two, if we go to war then we'll have more people fighting. Three, they'll be more of both genders at home. Leaving a good amount of men and women to take care of the country.

Doesn't it make more sense to draft no one?

Here's how much faith you should have if women are ever included in the draft; in 2005, as the 101st was preparing for their second deployment to Iraq, hundreds of female soldiers were magically pregnant just in time to avoid going. Now, a lot of those women had signed up after 9/11, knowing that going to war was a huge possibility. Sure, for some it was simply time to start a family... but for the rest, they understandably chose nine months of growing a baby and eighteen years of raising said kid, over going to “war.” THAT is how badly they want to avoid being forced to do what men are automatically expected to do - that they will happily engage in “baby making” which they will paint as the most amazing experience a woman can have or the most evil patriarchal torture a man/the state can “force” them to endure, depending on her whim of

the moment.

Good. They picked the one way that they could have to avoid getting sacrificed. Wouldn't you take it if you could? So why are you mad at them for daring to save their lives, and not at the draggers?

I think instead of bringing it a women's issue, just think about abolishing the draft instead lol.

Finally a sane post. One out of how many? Yet this person seems to be banned from reddit completely now... And, it only got one reply, that wasn't actually even relevant.

Because the reality is women aren't useful in war and yet they don't acknowledge that. It's always, "we can do everything just as well as men can."

So? Are you happy for being so strong and useful, even though you will fucking die?

If you have a major war and women die, you'll have generational issues lasting 40-60 years. If you have a major war and men die, your economy kind of suffers for 4-20 years maybe. This is not the main reason for the draft only applying to males, but it's certainly a factor in keeping it that way. You can ask China what it feels like losing tons of women.

Then don't lose tons of women. Eliminate the draft and you lose no one. Also nice misandric post in the supposed men's rights space.

the draft applies to men because, contrarily to what activists say, men are physically stronger than women as there is a visible difference, more muscle mass, more lung capacity, taller in height and denser bones, it makes sense since it literal nature the female only picks the strongest male to procreate with since that would yeild the highest survival chance, nature's selective breeding made it that most men are physically stronger than the average women, there can be women who are up to the standard sure, but that is the expection, its only until more recent times where social roles became acceptable for both sexes, i belive in societal equality as neither a man's nor woman's word matters less but in a physical context out very biology suits us for different purposes and its clear it carried over into our times, from fighting in tribes to modern armies it just made sense

This clearly isn't a universal rule. Have you missed all the lanky nerds of today? Also, the evolutionary stuff is just speculation. Again, why do the lanky nerds exist if they are supposedly selected against by nature?

The Israel Defense Force conscripts women along with men and it's one of the most effective, feared, and respected militaries in the world. Care to explain how you reconcile your position with that fact?

So what? Does that suddenly justify expanding the dragging? Again, yet another fake men's rights activist looking to go backwards instead of forwards. Do you want a jungle-world based on who can impose his brutality on another more effectively? Then have it, but keep me out of it.

Yes, I'm aware that a military is not just made of infantry. I'm also aware of their support from the US. And of course they have lots of planning, because surprise surprise, the IDF

is a highly competent fighting force. A status that they achieved and continue to maintain while being closer to true gender equality than any other military in the world. If they can do it, anyone can.

Anyone maybe “*can*”, but should they? I don't want the type of “*gender equality*” where oppression is expanded instead of reduced.

The population of the Palestinian territories has steadily grown for their entire history. Populations suffering from genocide don't grow. Hamas and Lebanon attacked Israel first and now they're suffering the consequences of their hatred. Try again.

Oh, great, he's an Israel apologist too.

It's been over 50 years since the US could legally draft anyone so it seems like a silly thing to get upset about. The requirement to register expired at that time but was reinstated in 1980 by Jimmy Carter in a presidential order; any president can make it go away, no action from Congress required.

Because there hasn't been a worthy enemy since, and only for that reason.

Okay, I'm tired of this already. So far it's been one post that actually wanted to abolish the draft, but didn't seem to take it too seriously anyway. And however many that want to expand the misery to women. By the way, this isn't just one thread that I happened to land on; it's actually a trend (archive) (MozArchive) in the (archive) (MozArchive) movement (archive) (MozArchive). I won't go through all the comments again, but they have the same vibe as that of the first thread. It's endless "country vs country", "war is inevitable", "men are biologically

designed for war, so let them die", "men aren't valuable because it's women who make kids, so let them die", "women should be dragged too", "women are bad because they flee the war zones", "women are bad because they dare to earn money" etc. nonsense, instead of laser focusing on the pointless, cruel, and unjustified mass male sacrifice. This makes me wonder - in what way are all these people MRAs at all? What are they even looking for? It seems the MRAs consist of two groups: those who are jealous of women (or just hate them; after reading some of the posts I now understand why the men's rights movement is sometimes called misogynistic) and want to spread their own abuse to them (similarly to the boomers who were abused by capitalism and want to keep you inside the same trap, even though it's becoming increasingly untenable), and the conservatives, who want to keep the usual conservative expectations, but receive some kind of reward ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) for it that they feel they are not getting today. No one who wants to fight for men's rights - such as the right to avoid being sacrificed in some ditch - seems to be in sight.

UPDATE December 2025: more pro-mass male sacrifice ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) fake MRAs have surfaced:

Personally I'm not opposed to it nor to its only applying to men. [...]

Then you are not an MRA. Full stop, get the fuck out of the movement.

Most (heterosexual) women would expect their husband or boyfriend to stand up for them if they were physically threatened, say on the street or at home. Almost no man would expect his woman to physically defend him in return but they would certainly consider it their responsibility to protect their woman. If a man saw a woman being attacked on the street, even if he did not know her, there would be an expectation that he

should if possible try to intervene and indeed many men would do so even at personal risk to themselves. If a man expects women to defend him from physical threats then what sort of man is he? Doesn't the same apply in war? Most men would expect other men to do their bit to stand up and fight if the nation were threatened. A man failing to do so would usually be regarded as letting the team down. Perhaps even as a contemptible coward. They wouldn't have the same expectation of women. That, of course, does not mean that women cannot be conscripted but for non combat roles, which is in fact what happens for instance in the Israeli army where women, despite being conscripted, only serve in combat if they volunteer to do so

Why not expect your woman to defend you? If she cares about you, she will. *“If a man expects women to defend him from physical threats then what sort of man is he?”* One that expects a reciprocal relationship, perhaps? And just to be clear, I wouldn't necessarily *“expect”* my partner to defend me (male subjugation supporters cannot live without their strawmen...), but it would certainly feel nice if it happened. *“Doesn't the same apply in war?”* **Fucking no!** War is a delusion of a few psychopaths at the top of a government(s), propped up by fearmongering media and weapon producing companies. **You are not required to sacrifice yourself for those entities!** If you want to "defend your woman", flee the country with her (you will **not** defeat the entire Russian army anyway, sorry Rambo!). Or, if you're particularly bloodthirsty, take it out on your governments (or destroy war equipment like Palestine Action) - AKA the actually responsible party - instead of a random Russian / whoever. This entire post is just retarded **anti-male** conservative gender roles surfacing again. And shows why conservatives simply cannot be MRAs, and need to be kicked out of the movement or they will inevitably poison it with their stone age crap. Not that a real MRA movement actually exists; it's all people who want to abuse males with promise of some insignificant "reward", or just misogynists. Moving on to

another imposter:

Unpopular opinion:

I believe that every man should serve. The issue isn't being forced to serve.

It is. You support mass male sacrifice, therefore you are not an MRA, therefore get the fuck out of the movement. Also, this opinion isn't unpopular at all; millions of conservatives believe exactly this.

You live in that land. It protects you and provides for you. If it doesn't, then that service should be in changing it, until it does protect you and provide for you. We men carry that burden in life. Any time you are in a social organization, we have duties to that society.

I don't remember being protected or provided for (but I do remember school torture). Care to tell me how does military service change society? Does being there let someone change policies or culture? *“We men carry that burden in life”* - another conservative, misandric, Stockholm Syndrome idiocy. A man is not a tool, but a human with thoughts and feelings, dreams and desires, likes and dislikes that deserves respect. The only *“duty”* I feel to this *“society”* is to burn it down. Hope I can finish the job before my life ends, but conservacucks like you are standing in my way.

The problem is, now days, it's like we are forced to those duties, yet that system is stacked greatly against us. That's why men have problems with forced service. Because we're being expected to serve a country that isn't serving us.

At least you've figured out that modern countries aren't “*serving us*”. But no, shoving men into the military "service" is never good and will never be, regardless of how great the "country" is. Because again, it grooms the man into becoming a perfect soldier to be sacrificed by the psychopaths at the top. It rids him of his humanity and creates the brutes and rapists that feminists sometimes complain about. Even if a war never ends up happening, it will always be at the back of his mind after the grooming. Check [this \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) as an example of what can happen:

About a month ago my younger brother, now 19, came back from compulsory military service (in Moldova it lasts for a year) and he came back a completely different person, for the worse. If before the conscription he was cheerful, kind, interested in IT and played in the philharmonic, now he became radically closed in himself. He almost doesn't eat (once every two or three days), doesn't communicate with anyone, all day long he either just walks around the house or stares at one point. And while we were looking for a good psychologist for him, he has already made an unsuccessful attempt to kill himself. We are very afraid that he might do it again, so I would appreciate any advice on how we can improve his condition and let him get back into the normal life.

Maybe fight to end the draft? I'm sorry but I think your brother is wrecked forever. Maybe his condition can be improved somewhat, but the deep wounds from the grooming will never heal. And yet, conservatives (like the fake MRAs in the *Men's Rights* subreddit) simply love this kind of thing more than anything. Their eyes light up when they can drag someone to the military and mold him into their vision of the perfect "masculine" (dehumanized) male. Is it not obvious why they have to be kicked out of this movement?

In nature, the unspoken rule is that everyone carries their weight. Everyone contributes.

When things are unbalanced, things get balanced one way or the other.

No. The productivity false god is pretty new; it certainly does not exist in hunter-gatherer societies.

In today's society, there are certain groups that are getting free stuff, freeloading off of many others. There will always be those that can't. But, there is so much freeloading, by able bodied people, that it's causing heavy strain on those that are working. So, to be asked to do more, to sacrifice more, for those, is quite disrespectful. The answer should be to hold those accountable, that aren't pulling their weight.

Ha! As if this post didn't get vile enough, he had to add this crapitalist propaganda. Just work useless ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), harmful or dangerous to health ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) jobs, you plebeian! But ignore the utter robbery and wastefulness at the top levels of the hierarchy. Because how dare you not be justifying your existence 24 / 7, you parasite! Check out one of the replies to the post, BTW:

Modern women in general aren't worth dying for. I say 304 becuse that is what 95% of women are because that is what mainstream culture encouraged them to be with feminism and the death of traditional values.

So it's all about "women bad". But if women were "good", then mass male sacrifice would suddenly become acceptable...okay. Another conservative who does not belong here. Moving along:

Front line, it's been proven that women cannot cut it. For various reasons. Mainly

because men have more strength, so women can't physically carry what they need in combat. Further, men deal with battle stress differently, and are better able to cope and make it. Whereas, women can't. The big media coverage women's groups have been reported to collapse in battle, crying and freezing up. So, they were pulled from front line. There are some women that can be, but it's very rare. Apparently far more rare than in men.

Strength is somewhat of an argument (and yet, there are many many lanky nerds today, as I said), but the other things are simply from socially conditioning men into the tool mindset, which MRAs should be against. **Men would collapse, cry and freeze up just as much** without the extreme brainwashing they are subjected to since birth!

For support, i think they should. But, many women just aren't cut out for the military. They run on feelings. And the military only runs effectively when it's competent, and merit based. The further it gets from those factors, the more it's effectiveness collapses. That's why politics ruins the military effectiveness. Women being there does similar, because many policies must be implemented to coddle to them. For the few women that can cut it, great. But many just can't.

“They run on feelings”:D. Amazing! That's what we should all be doing; it might prevent wars, school torture, worker abuse, etc. Yet because of conservative bullshit we live in a society of repression, and I'm forced to write giant analytical essays showing how everything we do is fucking retarded - even though I know they will be ignored because everyone defaults to their deep seated brainwashing. **Following feelings would truly fix most of our problems**; too bad they are being inhibited by modern convention! They've been developed over millions of years of evolution (or - even if you believe in creationism - then God created them **for a reason**,

right?) and tell us exactly when we're fucking up. I mean, you **do** take your hand away from the hot stove because of the burning **feeling**, right? When you feel full, you don't eat anymore. When your body tells you to shit, you go to the toilet. And so on. So why not also trust the feeling that tells you something's wrong when you see innocent Ukrainian men getting dragged to the frontline? Or maybe you think that somehow, only certain feelings are valid...of course exactly those that don't threaten the current abusive social structures. I hope you realize how stupid and cucky that sounds. And that it's the exact system designed and propped up by the tiny percentage of absolute psychopaths.

And if women need to be “*coddled*”, then fine. What's so bad about it? Maybe we should “*coddle*” the men too so that their 3-4 times higher suicide rate (archive) (MozArchive) could be cut down? But no, men are machines designed to kill, and cannot express feelings because that would inhibit their performance in their assigned task of dying for their psycho ruler. Fuck off! If this example doesn't show exactly why conservatives need to be purged from the MRA movement, nothing will.

Now, this part will be somewhat speculative, but I also believe like we might be getting modified genetically to fit into the cruel system currently in place. Basically, **the more psychopathic you are, the better you survive**. Meaning that in the long term, people following feelings more will be selected against. It's scary to think about. Not that I believe there is a one-to-one relation between genes and the personality you end up expressing, but there surely has to be some effect. And there might be non-genetic selection being applied too; even if you don't end up having kids, the cruel, sociopathic and "tool-like" behaviors are simply materially rewarding (and survival is the most fundamental desire of all living organisms). I fear that at some point, the behaviors which traditionally defined the human become so totally destroyed that there will be nowhere to draw them from; the cruelty and inhumanity will have become

fully internalized. That's why I hope we can go back to relying on feelings more, and fast! Unless you like the notion of us simply devolving into oversized bacteria, or cancer expressing only the uncontrolled desire for survival. And this is what following the conservative fake MRAs will eventually lead to if unopposed. As they simply love the "masculine" ideal of constant enduring, fighting, shutting up and dying - with every other human function brutally deleted.

Left-wing MRAs are slightly better, but...

The [LeftWingMaleAdvocates](#) (archive) ([MozArchive](#)) subreddit seems to be a lot better on the topic of conscription:

Rule 1 - There should not be mandatory conscription. Rule 2 - If there ABSOLUTELY HAS to be conscription, it should be gender neutral. Rule 3 - If lost/confused, refer to Rules 1-2

So what, next we force women to have children? If your country, most likely people will sign up in droves to fight, if not, then your country isn't worth fighting for.

Sending men into war isn't a biological fact, it's a mindset where you think men should be slaves to the state. There's no need to deliberately confuse biological fact with social conditioning.

Huh, I'm part of the plurality for a change?

Training is one thing (I mean, we have compulsory schooling and that's pretty based and necessary, all things considered) but forcing people to fight and/ or work for the state is an idea that belongs to the bad old days when the king owned everything and everyone in his realm (notionally at least).

We do not need those times ever again, and we do not need this disgusting relic of them.

Putin wouldn't have been able to occupy fucking Chernobyl if he didn't have his big horde of slave soldiers, rounded up and driven by his slaver-soldiers who imo are hypocrites for not carrying whips.

I consider conscription, necessary or not, to be exploitation. When it involves combat, we are not talking about a casually unpleasant job or a minor inconvenience. It's about putting a person's well being and life in harm's way.

The people who agree with it are not justified in wanting others to be subjected to it as well...

It's refreshing to read these after what came before. Though there is still stuff like this:

I would argue it's part of the social contract. If you look at Israel or to a lesser degree Finland a strong military isn't a luxury, it's vital for the continued existence of that society.

I think that a professional military is preferable to a conscript military both performance wise and certainly morally but there are circumstances in which such considerations are overshadowed by an otherwise overwhelming threat. I understand and in principle I agree with you but personally I'm a realist in the end.

But at least none of the hateful, doomy, sacrifice loving stuff from the *Men's Rights* subreddit. **Edit:** yet, there is [this thread](#) ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) in which most posters seem to like women having been added to the Latvian sacrifice pile (though many still attack conscription completely). I just have to reply to some of the posts since they are so vile:

I don't think this is a matter of valuing gender equality as much as it is Latvia being a country with less than 2 million people that has a very aggressive neighbour with 143 million people. Literally every man and woman counts for them.

Don't get me wrong, progress and a precedent for fairness are a good thing even if the need wasn't fairness. What remains to be seen (same with Denmark) is whether this will translate with actual equal respect for human life, or rather a "men go to the frontlines, women go to support roles" situation.

So, conscription is bad except if a supposedly “*very aggressive neighbor*” is around, then suddenly everyone is fine to sacrifice. And no, this won't “*translate with actual equal respect for human life*”, because war is exactly the opposite of that!

Better to tolerate equality than inequality if cruelty will occur regardless. Makes it more likely that for said cruelty to be removed one day if it affects everyone equally. Plus, lbr

conscription will never go away because all sustainable countries know it's necessary if they don't get enough volunteers for their wars (whether it's a just war or not).

Cruelty doesn't have to “*occur regardless*”. What “*countries know*” shouldn't matter, what matters is the respect for human life. And as you said, they are “*their wars*”, not ours (plebeians). Even a *MensRights* member was able to figure out (archive) (MozArchive) that the wars are not for us and don't help us at all, so why can't you? And we outnumber the warmongers by a lot, so why should we even listen to them? I really fail to understand where this notion that “war must happen” comes from (aside from the most powerful media propaganda ever, of course). Imagine if we used the same reasoning to deny that children could ever stop working, because “businesses will just drag them if they don't get enough volunteers, whether it's just or not”. Seems laughable, doesn't it? But it's not at all different from what this poster is proposing.

First, you need to consider a country's rivals. Countries with overwhelming population superiority can potentially pursue both options - your suggestion or conscription. For countries with smaller populations, conscription is the only viable approach. Paying more per soldier means less money is available for top-quality gear per soldier, weapons platforms - ships, tanks, drones, missiles, and other resource stockpiles. If you want to utilize the full strength of the citizenry, conscription is definitely cheaper overall: 100,000 soldiers at conscript pay versus the same 100,000 fully paid at market rates. In both cases, you still need to feed and house them.

All this crap assumes that war simply must happen. Again, people need to drop certain assumptions (such as flag fights being the most important thing ever) before they can get anywhere.

This is happening because of Russia, and if you've seen any news from Ukraine in the past couple years you'd know that "not conscripting" doesn't mean you won't be brutally killed and/or tortured. I agree with you when it comes to offensive wars, but defensive wars are rarely a matter of choice.

As said before, "X attacked Y" is simplistic. There have been many factors leading to this war. Also, even a *"defensive war"* is a *"matter of choice"*. You can still try diplomacy, and from the Ukraine side, there has been no attempt other than the first one that was broken by Boris Johnson. And again, war can only happen in the first place if you assume male human life is worthless aside from being a sacrifice pawn for the psychopaths at the top. And now you want give the same treatment to women, as well?! The war is also not just *"because of Russia"*. Even if you deleted it from existence, as long the same assumptions and modes of operation (eg. widespread weapon production, rigidly defined country borders, men groomed into soldiers since birth, etc) kept getting applied, another ditch roulette would simply get started in some other place. This is the hardest thing for people to understand, as I see it. Blaming one "country" or person instead of systems, is easy. But also lazy and doesn't actually work. Yet it makes for good propaganda so that the war machine can be kept going, by ignoring the actual factors enabling it.

Wars have been, can be, and will be necessary. To believe or act otherwise is naive at best. Since wars will keep being necessary so will conscription if there is not enough volunteers. Due to that, the only equal and the most just thing is for all sexes to be equally susceptible to conscription.

Cool story bro. I guess getting blacks out of the plantations was *"naive at best"*, too, because...well, someone needs to work on the plantations. And children need to work in the

factories because adults alone can't earn enough. And gays need to stay in the closet. This is a conservative, not a left-wing attitude.

Meh, equality is equality. Hot take: better everyone is treated badly than only certain people being treated badly due to their genitals. Ending conscription entirely will be far too hard of a sell for most governments, maybe this will at least ease some of the burden disproportionately placed onto men in that country.

“Ending conscription entirely will be far too hard of a sell for most governments”? Fuck them then. Why should we care about their dreams to sacrifice people? And no, adding women to the pile of corpses isn't a positive even if it results in more *“equality”*.

Just to be clear, these are just my handpicked posts from **one** thread, and not representative of the overall attitude of *LWMA*, which is (again) a lot better than *MensRights*. Even in that thread, many people were against conscription completely. Yet, some worrying things revealed themselves anyway. Namely that - while people might argue against conscription **in general** - when they detect a "need" for it, many will drop the principle. And, *“equality”* at all costs seems to be more important to the left than chasing a better life for everyone. Than fighting to break down the cruel and stupid assumptions which our civilization currently stands on. It seems the only truly principled stand against conscription comes from the libertarians, but they have many other problems. Yet, maybe it's time to ally with them, on the absolute most important issue in the world?

UPDATE January 2026: forget it all, LWMA is just as horrible as any other supposed men's rights place. Look at this "Conscription Horror in Ukraine -and what you can do about it." thread (archive) (MozArchive) that recently dropped. The OP very sanely described the bullshit

that's going on with the ukrainian gangs picking up innocent men from anywhere they can find them and throwing them to the front lines to die. And yet look at the responses:

Russia doesn't do this because they have the luxury of a huge population that also have a controlled information supply, but don't forget that they did do this earlier in the war. They use penal colonies and foreign mercenaries specifically to avoid this as it is so unpopular, especially in a war of aggression.

This isn't about defending Russia, but critiquing the absolute cruelty that's going on in Ukraine.

Ukraine is forced to do this as a smaller country in a drawn out fight for its survival against a much larger neighbour. My country has done it before, chances are high that yours has done too. Forced conscription is one of many miserable necessities of war.

It's not forced to do shit; all kinds of peace deals are possible. Which might not be optimal, but better than sacrificing every Ukrainian male until they inevitably have to happen anyway. And lol, conscription is suddenly needed? Did you lose your way when you ended up inside this **men's rights** subreddit?

The language you use and the fact you blame the ukrainian government for this suggests you're taking your information from questionable sources, or that you lack critical thinking.

Yes, I blame them for busification, which is a level of cruelty above anything in history, that I know of. And lol! “*Questionable sources*” when we can literally watch thousands of vids.

I'm not saying OP is Russian propaganda-farm troll...

...but he sure sounds like one.

Okay, that's more important than the innocent men being picked up by their every limb and thrown into a truck where they are almost certain to die.

“Russian apologist detected.”

- Ukraine is labelled barbaric - for having to fight.

It is absolutely barbaric, regardless of whether they "have to" fight or not. That's not what decides barbarism.

- Doesn't happen on any other country on earth - not very many wars to compare it too.

Enough to know that your beloved Ukraine is an outlier.

- Russians offer big money, nice signing bonuses- Tell that to the dead North Koreans, tell that to the American youtuber serving in the Russian army and his wife.

Once again, this isn't about defending Russia. Yet they are still not doing barbaric busification.

- The war was never supported by Ukrainians - straight lies.

I don't know about never. Propaganda is powerful but eventually loses its fuel and the pointlessness and cruelty underneath is revealed. [Polls have \(archive\) \(MozArchive\)](#) for a long time showed that over 50% of the Ukrainian population is ready for an end to the war, even at the cost of concessions to Putin. And that will be an underestimation anyway because they don't interview those who had left the country.

- Ukraine should just give up

If the only alternative is brutally sacrificing every Ukrainian male? Sure. Even though, again, it's not because peace deals are possible.

“With only a passing mention of the gender issue of conscription?”

"Enough already."

Oh, another one wanting to add women to the sacrifice pile.

[...]

Putin is a aggressor, not only to Ukraine, but also to Europe as a whole. He is a threat to liberal democracy and human rights, which I value. When the war started / escalated a few years ago, it actually took me a few weeks to cope with the new situation, because I thought you can bargain with Putin, or acknowledge his interests and strike a deal. But he is a liar and an aggressor, you can not negotiate your way out of this. Even if you want to negotiate a peace deal now, this requires military strength on the ground to achieve a good result.

“Liberal democracy” that isn't actually a democracy and “human rights” that are mostly illusory. How can you talk about *“human rights”* when you support innocent men being thrown into ditches to die? Also, you can surely negotiate with Putin (regardless of how evil you think he is), and it almost happened at the beginning of the war. You say *“military strength on the ground”* is required? Well the picked up men aren't military at all!

Ukraine is fighting an existential war against a genocidal aggressor. The rest of the world decided that, at best, they will provide tacid support, but for the most part nobody gives a shit. The consequence is that a lot more Ukrainian men have to die to prevent a proper genocide.

Can you prove Putin wants to do a genocide? And lol, telling the men they have to die :D. In a men's rights sub :D. At least you are honest. But it seems the person who wants a genocide is you!

People like you keep harping on the Ukrainian government drafting people. The only reason they are drafting people is the russians invading them

The problem is the cruelty of trucks and the inability of innocent men to leave. "Who started it" won't save the lives lost. BTW, this person's nickname is *fuckoffyouidipshit* which shows the type of people that support this barbarism, I guess.

Russian propaganda bot. Seriously, a nation is desperately trying to fight a war, and some dumbass on the internet is calling them the baddies for doing so. Why are they fighting

that war?

Once fucking again, no one is calling anyone "baddies for daring to fight". We're calling the psychopathic Ukrainian government, and all the EU leaders that support them, for picking up innocent men from the streets and throwing them into a ditch to die. Don't pretend these are some heroes wanting to "defend their country", when they are screaming and crying while being dragged to a truck by several large men! *"Why are they fighting"* won't save their lives either way, but reasons have been explored (archive) (MozArchive) by actual experts and they go beyond "Putin bad". He might be bad but it's comical that someone believes in these cartoon war narratives in the age of widely available information.

If anything, Europe should send troops in to support these men in defending their homeland from imperialist psychopaths who are also running hybrid warfare against these same nations anyway. I think our lack of meaningful solidarity with Ukraine is actually causing this more than anything. Europe could end this in days if it had the will to do so - and I say that as a European

Lol. There wasn't solidarity at all when all those weapons got sent there, none at all. Yes Europe could end it if they cared to attain peace instead of attempting to bury their enemy once and for all, whatever the cost.

I'd push for both but the concern would be that the women would be taken and raped by Russian soldiers since that seems to be their MO. Ultimately though, our values and ways of life need to be defended against the nation that is attempting to bring fascism to Europe.

Heh. Another guy that's fine with having the women die too. Also, what values? If these supposed values include picking up innocent men to throw them into trucks and unload into ditches where a drone will explode inside their ass, I don't care to defend such “*values*”.

Because this knuckledragger is framing this as if Ukraine has any other choice to maintain its sovereignty. The issue with men being abused and sentenced to miserable death sentences isn't Ukraine's choice - it's Russia's choice to inflict this torture on both sides as well as when it ends.

Anybody who doesn't see this is misunderstanding the facts of this conflict. It's not even off topic - it's how imperialism abuses the lives of men and sees our lives as currency for games and power

Ukraine already isn't sovereign. USA and EU support keeps it up, and of course the mass sacrifice of innocent men. What happens if those dry up? Zelensky will have to sign some peace deal anyway. Though I suspect he will be gone by then. Won't it all seem to have been completely stupid and preventable? Also, no, the decision to send trucks after innocent men isn't Russia's. Don't make the Ukrainian establishment into puppets pulled by strings. They did not **have to** choose this path. Again, peace deals are a thing even if you have to make some concessions towards the aggressor. Surely that's better than sacrificing the entire male population of your country? Even if Putin wanted to "claim entire Ukraine", I don't believe that justifies the dragging. Because human life is more important than lines on the map and if a "country" seems worth defending, people will do it by themselves.

Here is the thing. Putin might be a genocidal maniac, and maybe he truly can't be negotiated with. Maybe he really wants the entire Ukraine, maybe he wants to kill its culture, or even attack other countries at some point. **Yet none of this justifies dragging innocent men to die in ditches!** But none of the commenters realize it, or they are simply malicious actors / hired propagandists looking to normalize cruelty. And of course none of this has anything to do with the left or male advocacy. The left is theoretically supposed to defend human rights; it's the conservatives that would usually appeal to the man's duty to shove him into war. Though even those would not be as blatant in supporting cruelty as the lowlives in this thread! Truly everything got completely twisted. But at least we now know that these supposed "*male advocates*" are nothing of the sort and that a true men's rights movement does not exist! I am truly so tired of this topic. I mean I knew that the pro-Zelensky propaganda had reached its peak but to see LWMA turn out so completely brainwashed was quite the shock, compared to conscription threads from just a few months ago... It seems we won't get even the most basic respect for the male human life if even such extreme cruelty receives widespread applause, from the exact community that should be most against it!

Why the draft is so important

So, in the end, what is the reason the draft is so crucial? **It is because it decides the foundations which our civilization is based on.** Respect for life versus the disregard for it. Freedom to decide your fate versus getting it decided for you when you get stuck inside some ditch for reasons you might not care about nor benefit from. The preference for diplomacy versus threats and brute force. Comfort versus constant worry. Building versus destruction. Sustainability versus wastefulness. Going forwards versus backwards. Long term planning versus living day-to-day. Equality versus rigid hierarchy. Humanism versus animalism. **As long as your life can**

become that of a cockroach at any moment, nothing good can persist. It's all set up to get destroyed at the snap of a finger of some high-up psychopath.



And it doesn't matter what shade your skin is, what your sexual preference is, what your political or religious convictions are. It doesn't matter where you live or what you do for work.

It doesn't matter what you have between your legs or how much green paper in your pocket. This is an issue that affects everyone. Even if you are a woman or rich and manage to avoid getting dragged - your husbands, sons, brothers, neighbors will be instead. And the destruction of infrastructure, the redirection of money towards war efforts ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), etc. affect everyone in the end. This is why this issue is above all the rest; and yet, the popularity of its coverage is a drop in the bucket in comparison to the others. Truly insane. I hope to change it, but I am a small fry. Yet, I have to try.

How to fix?

So what can we do to eliminate the draft? Protests won't make the psychopaths change their policies, but might possibly shift the overton window towards more respect for the life of the human male. We could go on a mass strike. Since men still do the most dangerous and necessary jobs ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), it could actually be effective (until AI becomes more involved, so be quick!). **No more fishing, mining, firefighting, construction, absolutely nothing until everything related to any conscription is completely purged from any constitution or other legal document out there.** Another way is to do what Palestine Action did ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), and destroy equipment needed to wage war (weapon factories, planes, whatever) - but on a wider scale than them, instead of only the ones used for Israel. You think you are such a strong, manly man? Then do this. Yes, it's hardcore, but not even close to the mass male sacrifice.

The problem is - of course - that men can't be bothered to organize the way feminists have. Which is sad because the male discrimination issues are a lot more serious, despite the media portrayals. Not that long ago, Croatia brought back conscription and an organization protested

and asked for donations, and they didn't even reach their relatively small goal compared to the weight of the issue at hand. And this was just sending money online, not anything requiring actual effort or risk! The modern men simply don't care. This stasis has to be busted, and it is again related to the male propensity to just sit there and take it.

But what can we do against all the conservative influencers and their listeners who love the pretend machismo they associate with war deaths? Well, maybe start with spreading the actual cruelty that's going on at war (UKR - RUS war conveniently has lots of brutal footage available). I feel like many people still have a movie-like conception of it, where you go fight the "bad guy" and become some sort of hero. Additionally, give the guys another outlet. If they like fighting, there is boxing, video games; really any kind of high-level competition should satisfy the same desire. But for any man that's endured enough and still cannot rid himself of the expectations put on him, it's going to take deeper cultural changes. Once again, just accept the man as a being that's deserving of respect, that has feelings, dreams and desires and not as a tool for fulfilling functionalities. The same privilege women already enjoy, at least to a much higher level than the men. Then, maybe the cultural changes will somehow reach the policymakers, and they will be pressured to cut down on the "requirements" for the human male. Yet, I fear that the "man as the sacrificial pawn" idea is so entrenched - and so beneficial to the warmongers - that they won't give it up and it's going to take the complete toppling of the legal power structure to change anything.

As an additional anti-draft strategy, maybe we simply need a more fitting terminology than what's currently used in the mainstream? I propose the "ditch roulette" (instead of conscription, draft, etc). Basically, the psychopaths at the highest positions of this world decide to play roulette every so often, and if it picks your country - and you are the so-called "fighting age male" - you get dragged to a ditch, and might die. If you luck out, don't celebrate yet because

another roulette might be coming in a few years. This is the true foundation of war - not "Putin invaded, and now we must retaliate!". Such people can only do so because of the system they found themselves in, the one in which there are millions of available ~~meat-units~~ potential soldiers at any time to send on their desired missions, and it's all accepted and even praised. If you removed the specific people waging or sustaining current wars, but the climate remained the same, then the roulette would simply move elsewhere. So basically, we need a more realistic portrayal of the issues surrounding war; and not the extremely biased one invented solely to serve the warmongers.

Factors leading to war

As a bonus for reading this far (this must be my longest section ever), here are the factors that in my opinion create the perfect storm for war:

- The "conservative" conception of male identity, in which their only value comes from sacrificing themselves. Resistance is a weakness so just shut up and fulfill your role.
- Property rights that allow business to build whatever they want and do what they want there - including produce deadly weapons - while being completely shielded from consequences.
- Money and profit motive which provides giant gains for the weapon companies.
- Widespread acceptance that war is essential, part of human nature, etc.
- Rigid borders and maps, countries as concretely defined solid entities, and not fluid ones depending on what the "citizens" think and do.
- Government having full control over the space inside borders (this can exist only because of the above), and thus being able to install "martial law", or block leaving, etc.

- Compromised legal system that doesn't allow the plebeian to affect any policies, while pretending that it does.
- School teachings that borders are the most important thing ever. That you belong to your nation before you belong to humanity or anything else. And thus, you absolutely must sacrifice if these borders get "violated" by foreign borders.
- False flag attacks allowing to pretend that borders have been violated. Meaning a "response" could be justified by the government anytime they want war.
- Giant media companies spreading all the mainstream narratives constantly, so that the pleb never has a chance to escape his mental prison.
- Hired agents or AI bots spreading the same narratives on social media, forums, chatrooms - meaning even the escapists get caught into a second mental prison.
- Existence of groups such as the police and military recruiters, who are allowed by the government a power position over the plebeians. They get to perform actions that would be heavily punished if a regular person did them. Often, they receive big rewards like high retirement money, early retirement, slaps on the wrist as punishments for extreme cruelty, etc.
- Designating anyone that dares to resist - such as Palestine Action - as a "terrorist" and executing giant punishments.
- Military as an economic opportunity in certain countries like the USA.
- Movies and video games designed to showcase war as something cool. Sometimes even used directly as military recruitment tools (Call of Duty).

As you can see, quite a far cry from the "Putin bad!" spread by the mainstream Western media. And - if you realize that those elements don't have to exist - then why must war? In fact, it seems that war is something very artificially kept up, like the card house that is susceptible to

even the tiniest blow of the summer breeze. Suddenly, no one can walk near the card house or it will fall over. So let war fall over too, by denying the factors that keep it up.

Toppling the law is all that matters

This is my final conclusion from basically all my researching, writing, analyzing and re-analyzing, re-researching and rewriting. Wherever I go, I always end up in the same place. Meaning, I can't anymore resist the thought that it doesn't really matter what you believe about:

- Ukraine war or any war, draft, conscription
- The schooling system
- The money system, capitalism, taxes...
- Gun rights
- COVID and the response to it
- Racism, sexism, feminism, DEI, etc...
- Transgenderism
- Abortion
- Immigration
- Surveillance
- Climate change
- Free speech
- Luigi Mangione
- Vaccine safety
- GM food safety

And all kinds of other stuff people constantly fight about. But why is that? Simple - **you don't decide either way, the so-called "elites" do.** Yet they really want you to believe that what you think matters. But why is **that**? Because in that case, you will blame the world's problems on another group of plebeians, instead of the so-called "elites". And I know that this is going on in the wild, because I've studied all kinds of communities, and I've seen it happen everywhere. The MRA will blame the feminist (archive) (MozArchive), the lockdown hater will blame the "Covidian" (archive) (MozArchive), the white nationalist will blame the black or Indian, etc. Even people at places like LateStageCapitalism, who are very well aware of this strategy, still keep falling for it. They will tell you the exact same thing I have here (archive) (MozArchive), only to jump down the throat of the "TERF", "racist", "fascist", or celebrate the death of a neo-Nazi (archive) (MozArchive) a minute later. Obviously, right wing places (or any other places) are not doing any better, except the targets change to "socialists", "commies", the "woke", the "trannies", etc...

Let's make it clear. What power do any of those groups have? None. Where does power come from currently? **THE FUCKING LAW.** And where does the law come from? From the minds and pens of the so-called "elites" - and they are not inviting the white nationalist, TERF or commie for "peer review". So why does everyone pretend that what the plebeian thinks or writes matters? After all, that's the implicit assumption in all political grievances, debates, etc. I swear, I'd love it if reality worked the way some of those people think. If the average screaming TikTok feminist could actually affect how anything works, then it means that I - theoretically - could, too. But it is an illusion, and the citizen cannot affect anything at all. His power is limited to whining about the "woke" or "Nazis" on social media (if he is not censored for it, that is).

If this is hard to accept, consider the COVID situation. Millions of people didn't want any

restrictions, but yet they got pushed through and were kept until the so-called "elites" graciously decided to end them, regardless of their increasingly more obvious ineffectiveness and harm. In Canada, the majority of people already did not want them since January 2022 (archive) (MozArchive), but the government only bothered to act in October (archive) (MozArchive), and the plebeians' opinions were not a factor anyway - *“Health Minister Jean-Yves Duclos said Monday's decision is not a sign Canada is out of the pandemic, but said the government's data showed the importation of new variants was no longer having an effect on the evolution of the virus in country”*. The newest polls (archive) (MozArchive) show that finally, over half of Ukrainians want to end the war ASAP (*“[...] with support for negotiated peace rising to 52%”*) - even while giving up territory to Putin - *“More than half of this group (52%) agrees that Ukraine should be open to making some territorial concessions as part of a peace deal to end the war”*; and this doesn't even include half of the male Ukrainian population that escaped Zelensky's sacrifice attempts (archive) (MozArchive) and presumably are not eligible for the interviews. Despite the citizens' convictions, the war is still ongoing. People overwhelmingly want to "Free Luigi" (archive) (MozArchive) (even in South Korea (archive) (MozArchive)), but he's surely not going to get freed; regardless, it will be so-called "elites" that decide, not you. When we strip the current society of all its dresses and layers of makeup, we are left with this:



In the end, the only thing that the so-called "elites" truly care about is that you accept the *"Government says so"* part. Otherwise, you can think whatever you want, because none of it is going to affect policy. Realizing this makes all political commentary seem pointless unless it covers this issue (which I have never seen). Instead of the topics listed earlier, you might as well be debating what is the most beautiful set of kitchen utensils, because it's going to have just as much effect on what the so-called "elites" do; the arrow of causation skips the plebeians' opinions. But the media is of course happy to stoke the fire, and put all the emotionally charged topics into the front pages of their websites or TV programs. This entire scheme only serves as a way to make the plebeians fight each other, and ignore the root of their dissatisfaction,

disempowerment, and oppression. Namely the so-called "elites" who don't care what you think about any of those issues (as they will do whatever they want to, anyway), but about keeping and increasing their power. And they are able to do that because of **THE FUCKING LAW** that they completely control and that you cannot affect at all. That's why they are *The Enemy Who Surpasses The Law*.

Of course, I'm not saying that the listed issues literally don't matter and that everyone will happily dance together once the so-called "elites" are toppled. I'm saying that it's the law that's the major fundamental issue that provides the foundation on which all the others stand. Or stated in another way, we can't fix any other issues while the legal beast is running the show. Anything can become or cease to be a law at any point, and there's nothing you can do about it. Yet every community runs straight to the oppressive legal system with all their grievances. And gets happy (archive) (MozArchive) when - once per era - it does something that supposedly helps them, even if they could not have affected the decision; even if the "win" was only partial; and even if they've received ten times the losses (archive) (MozArchive) (*"Today, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agents raided a local establishment in the City of Newark, detaining undocumented residents as well as citizens, without producing a warrant. One of the detainees is a U.S. military veteran who suffered the indignity of having the legitimacy of his military documentation questioned."*) for that single "win". They still have absolute zero power, but are satisfied with their masters raping them a little more gently than usual. It's the peak of Stockholm Syndrome, and I want to destroy it.

UPDATE January 26: the perfect example just came in, with the anti-lockdown people salivating over the recent mass deportations in the US (archive) (MozArchive) *"They came for the illegal immigrants and I said 'make sure you get all of them!'"*, just because...guess what...they were abused for being unvaccinated during the era of COVID hysteria. Which they

blame on a random leftist on Twitter, YouTube, TikTok, wherever...and not on the legislators. So now, since the leftists hate the deportations, the anti-lockdowners support them to spite them. Wake up! The same people did both abuses! But you are having an orgasm just because the plebeian faction that supported the COVID nonsense, is now on the other side of the legal pitchfork - *“This. They are welcome to apply through legal channels. A country without borders is not a country”*. O rly? You could have "applied through legal channels", too, meaning got the vaccine - because that's what was required to enter the US just a while ago. And you guys hated it then ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), and didn't even mind breaking the law to bypass the restrictions - *“If I was you I wouldn't even have been so confident going for a job in the US until I smuggled my plague rat ass into the country”*; *“Come through the southern border. Seriously”*. But now, because it's "the outgroup" trying to avoid their own abuse, "the law" is suddenly a sacred shield. Basically, "our group has suffered from legal abuse years ago, so now your group must, too". How about **no one** suffers? Which is what we could have if the plebeians realized they are plebeians, united, and toppled the so-called "elites". But no, people just can't resist attacking their fellow plebeians because they fell for a different piece of government propaganda than themselves. As long as this is how it goes, **we will never win and we will always be slaves**.

Of course, I realize there will always be disagreements between people. Not everyone wants the same life, after all. But right now, a pack of psychopaths is sitting on top of the mountain pulling the strings, and preventing **any** of us from having the life we want to. Ideally, everyone would be able to affect how his community works, or if he is truly unwanted there, switch to another community that's closer to his preferences. We have the internet now, and there could be a website with a list of communities that anyone could freely move to. You want the zero-COVID or the ignore COVID; the mixed race or the white ethno-state; the "merit"-based

regulation-free capitalism or the commune - it's up to you. Of course, this wouldn't fix all problems, because a kid is born somewhere, and cannot choose where it is born. And of course, things such as air or ground pollution affect everyone in the end. Hey, some problems might simply not be fixable through the currently existing knowledge and ideas. But many (most? or dare I say all?) are also - I feel - simply created by the so-called "elites" themselves; for example, who would want to go to war without their war propaganda? Would people even fight over COVID if the so-called "elites" didn't blow it out of proportion (of course, they've also created it; but let's for the purpose of the argument assume it was natural) and didn't censor information about alternative treatments? Regardless of what you believe, how can we even begin to change anything, if a pack of psychopaths is currently deciding everything? Of course, their power structure must be demolished first.

If you believe only one thing you've read from my site, please let it be this. The fate of the world - or the kind of life your kids, grandkids, will be leading - is riding on this. And I'm pointing this out because no one else appears to have figured it out. Except maybe, partially, Andrew Anglin (the Daily Stormer guy), who for some reason appears to be dismissed by many or most people, and censored by tech companies ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)) including even Cloudflare - as one of its few victims (why do you think so?). He is the only one (that I know of) that has called out the entire current system as illegitimate ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)). Though, he seems to spend too much effort attacking groups of plebeians that he doesn't like. Still, he comes the closest to my ideas out of anyone I've encountered (eg: *“But you do not have to validate the system. You don’t have to go around talking about politics as if they have any meaningful effect on the behavior of the government. You certainly don’t have to vote. They can kill you, but they can’t make you believe they have the right to do so.”*), so I think his article that I've linked is very worth reading, regardless.

Anyway, I hope this is the section that unites all plebeians (that's you and me) against the pack of psychos running the show. I will probably fail in my task, but at least I'll be able to say that I've tried. And I can't not try, because I must be able to live with myself. And there is no one else aiming his crosshair straight at "the law" as a concept, so the regret would bury me if I didn't.

Summary

Laws are designed to keep us in chains. What is currently considered democracy is an illusion. Certain people are allowed to cause untold destruction without consequences while the not-so-lucky ones (that's you and me) suffer for violating the whims of the higher caste. Elections (if real) do not give us any power and in fact are a distraction. The most important thing for people to do, then, would be to **topple the current law-based political system**. The reason that the legal system is the target is because it alone serves as the final justification for all the bullshit. E.g. *“you do the crime, you do the time”*. Whatever you think does not matter, as long as the mighty legal system disagrees. No question, those are the rules! Nothing else has this kind of power over minds. And when we realize this, we know that we have to get rid of the legal system.

UPDATE January 2023: if you want [an example](#):

I lost my business because I fed an unvaccinated man a pizza. That's it. That's literally it. What pisses me of more is that none of my friends in the restaurant business said or did anything to help me. You watched a brother burn in the street because I fed a man a pizza.

And then look at all the cucked comments justifying it:

"I lost my business because I chose not to refrigerate poultry". You don't have to like the rules, protest them, talk to public officials about them etc. You lost your business because you chose to break rules. If you behave like a child you'll be treated like one.

That's on you bud. Rules apply to everyone no free passes given here.

Nah, homie. You lost your business because you refused to follow the same rules that were being applied to everyone else. Wanna take a stand? Cool. That has consequences. Call it what it is.

So you never lost your business because you fed someone pizza- it was because you failed to follow a government requirement during a pandemic because you didn't want to follow it.

Then you got what you deserved. You did business with an unvaccinated person and endangered lives. You have only yourself to blame

As you can see, many (maybe most) people will comply with anything just because *"it's the rules"*. And yet, **absolutely anything can become a law** in a flash - regardless of how pointless and abusive - as the COVID situation showed. We keep losing because of blindly following (and for some - worshipping) the law. Accepting to be shoved around as long as the shover has labeled himself as an "official" is the biggest "security vuln" of our current society, and we can patch it by treating the "officials" in the same way as we would treat complete strangers. If a stranger got in your face and tried to prevent you from leaving the house, you'd mock him or just ignore. If he sent you a letter trying to get you to go to a drafting place, you'd just trash it. Yet somehow, if it's an "official" (policeman or military recruiter) then suddenly those types of

tactics become valid? Bullshit. But that's what our entire system exists to convince us of. The obedience training in schools, the fearmongering mainstream (or even some of the alt) media, the advertisements (for wars, etc), the confident speeches of the politicians, are all doing everything in their power to fuel the belief that the "officials" are some special type of people. But beyond the facade of the label is just another creature hiding a skeleton, a spleen, and a web of neurons (at least until the AI rules over us directly).

Since the "officials" are the same as us in the end, the same strategies will work on them, too. Now think about what makes you tick. It is emotions, of which the strongest one is *fear*. We all have in our brains the programming that allows us to flee from a bear in the wild. The so-called "elites" (and their minions / Kapos in the Nazi analogy) are still human, and they have that programming, as well. The problem here is, that they (especially the decision makers in politicians) have got too comfortable in their shiny high positions. They probably haven't felt true fear in a long time. And because they haven't, they don't feel any inhibitions while executing their heavily violating actions. Once we drop the belief that they are somehow special, all we have to do is use our extreme numbers advantage (archive) (MozArchive) against them:

Police officers

Landgeist.com

@Land_geist

@Landgeist

**Police officers
per 100,000
inhabitants**

125 - 250

250 - 350

350 - 450

450 - 600

>600

Highest

Montenegro
731

Turkey
561

Cyprus
544

Lowest


Finland
136

Iceland
176

Denmark
194

Norway
194

*Note:
Data for England & Wales,
Scotland and Bosnia &
Herzegovina is from 2019.
Data for France and Northern
Ireland is from 2018.*



Source: Eurostat, 2020; Politiet.no, 2020

The ratio is even more in our favor in USA, China, or India. Of course, the police are not all in the same place at the same time, so targeted resistance would work even better than the raw amounts suggest. Some are unarmed or only concerned about tackling illegal fishing spots (archive) (MozArchive) and similar stuff that's irrelevant in regards to the revolution. And of course, many might even join us against the actual decision makers, if things get bad enough and they feel that "winning" is viable. This would be ideal, as then the ratios would become extremely in our favor.

Either way, the police was unable to prevent what happened in Kazakhstan (archive) (MozArchive). If that became a regular occurrence worldwide, the fear programming inside the so-called "elites" brains would finally get activated. Then, they would think long and hard before running a pandemic scam or any of the other thousands of violations (December 2024 edit: heh. Couldn't have materialized better than in the Thompson situation). When the world so-called "elites" finally get robbed of their influence, we can try to setup Athenian democracy in our local areas - or some other system that actually gives the average person a say.

How to have a revolution

Feel free to send this to anyone interested, but still confused in navigating the politics or "conspiracy" space.

- First, realize that **absolutely everything is fucked** and there remains nothing to save, nothing to protect. The "official and accepted" has completely failed. If you're still in doubt, consider the schooling system brainwashing our kids, the COVID response normalizing slavery, the money system and profit motive making the entire "civilized"

existence into a brutal fight for survival and status, with all "higher values" completely absorbed by it. Then the mockery of a legal system that supposedly justifies everything, and the spying structure watching us 24 / 7 and making sure we don't revolt. *“It's only after we've lost everything that we are free to do anything”*. So, you need to realize that **you already have!**

- If you have accepted this, realize that **the entire situation was dreamed up and is being kept up by a pack of psychopaths at the top of the pyramid**. Or a few packs, I don't know the ruling structure exactly. It doesn't actually matter for our purposes, even if it turns out to be reptilians. Either way...
- ...They have to be removed from power. By any means possible, even "illegal" ones (if you still believe in the legal system, you need to go back to step #1...). Hack the media corporations, destroy the cameras ([archive](#)) ([MozArchive](#)), sabotage whatever you can, even raid the government buildings, if that's what it takes. The so-called "elites" have no moral blocks (proven by COVID, bombing innocent people, etc...), and we don't need ones, either.
- Many of us will lose everything, some might also die. But long term, if nothing is done, an incomparably worse hell awaits. You have to be prepared to sacrifice, and drop your comfortable current life (assuming you even have one right now). This might be the hardest step. Because everything that exists tries to convince us that the current bullshit simply has to be this way, that we're powerless to stop it. Even "aware" people just hope to ride the wave, and "survive somehow". That's our most base instinct, and it has to be overridden. Again, *“It's only after we've lost everything that we are free to do anything”*. So, the instinct can be overridden by realizing that - if you have not lost everything **yet** - you **will** soon. It can be overridden by analysis, being honest with yourself while looking into the bleak future. And maybe by having a big enough

support structure that a revolution suddenly seems viable without huge risks. You have to feel being stronger than the so-called "elites", that you have a huge numbers advantage. This - then - comes back to the education part, and after that - coordination (which we can use the Internet for, while it is still relatively unrestricted). Keep in mind we don't have a lot of time; the technological slavery system keeps gaining more ground. For now, the so-called "elites" are still very scared (see the Thompson situation); when they have AI murderbots walking / flying all over the streets, detecting and killing revolutionaries, they might not be. So the time to act is **now**!

- What comes after the revolution is finished? I don't know. We'll figure it out once the plague is controlled. If you want some ideas, I've listed some in the democracy section and the toppling the law section. These are not necessarily the best or even good ideas. But it's important to not freeze yourself into the mindset of "oh, but what will we do after? Surely, an even worse dictator will come around!". But no, nothing is worse than the plague continuing unresisted. So resist it.

Legalism is antihuman

To resist the "law based order" would be called "chaos" by some (or many, or most). And yet I cannot imagine a worse "chaos" than a corporation simply being able to throw ads around with impunity. For it to be able to surveil visitors on "its property" or even around "its property". For it to be able to appropriate all kinds of resources - including ones essential to life - and lock them behind paywalls of unlimited size (archive) (MozArchive), or other requirements such as taking their poisonous vaccine (archive) (MozArchive). And for them to be completely immune to consequences - since we've already established that fines are both way too small and way too rare to bother them at all. And that they are not a true punishment either way (in the current

system, that might consist of getting dragged to courts, prison, etc. for specific people - which doesn't happen today because of the legal concept called corporate personhood). But the problem is not even limited to corporations. We now live in a world in which someone like a doctor or nurse can pretty much do whatever they want, as long as it is "official" and will never be punished regardless of the harm they cause. **The current "order" that conservatives so love and everyone else tolerates is simply a giant gaslighting campaign!**

Now consider what is required to keep such an "order" going. To figure it out, first take a look at how kids act before the process of turning them into "adults" (a positive sounding term hiding frozen people, learned helplessness sufferers, or mental slaves) reaches a too advanced stage. They are able to coordinate a play in a way that is just and satisfying to everyone involved, and if someone gets out of control, the other kids won't want to play with him anymore. If someone gets **too** out of control (eg. by taking away all the toys of the others) he might even be more directly punished by his peers. This somehow works; maybe not perfectly because humans are humans and contain infinite possibilities of expression - but a lot better than the adult legal system we all know and hopefully hate. One giant advantage of child-like organization is that it is able to react to **all** possible behaviors - even ones no one has ever thought of before - instead of only those written in some constitution, contract, etc. And we know without a doubt that it can work, because hunter-gatherers have been managing themselves this way (archive) (MozArchive) for a very long time.

So how does this setup eventually disappear? Simply, the kids are thrown early on into a very unnatural, inhuman, and cruel institution called school which inhibits all the in-built instincts and replaces them with the assumptions and behaviors required by the legal system. To realize the insanity of this, just consider how many years of constant brainwashing it takes to perform the transformation - and even then, some manage to avoid it despite the extreme enemy efforts.

But clearly the scheme has worked very well overall, so much that whenever the modern "adult" detects injustice, the only option that appears in his mind (if any does at all) is that of suing (only if the violation is very very serious), or maybe a "legal protest". Then when it occurs to him that these are heavily inadequate, he just goes back to receiving the abuse. The first step of recovery - then - must surely be the retrieval of our children from schools, then the elimination of the latter. After that, we start slowly recovering our instincts (hey, maybe the now unbrainwashed kids can teach their own parents...).

Finally - after reclaiming our humanity - the process of recognizing and punishing violators (regardless of what their "position" is) can begin. Let them remember the days of their childhood where antisocial behavior would actually incur resistance from their group - something they've completely forgotten as "adults". They will be shocked that someone dared to break the "law based order" that they've come to rely on - then the shock will spread to their peers once they realize the "law based order" has just become a relic of the cruel and unjust past, and cannot protect them anymore. Now, they will actually have to care about the effects they are causing by their actions - just like the kid grabbing all toys for itself. Then they either adapt, or get eliminated from the "tribe" - exactly how the hunter-gatherers used to do (*"According to Boehm, hunter-gatherers are continuously vigilant to transgressions against the egalitarian ethos. Someone who boasts, or fails to share, or in any way seems to think that he (or she, but usually it's a he) is better than others is put in his place through teasing, which stops once the person stops the offensive behavior. If teasing doesn't work, the next step is shunning. The band acts as if the offending person doesn't exist. That almost always works. Imagine what it is like to be completely ignored by the very people on whom your life depends. No human being can live for long alone. The person either comes around, or he moves away and joins another band, where he'd better shape up or the same thing will happen again"*). In civilization

this could include many additional strategies such as not selling them stuff from a store, not inviting them to weddings, etc. Of course, this might not be that easy in practice - after all, the psychopaths who positioned themselves at the top have had enough time to cook up many systems to protect the current "order" - such as the technological slavery system, the mainstream media, prisons, etc. But it has to be done.

[Back to the front page](#)